

A Study of Jordan bi-derivations in Prime rings with parameters (α, β)

By

Dr.S.Lalitha

Lecturer in Mathematics
Government Degree College
Nandyal-518501, Andhra Pradesh

Abstract:

Assume that S is a prime ring with α and β as automorphisms. Bi-additive mapping D is called a (α, β) Jordan bi-derivation if $D(k^2, s) = D(k, s)\alpha(k) + \beta(k)D(k, s)$. In this study, the researcher determines the circumstances in which a symmetric Jordan bi-derivation (α, β) transforms into a symmetric bi-derivation (α, β) . Furthermore, we characterise the symmetric Jordan bi-derivations (α, β) .

Keywords: Jordan bi-derivation, Jordan derivation, Prime rings, Symmetric (α, β) .

1. Introduction

The paper treats S as an associative ring, with " $Z(S)$ " serving as its centre, unless otherwise specified. A prime ring (semiprime ring) is defined as " $k, l \in S, \text{ if } kSl = \{0\} (kSk = \{0\})$ " means that " $k = 0$ or $l = 0$ ($k = 0$).". We refer to the representations " $[k, l] = kl - lk$ and $k \approx l = kl + lk$ " as "*the commutator (Lie product) and the skew-commutator (Jordan product), respectively,*" for every " $k, l \in S$." " $na = 0$ " implies " $a = 0$ " for any " $a \in S$," and so S is an n -torsion free ring. " $[g(k), l] \in Z(S)$ (resp. $[g(k), l] = 0$) $\forall k, l \in S$ " designates a centralising (resp. commuting) map on S for a given map g " $\forall k, l \in S$ " is a "*derivation (resp. Jordan derivation) of an additive map $f : S \rightarrow S$* " fulfilling " $f(kl) = f(k)l + kf(l)$ (resp. $f(k^2) = f(k)k + kf(k)$ ". It is evident that all derivations are Jordan derivations; nevertheless, this is not always the case. Herstein [1, Theorem 4.1] demonstrated in 1957 that for any " $k, l \in S$," "*where S is a prime ring that is not a commutative integral domain,*" " $d(klk) = d(k)lk + kd(l)k + kld(k)$ " is satisfied by d , making "*the Jordan derivation of ring S a derivation.*"

A σ -derivation (resp. Jordan σ derivation) for "*an additive map d from S to S* " is defined as follows: for any " $k, l \in S$ (resp. $d(k^2) = d(k)k + \sigma(k)d(k)$)," there is an accompanying endomorphism " σ if $d(kl) = d(k)l + \sigma(k)d(l)$ ". Lee [2] established in 2015 that any Jordan σ -derivation for "*a non-commutative prime ring S is a σ -derivation*" if and only if it fulfils the following condition: " $d(klk) = d(k)lk + \sigma(k)d(l)k + \sigma(kl)d(k)$ " for all " $k, l \in S$."

Bi-additive maps denote maps "*from $S \times S$ to S that are additive in both arguments:* $d(k, l) = d(l, k)$." Assumed be "*a symmetric bi-additive map*" such that for any " $k, l, s \in S$," it satisfies the following condition: " $d(kl, s) = d(k, s)l + kd(l, s)$ (resp. $d(k^2, s) = d(k, s)k + kd(k, s)$)." This indicates that d is a symmetric bi-derivation (i.e., a symmetric Jordan bi-derivation on the contrary). Maksa [3] was the first to propose the concept of "*symmetric bi-derivation*" in 1980. Vukman [4] Bell & Daif [5] and Daif M.N. [6] then used prime and semiprime rings to verify certain conclusions relating to symmetric bi-derivation. In 2017, Abdioglu and Lee [7] studied a basic functional identity on a non-commutative prime ring S with bi-additive mappings. Specifically, they have shown that: "*Let $B : S \times S \rightarrow Qml(S)$* " be a bi-additive map and let S be a non-commutative prime ring. Assume that for every " $x, y \in S$," " $[B(x, y), [x, y]] = 0$." After that, for any " $x, y \in S$," there is a bi-

additive map " $\beta : S \times S \rightarrow C$ " and $\lambda \in C$ such that " $B(x, y) = \lambda[x, y] + \beta(x, y)$ ". Furthermore, we may look at Jordan σ -derivation by using certain related conclusions [2, Theorem 2.1] and their application.

In this study, we developed "symmetric (α, β) Jordan bi derivation in prime rings," motivated by all the findings given above. For any $k, s \in S$, the "map D from $S \times S$ to S " is defined as follows: $D(k^2, s) = D(k, s)\alpha(k) + \beta(k)D(k, s)$. In most cases, the inverse is not correct, since all bi-derivatives of "symmetric (α, β) Jordan" forms are also symmetric (α, β) . We find the requirement in this article for "the (α, β) Jordan bi-derivation symmetric on the map" to become a (α, β) bi-derivation in prime rings S . Additionally, we describe "the Jordan bi-derivation" on any symmetric ring $S(\alpha, \beta)$.

2. Preliminaries

Lemma 2.1. [2, Theorem 2.1] Let S be a prime ring that is non-commutative and let " $f : S \times S \rightarrow D_{mr}(S)$ " be a bi-additive map. When every " $k, l \in S$ " has the value " $f(k, l)[k, l] = 0$," then " $f = 0$."

3. Results

Theorem 3.1: Assume that $\text{char}(S)$ is not equal to 2, and that D is "a Jordan bi-derivation symmetric (α, β) on a ring S ." Therefore, for any k, l , and $s \in S$, the following claims hold.

$$"D(kl + lk, s) = D(k, s)\alpha(l) + D(l, s)\alpha(k) + \beta(l)D(k, s) + \beta(k)D(l, s)."$$

$$(1) D(klk, s) = D(k, s)\alpha(l)\alpha(k) + \beta(k)\beta(l)D(k, s) + \beta(k)D(l, s)\alpha(k).$$

$$(2) D(klr + rlk, s) = D(k, s)\alpha(l)\alpha(r) + \beta(k)D(l, s)\alpha(r) + D(r, s)\alpha(l)\alpha(k) + \beta(r)D(l, s)\alpha(k) + \beta(r)\beta(l)D(k, s) + \beta(k)\beta(l)D(r, s).$$

$$(3) D(klr + lrk, s) = D(lr, s)\alpha(k) + D(k, s)\alpha(l)\alpha(r) + \beta(k)D(lr, s) + \beta(l)\beta(r)D(k, s).$$

$$(4) D(l^2, s)\alpha(k) + \beta(k)D(l^2, s) = D(l, s)\alpha(l)\alpha(k) + \beta(k)D(l, s)\alpha(l) + \beta(l)D(l, s)\alpha(k) + \beta(k)D(l, s)\alpha(l) + \beta(k)\beta(l)D(l, s)."$$

Proof: (1) With the substitution of " $k + l$ " for k in the formulation of the symmetric Jordan bi-derivation (α, β) , we get the following:

$$\begin{aligned} "D((k + l)^2, s) &= D(k + l, s)\alpha(k + l) + \beta(k + l)D(k + l, s) \\ &= D(k, s)\alpha(k) + D(k, s)\alpha(l) + D(l, s)\alpha(k) \\ &\quad + D(l, s)\alpha(l) + \beta(k)D(k, s) + \beta(l)D(k, s) \\ &\quad + \beta(k)D(l, s) + \beta(l)D(l, s) \dots \dots \dots (3.1) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} D((k + l)^2, s) &= D(k^2 + kl + lk + l^2, s) \\ &= D(k^2, s) + D(kl + lk, s) + D(l^2, s) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= D(k, s)\alpha(k) + \beta(k)D(k, s) + D(kl + lk, s) \\ &+ D(l, s)\alpha(l) + \beta(l)D(l, s). \dots \dots \dots (3.2) \end{aligned}$$

Based on equations (3.1) & (3.2), we may deduce that

$$D(kl + lk, s) = D(k, s)\alpha(l) + D(l, s)\alpha(k) + \beta(l)D(k, s) + \beta(k)D(l, s) \dots \dots \dots (3.3)$$

(2) By substituting l with $kl + lk$ in equation (3.3), we get

$$\begin{aligned} D(k(kl + lk) + (kl + lk)k, s) &= D(k, s)\alpha(kl + lk) + D(kl + lk, s)\alpha(k) \\ &+ \beta(kl + lk)D(k, s) + \beta(k)D(kl + lk, s) \dots \dots \dots (3.4) \end{aligned}$$

Applying Theorem 3.1 (1) to the expression on the right side of equation (3.4), and simplifying, we obtain;

$$\begin{aligned}
 & "D(k, s)\alpha(k)\alpha(l) + D(k, s)\alpha(l)\alpha(k) + D(k, s)\alpha(l)\alpha(k) + \beta(k)D(l, s)\alpha(k) \\
 & + D(l, s)\alpha(k)\alpha(k) + \beta(l)D(k, s)\alpha(k) + \beta(k)\beta(l)D(k, s) \\
 & + \beta(l)\beta(k)D(k, s) + \beta(k)D(k, s)\alpha(l) + \beta(k)\beta(k)D(l, s) \\
 & + \beta(k)D(l, s)\alpha(k) + \beta(k)\beta(l)D(k, s) \dots \dots (3.5)"
 \end{aligned}$$

Now, using equation (3.3) and calculating the left side of formula (3.4), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 & D(k(kl + lk) + (kl + lk)k, s) = D(k^2l + klk + klk + lk^2, s) \\
 & = D(k^2l + lk^2, s) + 2D(klk, s) \\
 & = D(k^2, s)\alpha(l) + \beta(k^2)D(l, s) + D(l, s)\alpha(k^2) + \beta(l)D(k^2, s) + 2D(klk, s) \\
 & = "D(k, s)\alpha(k)\alpha(l) + \beta(k)D(k, s)\alpha(l) + \beta(k)\beta(k)D(l, s) + D(l, s)\alpha(k)\alpha(k) \\
 & + \beta(l)D(k, s)\alpha(k) + \beta(l)\beta(k)D(k, s) + 2D(klk, s)" \dots \dots (3.6)
 \end{aligned}$$

By examining equations (3.5) and (3.6), it is evident that

$$2D(klk, s) = 2D(k, s)\alpha(l)\alpha(k) + 2\beta(k)\beta(l)D(k, s) + 2\beta(k)D(l, s)\alpha(k) \dots (3.7)$$

Given that " $char(S) \neq 2$," we may deduce the desired outcome from the last relation:

$$\begin{aligned}
 D(klk, s) & = D(k, s)\alpha(l)\alpha(k) + \beta(k)\beta(l)D(k, s) \\
 & + \beta(k)D(l, s)\alpha(k).
 \end{aligned}$$

(3) By replacing k with $k + r$ in equation (2) for any r belonging to the set S , we get;

$$\begin{aligned}
 "D((k + r)l(k + r), s & = D(k + r, s)\alpha(l)\alpha(k + r) + \beta(k + r)\beta(l)D(k + r, s) \\
 & + \beta(k + r)D(l, s)\alpha(k + r)" \dots \dots (3.8)
 \end{aligned}$$

Now, by calculating the left-hand side of equation (3.8), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 "D((k + r)l(k + r), s & = D(klk + klr + rlk + rlr, s) \\
 & = D(klk, s) + D(klr + rlk, s) + D(rlr, s) \\
 & = D(k, s)\alpha(l)\alpha(k) + \beta(k)\beta(l)D(k, s) + \beta(k)D(l, s)\alpha(k) \\
 & + D(klr + rlk, s) + D(r, s)\alpha(l)\alpha(r) + \beta(r)\beta(l)D(r, s) \\
 & + \beta(r)D(l, s)\alpha(r) \dots \dots (3.9)"
 \end{aligned}$$

Now, by calculating the right-hand side of equation (3.8), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 "D(k, s)\alpha(l)\alpha(k) + D(r, s)\alpha(l)\alpha(k) + D(k, s)\alpha(l)\alpha(r) + D(r, s)\alpha(l)\alpha(r) \\
 + \beta(k)\beta(l)D(k, s) + \beta(r)\beta(l)D(k, s) + \beta(k)\beta(l)D(r, s) \\
 + \beta(r)\beta(l)D(r, s) + \beta(k)D(l, s)\alpha(k) + \beta(r)D(l, s)\alpha(k) \\
 + \beta(k)D(l, s)\alpha(r) + \beta(r)D(l, s)\alpha(r) \dots \dots (3.10)."
 \end{aligned}$$

By referring to equations (3.9) & (3.10), we determine that

$$\begin{aligned}
 "D(klr + rlk, s) & = D(r, s)\alpha(l)\alpha(k) + D(k, s)\alpha(l)\alpha(r) + \beta(r)\beta(l)D(k, s) \\
 & + \beta(k)\beta(l)D(r, s) + \beta(r)D(l, s)\alpha(k) + \beta(k)D(l, s)\alpha(r)" \dots (3.11)
 \end{aligned}$$

This is the necessary outcome.

(4) When we replace k in the formulation of the (α, β) Jordan bi-derivation with $k + lr$, we get

$$"D((k + lr)^2, s = D(k + lr, s)\alpha(k + lr) + \beta(k + lr)D(k + lr, s)" \dots \dots (3.12.)$$

It is clear that it is possible to solve the left side of equation (3.12) using the concept of a " (α, β) Jordan bi-derivation."

$$\begin{aligned}
 D((k + lr)^2, s & = D(k^2 + klr + lrk + (lr)^2, s) \\
 & = D(k^2, s) + D(klr + lrk, s) + D((lr)^2, s) \\
 & = "D(k, s)\alpha(k) + \beta(k)D(k, s) + D(klr + lrk, s) + D(lr, s)\alpha(lr) \\
 & + \beta(lr)D(lr, s)" \dots \dots (3.13)
 \end{aligned}$$

By calculating the right side of equation (“3.12) and using the notion of a (α, β) Jordan bi-derivation,” we determine that

$$"D(k + lr, s)\alpha(k + lr) + \beta(k + lr)D(k + lr, s) = D(k, s)\alpha(k) + D(k, s)\alpha(lr) + D(lr, s)\alpha(k) + D(lr, s)\alpha(lr) + \beta(k)D(k, s) + \beta(lr)D(k, s) + \beta(k)D(lr, s) + \beta(lr)D(lr, s)" \dots (3.14)$$

By comparing equation (3.13) with equation (3.14), we may see the following relationship:

$$\begin{aligned} "D(klr + lrk, s) &= D(k, s)\alpha(lr) + D(lr, s)\alpha(k) + \beta(lr)D(k, s) \\ &+ \beta(k)D(lr, s)" \dots (3.15) \end{aligned}$$

Our task is complete.

(5) After deducting (3.11) from (3.15), we have

$$\begin{aligned} "D([r, l]k, s) &= \beta(k)D(l, s)\alpha(r) + D(r, s)\alpha(l)\alpha(k) + \beta(r)D(l, s)\alpha(k) \\ &+ [\beta(r), \beta(l)]D(k, s) + \beta(k)\beta(l)D(r, s) - D(lr, s)\alpha(k) \\ &- \beta(k)D(lr, s)" \dots (3.16) \end{aligned}$$

Specifically, when r is equal to l , equation (3.16) simplifies to

$$0 = "\beta(k)D(l, s)\alpha(l) + D(l, s)\alpha(l)\alpha(k) + \beta(l)D(l, s)\alpha(k) + \beta(k)\beta(l)D(l, s) - D(l^2, s)\alpha(k) - \beta(k)D(l^2, s)"$$

Which indicates that

$$\begin{aligned} D(l^2, s)\alpha(k) + \beta(k)D(l^2, s) &= "\beta(k)D(l, s)\alpha(l) + D(l, s)\alpha(l)\alpha(k) + \beta(l)D(l, s)\alpha(k) \\ &+ \beta(k)\beta(l)D(l, s)" \dots (3.18) \end{aligned}$$

That concludes the last equality. This proves the completion of our theorem.

Definition 3.2. Consider the ring S to have " $char(S) \neq 2$." We explain what the sign means.

$$"k^l = D(l, s)\alpha(k) + \beta(l)D(k, s) - D(kl, s)"$$

considering each " $k, l, s \in S$."

Presenting now is a theorem about k^l under “symmetric (α, β) Jordan bi-derivation” action.

Theorem 3.3. Consider a ring S and assume “ D be a symmetric (α, β) Jordan bi-derivation” on S . Hence, the following assertions hold true for all elements " k, ki, l, li, s " belonging to the set S , where " i " belongs to the set $\{1, 2\}$.

- (1) $k^l + l^k = 0$.
- (2) $k^{l_1+l_2} = k^{l_1} + k^{l_2}$
- (3) $(k_1 + k_2)^l = k_1^l + k_2^l$

Proof: (1) Assume that k, l , and s are elements of the set S . According to Definition 3.2, we may derive that

$$\begin{aligned} "k^l + l^k &= D(l, s)\alpha(k) + \beta(l)D(k, s) - D(kl, s) + D(k, s)\alpha(l) + \beta(k)D(l, s) \\ &- D(lk, s)" \dots (3.19) \end{aligned}$$

The aforementioned relationship may be reformulated as

$$\begin{aligned} "k^l + l^k &= D(l, s)\alpha(k) + \beta(l)D(k, s) + D(k, s)\alpha(l) + \beta(k)D(l, s) \\ &- D(kl + lk, s)" \dots (3.20) \end{aligned}$$

Utilising (2) in Theorem 3.1 found in (3.20), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} "k^l + l^k &= D(l, s)\alpha(k) + \beta(l)D(k, s) + D(k, s)\alpha(l) + \beta(k)D(l, s) - D(k, s)\alpha(l) \\ &- D(l, s)\alpha(k) - \beta(k)D(l, s) - \beta(l)D(k, s)" \dots (3.21) \end{aligned}$$

When we solve (3.21), we obtain

$$k^l + l^k = 0$$

Assume " $k, l_1, l_2, s \in S$." Next, based on Definition 3.2, we possess

$$\begin{aligned} "k^{l_1+l_2} &= D(l_1 + l_2, s)\alpha(k) + \beta(l_1 + l_2)D(k, s) - D(k(l_1 + l_2), s) \\ &= D(l_1, s)\alpha(k) + D(l_2, s)\alpha(k) + \beta(l_1)D(k, s) + \beta(l_2)D(k, s) - D(kl_1, s) \\ &\quad - D(kl_2, s) \dots \dots \dots (3.22)" \end{aligned}$$

This relationship may be expressed as

$$"k^{l_1+l_2} = D(l_1, s)\alpha(k) + \beta(l_1)D(k, s) - D(kl_1, s) + D(l_2, s)\alpha(k) + \beta(l_2)D(k, s) - D(kl_2, s)" \dots \dots (3.23)$$

Applying Definition 3.2 from (3.23) reveals that

$$k^{l_1+l_2} = k^{l_1} + k^{l_2} \dots \dots \dots (3.24)$$

(3) Assume that " $k_1, k_2, l, s \in S$." Next, based on Definition 3.2, we've got

$$\begin{aligned} "(k_1 + k_2)^l &= D(l, s)\alpha(k_1 + k_2) + \beta(l)D(k_1 + k_2, s) - D((k_1 + k_2)l, s) \\ &= D(l, s)\alpha(l) + D(l, s)\alpha(k_2) + \beta(l)D(k_1, s) \\ &\quad + \beta(l)D(k_2, s) - D(k_1l + k_2l, s)" \dots \dots \dots (3.25) \end{aligned}$$

One way to rewrite the relationship above is as

$$"(k_1 + k_2)^l = D(l, s)\alpha(k_1) + \beta(l)D(k_1, s) - D(k_1l, s) + D(l, s)\alpha(k_2) + \beta(l)D(k_2, s) - D(k_2l, s)" \dots \dots (3.26)$$

Applying Definition 3.2 from (3.26) reveals that

$$(k_1 + k_2)^l = k^{l_1} + k^{l_2} \dots \dots \dots (3.27)$$

It is not always true that the opposite is true, considering that any symmetric (α, β) bi-derivation of S is likewise "a symmetric (α, β) Jordan bi-derivation of S ." In the following theorem, we will show that certain conditions applied to ring S may change "a symmetric (α, β) Jordan bi-derivation of S " into a symmetric (α, β) bi-derivation of S .

Theorem 3.4: Let S be a "non-commutative prime ring" with automorphisms α and β . A "symmetric (α, β) Jordan bi-derivation D of S " is defined as a function that fulfils characteristics (2) and (3) of Theorem 3.1, with the condition that the characteristic of S is not equal to 2. In this case, D may be seen as "a symmetric (α, β) bi-derivation of S ."

Proof: By replacing the variable r with kl in equation (3) of Theorem 3.1, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} "D(klkl + kllk, s) &= D(kl, s)\alpha(l)\alpha(k) + D(k, s)\alpha(l)\alpha(kl) + \beta(kl)\beta(l)D(k, s) \\ &\quad + \beta(k)\beta(l)D(kl, s) + \beta(kl)D(l, s)\alpha(k) + \\ &\quad \beta(k)D(l, s)\alpha(kl)" \dots (3.28) \end{aligned}$$

We will answer this problem by dividing it into two separate sections. In the first step, we address the right-hand side of equation (3.28), and subsequently, we tackle the left-hand side of equation (3.28). By solving the right side, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} "D(kl, s)\alpha(l)\alpha(k) + D(k, s)\alpha(l)\alpha(k)\alpha(l) + \beta(k)\beta(l)\beta(l)D(k, s) + \beta(k)\beta(l)D(kl, s) \\ + \beta(k)\beta(l)D(l, s)\alpha(k) + \beta(k)D(l, s)\alpha(k)\alpha(l)" \dots \dots \dots (3.29) \end{aligned}$$

Upon calculating the left side of equation (3.28), we determine that

$$D(klkl + kllk, s) = D((kl)^2 + kl^2k, s) = D(((kl)^2, s) + D(kl^2k, s)) \dots \dots (3.30)$$

As we can see from (2) of Theorem 3.1 in (3.30) and the formulation of the " (α, β) Jordan bi-derivation,"

$$"D(kl, s)\alpha(k)\alpha(l) + \beta(k)\beta(l)D(kl, s) + D(k, s)\alpha(l)\alpha(l)\alpha(k) + \beta(k)\beta(l)\beta(l)D(k, s) + \beta(k)(D(l^2, s))\alpha(k)" \dots \dots \dots (3.31)$$

One way to rewrite the relationship above is as

$$"D(kl, s)\alpha(k)\alpha(l) + \beta(k)\beta(l)D(kl, s) + D(k, s)\alpha(l)\alpha(l)\alpha(k) + \beta(k)\beta(l)\beta(l)D(k, s) + \beta(k)D(l, s)\alpha(l)\alpha(k) + \beta(k)\beta(l)D(l, s)\alpha(k)" \dots \dots \dots (3.32)$$

When we compare (3.29) with (3.32), we see that

$$"D(k, s)\alpha(l)\alpha(k)\alpha(l) + \beta(k)D(l, s)\alpha(k)\alpha(l) + D(kl, s)\alpha(l)\alpha(k) = D(kl, s)\alpha(k)\alpha(l) + D(k, s)\alpha(l)\alpha(l)\alpha(k) + \beta(k)D(l, s)\alpha(l)\alpha(k)."$$

One way to rearrange the equation above is as

$$"D(k, s)\alpha(l)[\alpha(k), \alpha(l)] + \beta(k)D(l, s)[\alpha(k), \alpha(l)] - D(kl, s)[\alpha(k), \alpha(l)] = 0" \dots \dots (3.33)$$

This indicates that

$$"(D(k, s)\alpha(l) + \beta(k)D(l, s) - D(kl, s) [\alpha(k), \alpha(l)] = 0" \dots \dots \dots (3.34)$$

Applying Lemma 2.1 from equation (3.34), we derive

$$"(D(k, s)\alpha(l) + \beta(k)D(l, s) - D(kl, s) = 0" \dots \dots \dots (3.35)$$

In other words,

$$"D(kl, s) = D(k, s)\alpha(l) + \beta(k)D(l, s)" \dots \dots \dots (3.36)$$

The equation mentioned above represents "a symmetric (α , β) bi-derivation of S," indicating that the task is complete.

4. Conclusion

A prime ring S is studied in particular with respect to "symmetric (α , β) Jordan bi-derivations D" on this ring, where α and β are automorphisms of S. Theorem 3.1 defines the features of "the (α , β) Jordan bi-derivation D on a ring S." In the end, we provided the conditions under which the symmetric (α , β) Jordan bi-derivation D becomes a symmetric (α , β) bi-derivation on a prime ring S.

5. References

1. Herstein, I. N. (1957). Jordan derivations of prime rings. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, 8(6), 1104-1110.
2. Lee, T. K., & Lin, J. H. (2014). Jordan derivations of prime rings with characteristic two. *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, 462, 1-15.
3. Maksa, G. (1980). A remark on symmetric biadditive functions having nonnegative diagonalization. *Glasnik Math*, 15(35), 279-282.
4. Vukman, J. (1989). Symmetric bi-derivations on prime and semi-prime rings. *aequationes mathematicae*, 38, 245-254.
5. Bell, H. E., & Daif, M. N. (1995). On derivations and commutativity in prime rings. *Acta Mathematica Hungarica*, 66(4), 337-343.
6. Daif, M. N. (1998). Commutativity results for semiprime rings with derivations. *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*, 21, 1-4.
7. Abdioğlu, C., & Lee, T. K. (2017). A basic functional identity with applications to Jordan σ -biderivations. *Communications in Algebra*, 45(4), 1741-1756.
8. Şahin, Z. Ç. (2022). Some Results on Prime Rings with Skew Symmetric Bi-Jordan Derivations. *Authorea Preprints*.
9. Bharathi, D., & Ganesh, V. (2015). Almost Jordan Generalized Derivations in Prime Rings. *International Journal of Mathematics Trends and Technology-IJMTT*, 18.

10. Golbasi, O., & Sogutku, E. K. (2023). Notes on symmetric bi- (α, α) -derivations in rings. *Bulletin of the Transilvania University of Brasov. Series III: Mathematics and Computer Science*, 145-156.
11. Scudo, G. (2014). Generalized Derivations Acting as Lie Homomorphisms on Polynomials in Prime Rings. *Southeast Asian Bulletin of Mathematics*, 38(4).
12. Sahin, Z. C., & Kılıç, A. (2023) Some results on prime rings with skew symmetric Jordan bi-derivations. *Montes Taurus J. Pure Appl. Math.* 5 (2), 32–38.