

## Fuzzy e-paraopen Sets and Maps in Fuzzy Topological Spaces

M. Sankari<sup>1</sup>, C. Murugesan<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, Lekshmipuram College of Arts and Science, Neyyoor, Kanyakumari, Tamil Nadu-629 802, India, sankarisaravanan1968@gmail.com

<sup>2</sup>Research Scholar, Pioneer Kumaraswami College of Arts and Science, Nagercoil, Tamil Nadu-629 003, India. (Affiliated to Manonmaniam Sundaranar University, Tirunelveli, kumarithozhanmurugesan@gmail.com)

### Abstract

This article is to study the concepts of fuzzy e-paraopen and fuzzy e-paraclosed sets in fuzzy topological spaces. Further, we extent to study few class of fuzzy maps namely fuzzy e-paracontinuous, -fuzzy e-paracontinuous, fuzzy e-parairresolute, fuzzy minimal e-paracontinuous, fuzzy maximal e-paracontinuous mappings and study their properties.

**Keywords:** Fuzzy e-paraopen, fuzzy e-paracontinuous, fuzzy minimal e-paracontinuous, fuzzy maximal e-paracontinuous.

### I. Introduction

Zadeh [10] established fuzzy sets and since fuzzy topology developed by Chang [2]. The notions of fuzzy minimal(maximal) open and paraopen sets respectively explored by Ittanagi and Wali in [3] and [4]. Subsequently Mukherjee and Bagchi in [1] introduced and showed the notion of mean open set. In section II of current article we introduce the perception of fuzzy e-paraopen set and investigate some comparative results. In section III, we introduce fuzzy e-paracontinuous, -fuzzy e-paracontinuous, fuzzy e-parairresolute, fuzzy minimal e-paracontinuous, fuzzy maximal e-paracontinuous maps and from which we investigate some results with appropriate examples. Throughout this paper following terminologies “fuzzy e-open, fuzzy e-paraopen, fuzzy e-paraclosed, fuzzy minimal e-open, fuzzy minimal e-closed, fuzzy maximal e-open, fuzzy maximal e- closed are respectively abbreviated as Fe-O, Fe-PO, Fe-PC, FMie-O, FMie-C, FMAe-O, FMAe-C respectively. Throught this paper  $F$  and  $Y$  stands for fuzzy topological spaces.”

The following terminologies “fuzzy e-continuous, fuzzy e-paracontinuous, fuzzy minimal e-continuous, fuzzy maximal e- continuous, fuzzy minimal e-paracontinuous, fuzzy maximal e-paracontinuous, fuzzy maximal e-parairresolute are respectively abbreviated as f.e-c, f.e-pc, f.mi.e-c, f.ma.e-c, f.mi.e-pc, f.ma.e-pc, f.mi.e-p.i, f.ma.e-p.i respectively”

**Definition 1.1** A fuzzy subset  $\xi$  of a space  $F$  is called fuzzy regular open [3] (resp. fuzzy regular closed) if  $\xi = \text{Int}(\text{Cl}(\xi))$  (resp.  $\xi = \text{Cl}(\text{Int}(\xi))$ ).

The fuzzy  $\delta$ -interior of a fuzzy subset  $\xi$  of  $F$  is the union of all fuzzy regular open sets contained in  $\xi$ . A fuzzy subset  $\xi$  is called fuzzy  $\delta$ -open [9] if  $\xi = \text{Int}\delta(\xi)$ . The complement of fuzzy  $\delta$ -open set is called fuzzy  $\delta$ -closed (i.e.,  $\xi = \text{Cl}\delta(\xi)$ ).

**Definition 1.2** A fuzzy subset  $\xi$  of a fts  $F$  is called fuzzy e-open [8] if  $\xi \text{ cl}(\text{int}\delta\xi) \text{ int}(\text{cl}\delta\xi)$  and fuzzy e-closed set if

$$\xi \text{ cl}(\text{int}\delta\xi) \text{ int}(\text{cl}\delta\xi).$$

**Definition 1.3** [7] A proper nonzero fuzzy e-open set  $\alpha$  of  $F$  is said to be a (i) fuzzy minimal e-open if  $1_F$  and  $\alpha$  are only fuzzy e-open sets contained in  $\alpha$ . (ii) fuzzy maximal e-open if  $F$  and  $\alpha$  are only fuzzy e-open sets containing  $\alpha$ .

**Definition 1.4** A map from fts  $F$  to another fts  $Y$  is called,

- (i) fuzzy minimal e-continuous [7] if  $f^{-1}(\lambda)$  is a fuzzy e-open set on  $F$  for any fuzzy minimal e-open set  $\lambda$  on  $Y$ .
- (ii) fuzzy maximal e-continuous [7] if  $f^{-1}(\lambda)$  is a fuzzy e-open set on  $F$  for any fuzzy maximal e-open set  $\lambda$  on  $Y$ .

## II. FUZZY e-PARAOPEN AND SOME of THEIR PROPERTIES

**Definition 2.1** A Fe-O set  $\beta$  of a fts  $F$  is said to be a Fe-PO set if is neither FMIE-O nor FMAe-O set. The complement of Fe-PO set is Fe-PC set.

**Remark 2.2** It could be clear from definitions that every Fe-PO set is a Fe-O set and every Fe-PC set is a Fe-C set converse is not true as shown in the succeeding example.

**Example 2.3** Let  $\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3$  and  $\beta_4$  be fuzzy sets on  $F = \{a, b, c\}$ . Then  $\beta_1 = 0.5/a + 0.8/b + 0.8/c$ ,  $\beta_2 = 0.5/a + 0.8/b + 0.9/c$ ,  $\beta_3 = 1.0/a + 0.9/b + 0.8/c$  and  $\beta_4 = 1.0/a + 0.9/b + 0.9/c$  be fuzzy sets with  $\mathfrak{F}_1 = \{0_F, \beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3, \beta_4, 1_F\}$ , Then  $FM_iO(F) = \{\beta_1\}$ ,  $FM_aO(F) = \{\beta_4\}$ ,  $FM_iC(F) = \{\beta_4^c\}$ ,  $FM_aC(F) = \{\beta_1^c\}$ ,  $FP_aO(F) = \{\beta_2, \beta_3\}$ ,  $FP_aC(F) = \{\beta_2^c, \beta_3^c\}$ . Here  $\beta_1$  is a Fe-O set but not a Fe-PO set and  $\beta_c$  is a fuzzy e-closed set but not a Fe-PC set.

**Remark 2.4** The succeeding example revealed that union and intersection of Fe-PO (resp. Fe-PC) sets need not be a Fe-PO (resp. Fe-PC).

**Example 2.5** In example 2.3, fuzzy sets  $\beta_2, \beta_3$  are Fe-PO sets but  $\beta_2 \vee \beta_3 = \beta_4$  and  $\beta_2 \wedge \beta_3 = \beta_1$  which are not Fe-PO sets. Similarly for the Fe-PC sets  $\beta_{c2}, \beta_{c3}$  but  $\beta_{c2} \vee \beta_{c3} = \beta_{c1}$  and  $\beta_{c2} \wedge \beta_{c3} = \beta_{c4}$  which are not Fe-PC sets.

**Theorem 2.6** Let  $\alpha$  be a nonzero proper Fe-PO subset of  $F$ . Then there exists a FMIE-O set  $\beta$  such that  $\beta < \alpha$ .

**Proof.** Since the definition of FMIE-O set, we can conclude that  $\beta < \alpha$ .

**Theorem 2.7** Let  $\alpha$  be a nonzero proper Fe-PO subset of  $F$ . Then there exists a FMAe-O set  $P$  such that  $\alpha < P$ .

**Proof.** Since the definition of FMAe-O set, we can conclude that  $\alpha < P$ .

**Theorem 2.8** (i) Let  $\alpha$  be a Fe-PO and  $\beta$  be a FMIE-O set in  $F$ . Then  $\alpha \wedge \beta = 0_F$  or  $\beta < \alpha$ .

(ii) Let  $\alpha$  be a Fe-PO and  $\tau 1$  be a FMAe-O set in  $F$ . Then  $\alpha \vee \tau 1 = 1 F$  or  $\alpha < \tau 1$ .

(iii) Intersection of Fe-PO sets is either Fe-PO or FMIE-O set.

Proof. (i) Let  $\alpha$  be a Fe-PO and  $\beta$  be a FMIE-O set in  $F$ . Then  $\alpha \wedge \beta = 0 F$  or  $\alpha \wedge \beta \neq 0 F$ . Suppose  $\alpha \wedge \beta = 0 F$ , then we need not prove anything. Assume  $\alpha \wedge \beta \neq 0 F$ . Then we get  $\alpha \wedge \beta$  is a Fe-O set and  $\alpha \wedge \beta < \beta$ . Hence  $\beta < \alpha$ .

(ii) Let  $\alpha$  be a Fe-PO and  $\gamma$  be a FMAe-O set in  $F$ . Then  $\alpha \vee \gamma = 1 F$  or  $\alpha \vee \gamma \neq 1 F$ . Assume  $\alpha \vee \gamma = 1 F$ , then we need not prove anything. Suppose  $\alpha \vee \gamma \neq 1 F$ . Then we get  $\alpha \vee \gamma$  is a Fe-O set and  $\alpha \vee \gamma < \alpha$ . Since  $\gamma$  is a FMAe-O set,  $\alpha \vee \gamma = \gamma$  which implies  $\alpha < \gamma$ .

(iii) Let  $\alpha$  and  $\eta$  be a Fe-PO sets in  $F$ . As  $\alpha \wedge \eta$  is a Fe-PO set then we need not prove anything. Assume  $\alpha \wedge \eta$  is not a Fe-PO set. Since definition,  $\alpha \wedge \eta$  is a FMIE-O or FMAe-O set. If  $\alpha \wedge \eta$  is a f.mi. e-open set then we need not prove anything. Suppose  $\alpha \wedge \eta$  is a FMAe-O set. Now  $\alpha \wedge \eta < \alpha$  and  $\alpha \wedge \eta < \eta$  which contradicts the fact that  $\alpha$  and  $\eta$  are Fe-PO sets. Therefore  $\alpha \wedge \eta$  is not a FMAe-O set. That is  $\alpha \wedge \eta$  must be a FMIE-O set.

Theorem 2.9 A subset  $\tau 1$  of  $F$  is Fe-PC iff it is neither FMAe-C nor FMIE-C set.

Proof. Since the definition of FMAe-C set and the fact that the complement of FMIE-O set is FMAe-C set and the complement of FMAe-O set is FMIE-C set.

Theorem 2.10 Let  $F$  be a fts and  $\tau 1$  be a nonzero Fe-PC subset of  $F$ . Then there exists a f.mi.e-c set  $P$  such that  $P < \tau 1$ .

Proof. Since the definition of FMIE-C set we can conclude that  $P < \tau 1$ .

Theorem 2.11 Let  $F$  be a fts and  $\tau 1$  be a nonzero Fe-PC subset of  $F$ . Then there exists a f.ma. closet set  $Q$  such that  $\tau 1 < Q$ . Proof. Since the definition of FMAe-C set we can conclude that  $\tau 1 < Q$ .

Theorem 2.12 Let  $F$  be a fts.

(i) Let  $\delta$  be a Fe-PC and  $\tau$  be a FMIE-C set. Then  $\delta \wedge \tau = 0 F$  or  $\tau < \delta$ .

(ii) Let  $\delta$  be a Fe-PC and  $\gamma$  be a FMAe-C set. Then  $\delta \vee \gamma = 1 F$  or  $\delta < \gamma$ .

(iii) Intersection of Fe-PC sets is either Fe-PC or FMIE-C set.

Proof. (i) Let  $\delta$  be a Fe-PC and  $\tau$  be a FMIE-C set in  $F$ . Then  $(1 F - \delta)$  is Fe-PO and  $(1 F - \tau)$  is FMAe-O set in  $F$ . By Theorem 2.8(ii) we have  $(1 F - \delta) \vee (1 F - \tau) = F$  or  $(1 F - \delta) < (1 F - \tau)$  which implies  $1 F - (\delta \wedge \tau) = 1 F$  or  $\tau < \delta$ . Therefore  $\delta \wedge \tau = 0 F$  or

(ii) Let  $\delta$  be a Fe-PC and  $\gamma$  be a FMAe-C set in  $F$ . Then  $(1 F - \delta)$  is Fe-PO and  $(1 F - \gamma)$  is FMIE-O sets in  $F$ . By Theorem 2.8(i) we have  $(1 F - \delta) \wedge (1 F - \gamma) = 0 F$  or  $1 F - \gamma < 1 F - \delta$  which implies  $1 F - (\delta \vee \gamma) = 0 F$  or  $\delta < \gamma$ . Therefore  $\delta \vee \gamma = 1 F$  or

(iii) Let  $\delta$  and  $\eta$  be a Fe-PC sets in  $F$ . As  $\delta \wedge \eta$  is a Fe-PC set then nothing to prove. Assume  $\delta \wedge \eta$  is not a Fe-PC set. By definition,  $\delta \wedge \eta$  is a FMIE-C or FMAe-C set. If  $\delta \wedge \eta$  is a f.mi. e-closed set, then nothing to prove. Suppose  $\delta \wedge \eta$  is a FMAe-C set. Now  $\delta < \delta \wedge \eta$  and  $\eta < \delta \wedge \eta$

which contradicts the fact that  $\delta$  and  $\eta$  are Fe-PC sets. Therefore  $\delta \wedge \eta$  is not a FMAe-C set. That is  $\delta \wedge \eta$  must be a FMJe-C set.

### III. FUZZY E-PARACONTINUOUS MAPS AND SOME OF THEIR PROPERTIES

Definition 3.1 A map  $\psi$  from fts  $F$  to another fts  $\Delta$  is called

- (i) f.e-pc if  $\psi^{-1}(\alpha)$  is a Fe-O set on  $F$  for every Fe-PO set  $\alpha$  on  $\Delta$ .
- (ii) \*-f.e-pc if  $\psi^{-1}(\alpha)$  is a Fe-PO set on  $F$  for every Fe-O set  $\alpha$  on  $\Delta$ .
- (iii) f.e-p.i if  $\psi^{-1}(\alpha)$  is a Fe-PO set on  $F$  for every Fe-PO set  $\alpha$  on  $\Delta$ .
- (iv) f.mi.e-pc if  $\psi^{-1}(\alpha)$  is a Fe-PO set on  $F$  for every FMJe-O set  $\alpha$  on  $\Delta$ .
- (v) f.ma.e-pc if  $\psi^{-1}(\alpha)$  is a Fe-PO set on  $F$  for every FMAe-O set  $\alpha$  on  $\Delta$ .

Theorem 3.2 Every f.e-c map is f.e-pc but not conversely.

Proof. Let  $\psi : F \rightarrow \Delta$  be a f.e-c map. We have to prove  $\psi$  is f.e-pc. Let  $\alpha$  be any Fe-PO set in  $\Delta$ . Since every Fe-PO set is a Fe-O set,  $\alpha$  is Fe-O set in  $\Delta$ . Since  $\psi$  is a f.e-c,  $\psi^{-1}(\alpha)$  is Fe-O set in  $F$ . Hence  $\psi$  is a f.e-pc.

Example 3.3 Let  $\alpha_1, \alpha_1^c, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4$  and  $\alpha_5$  be fuzzy sets on  $F = \{a, b, c\}$  with

$$\alpha_1 = \frac{0.3}{a} + \frac{0.4}{b} + \frac{0.4}{c}, \alpha_2 = \frac{0.3}{a} + \frac{0.4}{b} + \frac{0.5}{c}, \alpha_3 = \frac{0.6}{a} + \frac{0.5}{b} + \frac{0.4}{c}, \alpha_4 = \frac{0.6}{a} + \frac{0.5}{b} + \frac{0.5}{c}, \alpha_5 = \frac{0.7}{a} + \frac{0.6}{b} + \frac{0.4}{c} \text{ and } \alpha_1^c = \frac{0.7}{a} + \frac{0.6}{b} + \frac{0.5}{c}.$$

Let  $\tau_1 = \{0_F, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4, 1_F\}$  and  $\tau_2 = \{0_F, \alpha_1, \alpha_1^c, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4, \alpha_5, 1_F\}$  be fuzzy topologies on  $F$ . Consider the fuzzy identity mapping  $\psi : (F, \tau_1) \rightarrow (F, \tau_2)$ . Then  $\psi$  is f.e-pc but not f.e-c mapping because for a Fe-O set  $\alpha_5$  on  $(F, \tau_2)$ ,  $\psi^{-1}(\alpha_5) = \alpha_5$  which is not a Fe-O set on  $(F, \tau_1)$ .

Theorem 3.4 Every \*-f.e-pc is f.e-c but not conversely.

Proof. Let  $\psi : F \rightarrow \Delta$  be a \*-f.e-pc map. We have to prove  $\psi$  is f.e-c. Let  $\alpha$  be a Fe-O set in  $\Delta$ . Since  $\psi$  is \*-f.e-pc,  $\psi^{-1}(\alpha)$  is Fe-PO set in  $F$ . Since every Fe-PO set is a Fe-O set,  $\psi^{-1}(\alpha)$  is Fe-O set in  $F$ . Hence  $\psi$  is a f.e-c.

Example 3.5 Let  $\beta_1, \beta_2$  and  $\beta_3$  be fuzzy sets on  $F = \Delta = \{a, b, c\}$ . Then  $\beta_1 = 1.0/a + 0.0/b + 0.0/c$ ,  $\beta_2 = 1.0/a + 0.6/b + 0.0/c$  and  $\beta_3 = 1.0/a + 0.6/b + 0.5/c$  are defined as follows: Consider  $\mathfrak{T}_1 = \{0_F, \beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3, 1_F\}$ , let  $\psi : F \rightarrow \Delta$  be an identity mapping. Then  $\psi$  is f.e-c but not \*-f.e-pc mapping since for the Fe-O set  $\beta_3$  on  $\Delta$ ,  $\psi^{-1}(\beta_3) = \beta_3$  which is not a Fe-PO set on  $F$ .

Theorem 3.6 Every \*-f.e-pc is f.e-pc but not conversely.

Proof. The proof follows from Theorems 3.2 and 3.4.

Example 3.7 In Example 3.5, “ $\psi$  is f.e-pc map but it is not \*-f.e-pc map.”

Theorem 3.8 Every f.e-p.i map is f.e-pc but not conversely.

Proof. Let  $\psi : F \rightarrow \Delta$  be a f.e-p.i map. We have to prove that  $\psi$  is f.e-pc. Let  $\alpha$  be any Fe-PO set in  $\Delta$ . Since  $\psi$  is f.e-p.i,  $\psi^{-1}(\alpha)$  is Fe-PO set in  $F$ . Since every Fe-PO set is a Fe-O set,  $\psi^{-1}(\alpha)$  is Fe-O set in  $F$ . Hence  $\psi$  is a f.e-pc map.

Example 3.9 As described in Example 3.5, consider  $\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}_3 = \{0_F, \beta_2, \beta_3, 1_F\}$  and  $\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}_1 = \{0_\Delta, \beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3, 1_\Delta\}$ . Let  $\psi : F \rightarrow \Delta$  be an identity mapping. Then  $\psi$  is f.e-pc but not f.e-p.i mapping since for the Fe-PO set  $\beta_2$  on  $\Delta$ ,  $\psi^{-1}(\beta_2) = \beta_2$  which is not a Fe-PO set on  $F$ .

Theorem 3.10 Every \*-f.e-pc is f.e-p.i but not conversely.

Proof. Let  $\psi : F \rightarrow \Delta$  be a f.e-pc map. We have to prove that  $\psi$  is f.e-p.i. Let  $\alpha$  be a Fe-PO set in  $\Delta$ . Since every Fe-PO set is a Fe-O set,  $\alpha$  is a Fe-O set. Since  $\psi$  is \*-f.e-pc,  $\psi^{-1}(\alpha)$  is Fe-PO set in  $F$ . Hence  $\psi$  is a f.e-p.i map.

Example 3.11 In Example 3.5, “ $\psi$  is f.e-p.i map but it is not -f.e-pc map.”

Remark 3.12 Fuzzy e-p.irresolute and f.e-c maps are independent of each other.

Example 3.13 In Example 3.3,  $\psi$  is f.e-p.i map but it is not f.e-c map because for the Fe-O set  $\beta_5$  on  $\Delta$ ,  $\psi^{-1}(\beta_5) = \beta_5$  which is not a Fe-O set on  $F$ .

Let  $\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3$  be fuzzy sets on  $F = \{a, b, c\}$  and let  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3$  be fuzzy sets on  $\Delta = \{x, y, z\}$ . Then  $\beta_1 = 0.2/a + 0.2/b + 0.2/c$ ,  $\beta_2 = \frac{0.3}{a} + \frac{0.3}{b} + \frac{0.3}{c}$ ,  $\beta_3 = \frac{0.7}{a} + \frac{0.7}{b} + \frac{0.7}{c}$ ,  $\alpha_1 = \frac{0.2}{x} + \frac{0.0}{y} + \frac{0.2}{z}$ ,  $\alpha_2 = \frac{0.7}{x} + \frac{0.0}{y} + \frac{0.7}{z}$ ,  $\alpha_3 = \frac{0.7}{x} + \frac{0.7}{y} + \frac{0.7}{z}$  are defined as follows:

Consider  $\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}_1 = \{0_F, \beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3, 1_F\}$ ,  $\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}_2 = \{0_\Delta, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, 1_\Delta\}$ . Let  $\psi : F \rightarrow \Delta$  be a fuzzy mapping defined as  $f(a) = f(b) = f(c) = y$ . Then  $\psi$  is f.e-c but not fuzzy e-p.irresolute because for the Fe-PO set  $\alpha_2$  on  $\Delta$ ,  $\psi^{-1}(\alpha_2) = 0_F$  which is not a Fe-PO set on  $F$ .

Theorem 3.14 Every f.mi.e-pc map is f.mi. e-continuous but not conversely.

Proof. Let  $\psi : F \rightarrow \Delta$  be a f.mi.e-pc map. We have to prove that  $\psi$  is f.mi. e-continuous. Let  $\tau_1$  be any FMiE-O set in  $\Delta$ . Since  $\psi$  is f.mi.e-pc,  $\psi^{-1}(\tau_1)$  is Fe-PO set in  $F$ . Since every Fe-PO set is a Fe-O set,  $\psi^{-1}(\tau_1)$  is a Fe-O set in  $F$ . Hence  $\psi$  is a fuzzy minimal e-continuous.

Example 3.15 From Example 3.2,  $\psi$  is f.mi. e-continuous but it is not a f.mi. e-p.continuous, since for the FMiE-O  $\beta_1$  on  $\Delta$ ,  $\psi^{-1}(\beta_1) = \beta_1$  which is not a Fe-PO set on  $F$ .

Remark 3.16 Fuzzy minimal e-p.continuous and f.e-pc (resp. f.e-c) are independent of each other.

Example 3.17 Let  $\beta_1, \beta_2$  be fuzzy sets on  $F = \{a, b, c\}$  and let  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3$  be fuzzy sets on  $\Delta = \{x, y, z\}$ . Then  $\beta_1 = 0.5/a + 0.0/b + 0.0/c$ ,  $\beta_2 = 0.5/a + 0.7/b + 0.0/c$ ,  $\beta_3 = 0.5/a + 0.7/b + 0.1/c$ ,  $\beta_4 = \frac{0.5}{a} + \frac{0.7}{b} + \frac{0.0}{c}$ ,  $\beta_5 = \frac{0.5}{a} + \frac{0.7}{b} + \frac{0.1}{c}$ ,  $\alpha_1 = \frac{0.5}{x} + \frac{0.7}{y} + \frac{0.0}{z}$ ,  $\alpha_2 = \frac{0.5}{x} + \frac{0.7}{y} + \frac{0.9}{z}$ ,  $\alpha_3 = \frac{0.5}{x} + \frac{0.8}{y} + \frac{0.0}{z}$  and  $\alpha_4 = \frac{0.5}{x} + \frac{0.8}{y} + \frac{0.9}{z}$  are defined as follows: Consider  $\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}_1 = \{0_F, \beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3, 1_F\}$ ,  $\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}_2 = \{0_\Delta, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4, 1_\Delta\}$ . Let  $\psi : F \rightarrow \Delta$  be an identity mapping. Then  $\psi$  is f.mi.e-pc but not f.e-pc (resp. f.e-c) map because for the Fe-PO set  $\alpha_3$  on  $\Delta$ ,  $\psi^{-1}(\alpha_3) = \alpha_3$  which is not a Fe-O set on  $F$ . In Example 3.2,  $\psi$  is f.e-pc but not f.mi.e-pc.

Theorem 3.18 Every f.ma.e-pc is f.ma.e-c but not conversely.

Proof. Let  $\psi : F \rightarrow \Delta$  be a f.ma.e-pc map. To prove  $\psi$  is f.mi. e-continuous. Let  $\delta$  be any FMAe-O set in  $\Delta$ . Since  $\psi$  is f.ma.e-pc,  $\psi^{-1}(\delta)$  is Fe-PO set in  $F$ . Since every Fe-PO set is a Fe-O set,  $\psi^{-1}(\delta)$  is a Fe-O set in  $F$ . Hence  $\psi$  is a f.ma.e-c.

Example 3.19 In Example 3.2, “ $\psi$  is f.ma.e-c but it is not f.ma.e-pc map.”

Remark 3.20 Fuzzy maximal e-p.continuous and f.e-pc (resp. f.e-c) are independent of each other.

Example 3.21 Let  $\beta_1, \beta_2$  be fuzzy sets on  $F = \{a, b, c, d\}$  and let  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3$  be fuzzy sets on  $\Delta = \{x, y, z, w\}$ . Then  $\beta_1 = 0.0/a + 0.0/b + 0.0/c + 0.9/d$ ,  $\beta_2 = 0.0/a + 0.0/b + 0.7/c + 0.9/d$ ,  $\beta_3 = 0.0/a + 0.5/b + 0.7/c + 0.9/d$ ,  $\beta_4 = 0.2/a + 0.5/b + 0.7/c + 0.9/d$ ,  $\alpha_1 = 0.0/a + 0.0/b + 0.3/c + 0.0/d$ ,  $\alpha_2 = 0.0/a + 0.0/b + 0.3/c + 0.9/d$ ,  $\alpha_3 = 0.0/a + 0.5/b + 0.7/c + 0.9/d$ , are defined as follows: Consider  $F_1 = \{0_F, \beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3, \beta_4, 1_F\}$ ,  $F_2 = \{0_\Delta, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, 1_\Delta\}$ .

Let  $\psi : F \rightarrow \Delta$  be an identity mapping. Then  $\psi$  is f.ma.e-pc but not f.e-pc (resp. f.e-c) map because for the Fe-PO set  $\alpha_2$  on  $\Delta$ ,  $\psi^{-1}(\alpha_2) = \alpha_2$  which is not a Fe-O set on  $F$ . In Example 3.2,  $\psi$  is f.e-pc (resp. f.e-c) but not f.ma.e-pc.

Remark 3.22 Fuzzy minimal e-p.continuous and f.ma.e-pc are independent of each other.

Example 3.23 In Example 3.17, “ $\psi$  is f.mi.e-pc map but it is not f.ma.e-pc map. From Example III,  $\psi$  is f.ma.e-pc map but it is not f.mi.e-pc map.”

Theorem 3.24 Let  $F$  and  $\Delta$  be fts. A map  $\psi : F \rightarrow \Delta$  is a f.e-pc iff the inverse image of each Fe-PC set in  $\Delta$  is a fuzzy e-closed set in  $F$ .

Proof. Obvious.

Theorem 3.25 Let  $A$  be a nonzero fuzzy subset of  $F$ . If  $\psi : F \rightarrow \Delta$  is f.e-pc then the restriction map  $\psi_A : A \rightarrow \Delta$  is a f.e-pc.

Proof. Let  $\psi : F \rightarrow \Delta$  be a f.e-pc map and  $A \subset F$ . To prove  $\psi_A$  is a f.e-pc. Let  $\alpha$  be a Fe-PO set in  $\Delta$ . Since  $\psi$  is f.e-pc,  $\psi^{-1}(\alpha)$  is a Fe-O set in  $F$ . By the definition of relative topology  $f_A^{-1}(\alpha) = A \wedge \psi^{-1}(\alpha)$ . Therefore  $A \wedge \psi^{-1}(\alpha)$  is a Fe-O set in  $A$ . Hence  $\psi_A$  is a f.e-pc.

Remark 3.26 The composition of f.e-pc maps need not be f.e-pc.

Example 3.27 Let  $F = \Delta = \Phi = \{a, b, c, d\}$  and the fuzzy sets  $\beta_1 = 0.0/a + 0.0/b + 0.2/c + 0.0/d$ ,  $\beta_2 = 0.0/a + 0.0/b + 0.2/c + 0.5/d$ ,  $\beta_3 = 0.0/a + 0.7/b + 0.2/c + 0.5/d$  and  $\beta_4 = 0.3/a + 0.7/b + 0.2/c + 0.5/d$  are defined as follows: consider  $\mathfrak{F}_1 = \{0_F, \beta_1, \beta_2, 1_F\}$ ,  $\mathfrak{F}_2 = \{0_\Delta, \beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3, 1_\Delta\}$  and  $F_3 = \{0_\Phi, \beta_1, \beta_3, \beta_4, 1_\Phi\}$ . Let  $\psi : F \rightarrow \Delta$  and  $\xi : \Delta \rightarrow \Phi$  be identity mappings. Then  $\psi$  and  $\xi$  are f.e-pc maps  $\xi \circ \psi : F \rightarrow \Phi$  is not f.e-pc, since for the Fe-PO set  $\beta_3$  in  $\Phi$ ,  $\psi^{-1}(\beta_3) = \beta_3$  which is not Fe-O set in  $F$ .

Theorem 3.28 If  $\psi : F \rightarrow \Delta$  is f.e-c and  $\xi : \Delta \rightarrow \Phi$  is f.e-pc. Then  $\xi \circ \psi : F \rightarrow \Phi$  is a f.e-pc.

Proof. Let  $\tau_1$  be any Fe-PO set in  $\Phi$ . As  $\xi$  is f.e-pc,  $\xi^{-1}(\tau_1)$  is a Fe-O set in  $\Delta$ . Again since  $\psi$  is f.e-c,  $\psi^{-1}(\xi^{-1}(\tau_1)) = (\xi \circ \psi)^{-1}(\tau_1)$  is a Fe-O set in  $F$ . Hence  $\xi \circ \psi$  is a f.e-pc.  $\square$

Theorem 3.29 Let  $F$  and  $\Delta$  be fts. A map  $\psi : F \rightarrow \Delta$  is -f.e-pc iff the inverse image of each fuzzy e-closed set in  $\Delta$  is a Fe-PC set in  $F$ .

Proof. Obvious.

Remark 3.30 Let  $F$  and  $\Delta$  be fts. If  $\psi : F \rightarrow \Delta$  is \*-f.e-pc, then the restriction map  $\psi_A : A \rightarrow \Delta$  need not be \*-f.e-pc.

**Example 3.31** Let  $F = \Delta = \Phi = \{a, b, c\}$  and the fuzzy sets  $\beta_1 = 0.7/a + 0.0/b + 0.0/c$ ,  $\beta_2 = 0.7/a + 0.3/b + 0.0/c$  and  $\beta_3 = 0.7/a + 0.3/b + 0.5/c$  are defined as follows: Consider  $F = \{0, \beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3, 1\}$  and  $\tilde{\delta}_1 = \{0_\Delta, \beta_2, 1_\Delta\}$ . Let  $\delta = \frac{0.0}{a} + \frac{0.3}{b} + \frac{0.9}{c}$  be a fuzzy set with  $F\delta = \{0\delta, \beta_4, \beta_5, \beta_6, \delta\}$  where  $\beta_4 = 0.0/a + 0.3/b + 0.0/c$  and  $\beta_5 = 0.0/a + 0.3/b + 0.5/c$ . Let  $\psi : F \rightarrow \Delta$  be an identity map. Then  $\psi$  is \*-f.e-pc but  $f\delta : F\delta \rightarrow \Delta$  is not a \*-f.e-pc, since for the Fe-O set  $\beta_2$  in  $\Delta$ ,  $\psi^{-1}(\beta_2) = \beta_2$  which is not a Fe-PO set in  $F\delta$ .

**Theorem 3.32** If  $\psi : F \rightarrow \Delta$  and  $\xi : \Delta \rightarrow \Phi$  is \*-f.e-pc, then  $\xi \circ \psi : F \rightarrow \Phi$  is a \*-f.e-pc.

**Proof.** Let  $\tau_1$  be any Fe-PO set in  $\Phi$ . As every Fe-PO set is a Fe-O set,  $\xi^{-1}(\tau_1)$  is a Fe-PO set in  $\Delta$ . Again since  $\psi$  is fuzzy

\*-f.e-pc,  $\psi^{-1}(\xi^{-1}(\tau_1)) = (\xi \circ \psi)^{-1}(\tau_1)$  is a Fe-PO set in  $F$ . Hence  $\xi \circ \psi$  is a \*-f.e-pc. □

**Theorem 3.33** If  $\psi : F \rightarrow \Delta$  is f.e-pc and  $\xi : \Delta \rightarrow \Phi$  is \*-f.e-pc, then  $\xi \circ \psi : F \rightarrow \Phi$  is a f.e-pc (resp. f.e-c).

**Proof.** Let  $\tau_1$  be any Fe-PO (resp. Fe-O) set in  $\Phi$ . As every Fe-PO set is a Fe-O set,  $\xi^{-1}(\tau_1)$  is a Fe-PO set in  $\Delta$ . Since  $\xi$  is a \*-f.e-pc,  $\xi^{-1}(\tau_1)$  is a Fe-PO set in  $\Delta$ . Again since  $\psi$  is f.e-pc,  $\psi^{-1}(\xi^{-1}(\tau_1)) = (\xi \circ \psi)^{-1}(\tau_1)$  is a Fe-O set in  $F$ . Hence  $\xi \circ \psi$  is f.e-pc (resp. f.e-c) map.

**Theorem 3.34** A map  $\psi : F \rightarrow \Delta$  is f.e-p.i iff the inverse image of each fuzzy are e-paraclosed set in  $\Delta$  is a Fe-PC set in  $F$ .

**Proof.** Straightforward.

**Remark 3.35** If  $\psi : F \rightarrow \Delta$  is f.e-p.i. Then the restriction map  $\psi_A : A \rightarrow \Delta$  need not be f.e-p.i.

**Example 3.36** In Example 3.2, let  $\delta = 0.0/a + 0.0/b + 0.6/c$  be a fuzzy set with  $F\delta = \{0\delta, \beta_4, \delta\}$  where  $\beta_4 = 0.0/a + 0.0/b + 0.5/c$ . Let  $\psi : F \rightarrow \Delta$  be an identity map. Then  $\psi$  is f.e-p.i but  $f\delta : F\delta \rightarrow \Delta$  is not a f.e-p.i, since for the Fe-PO set  $\beta_2$  in  $\Delta$ ,  $\psi^{-1}(\beta_2) = \beta_2$  which is not a Fe-PO set in  $F\delta$ .

**Theorem 3.37** If  $\psi : F \rightarrow \Delta$  is f.e-pc and  $\xi : \Delta \rightarrow \Phi$  is f.e-p.i, then  $\xi \circ \psi : F \rightarrow \Phi$  is a f.e-pc.

**Proof.** Let  $\tau_1$  be a Fe-PO set in  $\Phi$ . As  $\xi$  is a f.e-p.i  $\xi^{-1}(\tau_1)$  is a Fe-PO set in  $\Delta$ . Again since  $\psi$  is f.e-pc,  $\psi^{-1}(\xi^{-1}(\tau_1)) = (\xi \circ \psi)^{-1}(\tau_1)$  is a Fe-O set in  $F$ . Hence  $\xi \circ \psi$  is f.e-pc. □

**Theorem 3.38** If  $\psi : F \rightarrow \Delta$  and  $\xi : \Delta \rightarrow \Phi$  are f.e-p.i, then  $\xi \circ \psi : F \rightarrow \Phi$  is a f.e-p.i.

**Proof.** Let  $\tau_1$  be a Fe-PO set in  $\Phi$ . Since  $\xi$  is a f.e-p.i  $\xi^{-1}(\tau_1)$  is a Fe-PO set in  $\Delta$ . Again since  $\psi$  is f.e-p.i,  $\psi^{-1}(\xi^{-1}(\tau_1)) =$

$(\xi \circ \psi)^{-1}(\tau_1)$  is a Fe-PO set in  $F$ . Hence  $\xi \circ \psi$  is f.e-pc.

**Theorem 3.39** If  $\psi : F \rightarrow \Delta$  is \*-f.e-pc and  $\xi : \Delta \rightarrow \Phi$  is f.e-p.i. Then  $\xi \circ \psi : F \rightarrow \Phi$  is a f.e-p.i.

**Proof.** Let  $\tau_1$  be a Fe-PO set in  $\Phi$ . As  $\xi$  is a f.e-p.i,  $\xi^{-1}(\tau_1)$  is a Fe-PO set in  $\Delta$ . Since every Fe-PO set is a Fe-O set, we have

$\xi^{-1}(\tau_1)$  is a Fe-O set in  $\Delta$ . Again since  $\psi$  is \*-f.e-pc,  $\psi^{-1}(\xi^{-1}(\tau_1)) = (\xi \circ \psi)^{-1}(\tau_1)$  is a Fe-PO set in  $F$ . Hence  $\xi \circ \psi$  is f.e-p.i. □

**Theorem 3.40** If  $\psi : F \rightarrow \Delta$  is f.e-p.i and  $\xi : \Delta \rightarrow \Phi$  is \*-f.e-pc, then  $\xi \circ \psi : F \rightarrow \Phi$  is a f.e-p.i.

Proof. Let  $\tau_1$  be a Fe-PO set in  $\Phi$ . As every Fe-PO set is a Fe-O set,  $\tau_1$  is a Fe-O set in  $\Phi$ . Since  $\xi$  is a f.e-pc,  $\xi^{-1}(\tau_1)$  is a Fe-PO set in  $\Delta$ . Again since  $\psi$  is f.e-p.i,  $\psi^{-1}(\xi^{-1}(\tau_1)) = (\xi \circ \psi)^{-1}(\tau_1)$  is a Fe-PO set in  $F$ . Hence  $\xi \circ \psi$  is f.e-p.i mapping.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.41** A map  $\psi : F \rightarrow \Delta$  is f.mi. f.e-pc iff the inverse image of each FMAe-C set in  $\Delta$  is a Fe-PC set in  $F$ .

Proof. Obvious.

**Remark 3.42** The composition of f.mi.e-pc maps need not be a f.mi.e-pc.

**Example 3.43** Let  $F = \Delta = \Phi = \{a, b, c, d\}$  and the fuzzy sets  $\tau_1 = 0.0/a + 0.0/b + 0.2/c + 0.4/d$ ,  $\tau_2 = 0.0/a + 0.7/b + 0.2/c + 0.4/d$ ,  $\tau_3 = 0.2/a + 0.7/b + 0.2/c + 0.4/d$  and  $\tau_4 = 0.3/a + 0.7/b + 0.2/c + 0.4/d$  are defined as follows: consider  $\mathfrak{F}_1 = \{0_F, \tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3, 1_F\}$ ,  $\mathfrak{F}_2 = \{0_\Delta, \tau_2, \tau_3, \tau_4, 1_\Delta\}$  and  $\mathfrak{F}_3 = \{0_\Phi, \tau_3, \tau_4, 1_\Phi\}$ . Let  $\psi : F \rightarrow \Delta$  and  $\xi : \Delta \rightarrow \Phi$  be identity mappings. Then  $\psi$  and  $\xi$  are f.mi.e-pc maps  $\xi \circ \psi : F \rightarrow \Phi$  is not f.mi.e-pc, since for the FMIE-O set  $\tau_3$  in  $\Phi$ ,  $\psi^{-1}(\tau_3) = \tau_3$  which is not Fe-PO set in  $F$ .

**Theorem 3.44** If  $\psi : F \rightarrow \Delta$  is f.e-p.i and  $\xi : \Delta \rightarrow \Phi$  is f.mi.e-pc, then  $\xi \circ \psi : F \rightarrow \Phi$  is a f.mi.e-pc.

Proof. Let  $\eta$  be a FMIE-O set in  $\Phi$ . As  $\xi$  is f.mi.e-pc,  $\xi^{-1}(\eta)$  is a Fe-PO set in  $\Delta$ . Again since  $\psi$  is f.e-p.i,  $\psi^{-1}(\xi^{-1}(\eta)) = (\xi \circ \psi)^{-1}(\eta)$  is a Fe-PO set in  $F$ . Hence  $\xi \circ \psi$  is f.mi.e-pc map.

$\square$

**Theorem 3.45** If  $\psi : F \rightarrow \Delta$  is f.e-pc and  $\xi : \Delta \rightarrow \Phi$  is f.mi.e-pc, then  $\xi \circ \psi : F \rightarrow \Phi$  is a f.mi.e-pc.

Proof. Let  $\eta$  be a FMIE-O set in  $\Phi$ . Since  $\xi$  is f.mi.e-pc,  $\xi^{-1}(\eta)$  is a Fe-PO set in  $\Delta$ . Again since  $\psi$  is f.e-pc,  $\psi^{-1}(\xi^{-1}(\eta)) =$

$(\xi \circ \psi)^{-1}(\eta)$  is a Fe-O set in  $F$ . Hence  $\xi \circ \psi$  is f.mi.e-pc mapping.

**Theorem 3.46** If  $\psi : F \rightarrow \Delta$  is f.e-p.i and  $\xi : \Delta \rightarrow \Phi$  is \*-f.e-pc, then  $\xi \circ \psi : F \rightarrow \Phi$  is a f.mi.e-pc.

Proof. Let  $\eta$  be a FMIE-O set in  $\Phi$ . As every f.mi. e-open set is a Fe-O set,  $\eta$  is an e-open set in  $\Phi$ . Since  $\psi$  is \*-f.e-pc,  $\xi^{-1}(\eta)$  is a Fe-PO set in  $\Delta$ . Again since  $\psi$  is f.e-p.i  $\psi^{-1}(\xi^{-1}(\eta)) = (\xi \circ \psi)^{-1}(\eta)$  is a Fe-PO set in  $F$ . Hence  $\xi \circ \psi$  is f.mi.e-pc.

**Theorem 3.47** Let  $F$  and  $\Delta$  be fts. A map  $\psi : F \rightarrow \Delta$  is f.ma.e-pc iff the inverse image of each FMIE-C set in  $\Delta$  is a Fe-PC set in  $F$ .

Proof. Sraightforward.

**Remark 3.48** The composition of f.ma.e-pc maps need not be a f.ma.e-pc.

**Example 3.49** Let  $F = \Delta = \Phi = \{a, b, c, d\}$  and the fuzzy sets  $\tau_1 = 0.0/a + 0.1/b + 0.0/c + 0.0/d$ ,  $\tau_2 = 0.0/a + 0.1/b + 0.7/c + 0.0/d$ ,  $\tau_3 = 0.0/a + 0.1/b + 0.7/c + 0.2/d$  and  $\tau_4 = 0.3/a + 0.1/b + 0.7/c + 0.2/d$  are defined as follows: consider  $\mathfrak{F}_1 = \{0_F, \tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3, 1_F\}$ ,  $\mathfrak{F}_2 = \{0_\Delta, \tau_2, \tau_3, \tau_4, 1_\Delta\}$  and  $\mathfrak{F}_3 = \{0_\Phi, \tau_3, \tau_4, 1_\Phi\}$ . Let  $\psi : F \rightarrow \Delta$  and  $g : \Delta \rightarrow \Phi$  be identity mappings. Then  $\psi$  and  $\xi$  are f.ma.e-pc maps  $\xi \circ \psi : F \rightarrow \Phi$  is not f.ma.e-pc, since for the FMAe-O set  $\tau_2$  in  $\Phi$ ,  $\psi^{-1}(\tau_2) = \tau_2$  which is not Fe-PO set in  $F$ .

**Theorem 3.50** If  $\psi : F \rightarrow \Delta$  is f.e-p.i and  $\xi : \Delta \rightarrow \Phi$  is f.ma.e-pc, hen  $\xi \circ \psi : F \rightarrow \Phi$  is a f.ma.e-pc.

Proof. Let  $\gamma$  be a FMAe-O set in  $\Phi$ . Since  $\xi$  is f.ma.e-pc,  $\xi^{-1}(\gamma)$  is a Fe-PO set in  $\Delta$ . Again since  $\psi$  is f.e-p.i,  $\psi^{-1}(\xi^{-1}(\gamma)) = (\xi \circ \psi)^{-1}(\gamma)$  is a Fe-PO set in  $F$ . Hence  $\xi \circ \psi$  is f.ma.e-pc.

Theorem 3.51 If  $\psi : F \rightarrow \Delta$  is f.e-pc and  $\xi : \Delta \rightarrow \Phi$  is f.ma.e-pc, then  $\xi \circ \psi : F \rightarrow \Phi$  is a f.ma.e-c.

Proof. Let  $\gamma$  be a FMAe-O set in  $\Phi$ . Since  $\xi$  is f.ma.e-pc,  $\xi^{-1}(\gamma)$  is a Fe-PO set in  $\Delta$ . Again since  $\psi$  is f.e-pc,  $\psi^{-1}(\xi^{-1}(\gamma)) = (\xi \circ \psi)^{-1}(\gamma)$  is a Fe-O set in  $F$ . Hence  $\xi \circ \psi$  is f.ma.e-c.

Theorem 3.52 If  $\psi : F \rightarrow \Delta$  is f.e-p.i and  $\xi : \Delta \rightarrow \Phi$  is \*-f.e-pc, then  $\xi \circ \psi : F \rightarrow \Phi$  is a f.ma.e-pc.

Proof. Let  $\gamma$  be a FMAe-O set in  $\Phi$ . Since every FMAe-O set is a Fe-O set,  $\gamma$  is a Fe-O set in  $\Phi$ . Since  $\xi$  is \*-f.e-pc,  $\xi^{-1}(\gamma)$  is a Fe-PO set in  $\Delta$ . Again since  $\psi$  is f.e-p.i,  $\psi^{-1}(\xi^{-1}(\gamma)) = (\xi \circ \psi)^{-1}(\gamma)$  is a Fe-PO set in  $F$ . Hence  $\xi \circ \psi$  is f.ma.e-pc.

#### IV. CONCLUSION

The notion of fuzzy e-open sets is remarkable one. By means of this, fuzzy e-paraopen set introduced and studied. Also various fuzzy mappings and comparisons with appropriate examples investigated.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

Authors are thankful to the referees for valuable suggestions and comments for improving manuscript.

#### References

- [1] Ajoy Mukherjee and Kallol Bhandhu Bagchi, On mean open set and mean closed sets, Kyungpook Math. J. 56(2016), 1259-1265.
- [2] C. L. Chang, Fuzzy topological spaces, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 24 (1968), 182-190.
- [3] B. M. Ittanagi and R. S. Wali, On fuzzy minimal open and fuzzy maximal open sets in fuzzy topological spaces, International J. of Mathematical Sciences and Applications, 1(2), 2011.
- [4] B. M. Ittanagi and S. S. Benchalli, On paraopen sets and maps in topological spaces, Kyungpook Math. J., 56(1)(2016), 301-310.
- [5] F. Nakaoka and N. Oda, Some Properties of Maximal Open Sets, International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences, 21(2003), 1331-1340.
- [6] F. Nakaoka and N. Oda, Minimal closed sets and maximal closed sets, International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences, (2006), 1-8.
- [7] M. Sankari, S. Durai raj and C. Murugesan, Fuzzy Minimal and Maximal e-Open Sets (Submitted).
- [8] V. Seenivasan and K. Kamala, Fuzzy e-continuity and fuzzy e-open sets, Annals of Fuzzy Mathematics and Informatics, 8(1)(2014), 141- 148.
- [9] Supriti Saha, Fuzzy  $\delta$ -continuous mappings, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 126 (1987) 130-142.
- [10] L. A. Zadeh, Fuzzy sets, Information and control 8 (1965), 338-353.