

## An exponential Diophantine equation involving Narayana cow's numbers

**Abdelkader HAMTAT**

Tipaza University Center, Tipaza. Algeria, ATN Laboratory, USTHB. Algeria  
Email: ahamttat@gmail.com

### Abstract

Let  $(C_n)_{n \geq 0}$  be the Narayana cow's sequence given by  $C_0 = C_1 = C_2 = 1$  and  $C_{n+3} = C_{n+2} + C_n$  for  $n \geq 0$ . In this paper, we are interested in finding all powers of two which are sums of two Narayana cow's numbers, i.e., we determine all solutions of the exponential Diophantine equation  $C_m + C_n = 2^s$  in nonnegative integers  $n, m$ , and  $s \leq X$ .

**Keywords:** Padovan numbers, Narayana cow's numbers, Linear form in logarithms, Reduction method.

### 1. Introduction

Narayana's cows sequence  $(C_n)_{n \geq 0}$  originated from a herd of cows and calves problem, proposed by the Indian mathematician Narayana Pandit in his book *Ganita Kaumudi* [fi]. It is the sequence A000930 in the OEIS [fi2] satisfying the recurrence relation

$$C_{n+3} = C_{n+2} + C_n, \quad n \geq 0$$

for initial values  $C_0 = C_1 = C_2 = 1$ .

In the literature, there are several results dealing with Diophantine equations involving factorials, repdigits, and recurrence sequences. In the recent past, the study of Narayana's cows sequence has been a source of attraction for many authors. For instance, Bravo et al. [4] searched for the presence of repdigits in Narayana's cows sequence. They also obtained results on the existence of Mersenne prime numbers and numbers with distinct blocks of digits in this sequence.

The determination of perfect powers of Lucas and Fibonacci sequences does not date from today. The real contribution of determination of perfect powers of Lucas and Fibonacci sequences began in 2006. By classical and modular approaches of Diophantine equations, Bugeaud, Mignotte, and Siksek [8] defined powers of Lucas and Fibonacci sequences by solving the equations  $F_n = y^p$  and  $G_n = y^p$  respectively. From there, many researchers tackled similar problems. It is in the same thought that, others have determined the powers of 2 of the sum/difference of two Lucas numbers [6], powers of 2 of the sum/difference of Fibonacci numbers [F], powers of 2 and of 3 of the product of Pell numbers and Fibonacci numbers.

In this note, we move our interest on the Narayana cows sequence, in an other word, we are interested in finding all powers of two which are sums of two Narayana numbers, i.e., we study the exponential Diophantine equation (1.1)  $C_n + C_m = 2s$ ,

in nonnegative integers  $n, m$  and  $s \geq 2$ . The proof of our main theorem uses lower bounds for linear forms in logarithms, properties of continued fractions, and a version of the Baker-Davenport reduction method in Diophantine approximation.

## 2. Preliminaries

First, we recall some facts and properties of the Narayana cow sequence  $(C_n)_{n \geq 0}$ , which will be used later. One can also see [1]. The characteristic equation

$$x^3 - x^2 - 1 = 0$$

It has one real root  $\alpha$  and two complex roots  $\beta$  and  $\gamma = \bar{\beta}$ . More precisely

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &= \frac{1}{3} \left( \sqrt[3]{\frac{1}{2} (29 - 3\sqrt{93})} + \frac{1}{2} (29 + 3\sqrt{93}) + 1 \right), \\ \beta &= \frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{6} (1 - i\sqrt{3}) \sqrt[3]{\frac{1}{2} (29 - 3\sqrt{93})} + \frac{1}{6} (1 + i\sqrt{3}) \sqrt[3]{\frac{1}{2} (29 + 3\sqrt{93})}, \\ \gamma &= \frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{6} (1 + i\sqrt{3}) \sqrt[3]{\frac{1}{2} (29 - 3\sqrt{93})} + \frac{1}{6} (1 - i\sqrt{3}) \sqrt[3]{\frac{1}{2} (29 + 3\sqrt{93})}. \end{aligned}$$

For all  $n \geq 0$ , the Narayana's cows sequence satisfying the following "Binet-like" formula

$$(2.1) \quad C_n = c_\alpha \alpha^n + c_\beta \beta^n + c_\gamma \gamma^n,$$

Where

$$c_\alpha = \frac{(1 - \beta)(1 - \gamma)}{(\alpha - \beta)(\alpha - \gamma)}, \quad c_\beta = \frac{(1 - \alpha)(1 - \gamma)}{(\beta - \alpha)(\beta - \gamma)}, \quad c_\gamma = \frac{(1 - \alpha)(1 - \beta)}{(\gamma - \alpha)(\gamma - \beta)}.$$

One can easy see that

$$\begin{aligned} 1.46 &< \alpha < 1.47, \\ 0.82 &< |\beta| = |\gamma| < 0.83, \\ 0.61 &< c_\alpha < 0.62, \\ 0.57 &< |c_\beta| = |c_\gamma| < 0.58. \end{aligned}$$

From the fact that  $\beta = \alpha^{-1/2} e^{i\theta}$  and  $\gamma = \alpha^{-1/2} e^{-i\theta}$ , for some  $\theta \in (0, 2\pi)$ . One can see that

$$(2.2) \quad |C_n - c_\alpha \alpha^n| < \frac{1}{\alpha^{n/2}},$$

holds for all  $n \geq 1$ :

By the induction method, it is not difficult to prove the following lemma.

Lemma 1. For all  $n \geq 2$ ; we have

$$(2.3) \quad \alpha^{n-2} < C_n < \alpha^{n-1}.$$

The formula (2.1) can be also written as

$$(2.4) \quad C_n = c'_\alpha \alpha^{n+2} + c'_\beta \beta^{n+2} + c'_\gamma \gamma^{n+2},$$

where

$$c'_x = \frac{1}{x^3 + 1}, \quad x \in \{\alpha, \beta, \gamma\}.$$

DIOPHANTINE EQUATION  $C_n + C_m = 2^s$

Furthermore, we have

$$c'_\alpha \approx 0.1942 \dots$$

The next tools are related to the transcendental approach to solve Diophantine equations. For any non-zero algebraic number  $\eta$  in order to prove our main result, we use a few times a Baker-type lower bound for a non-zero linear forms in logarithms of algebraic numbers. We state a result of Matveev [15] about the general lower bound for linear forms in logarithms, but first, recall some basic notations from algebraic number theory. Let  $\eta$  be an algebraic number of degree  $d$  with minimal primitive polynomial

$$f(X) := a_0 + a_1 X + \dots + a_d X^d = a_d \prod_{i=1}^d (X - \eta^{(i)}) \in \mathbb{Z}[X].$$

where the  $a_i$  are relatively prime integers,  $a_d > 0$  and the  $\eta^{(i)}$ 's are conjugates of  $\eta$ . We denote by

$$h(\eta) = \frac{1}{d} \left( \log a_d + \sum_{i=1}^d \log \max \left( 1, \left| \eta^{(i)} \right| \right) \right),$$

its absolute logarithmic height.

Lemma 2. For an algebraic numbers  $\eta$  and  $\lambda$ ; we have

$$h(\eta \cdot \lambda) \leq h(\eta) + h(\lambda),$$

and

$$h(\eta + \lambda) \leq h(\eta) + h(\lambda) + \log 2,$$

Moreover, for any algebraic number  $\xi \neq 0$  and for any  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ ; we have

$$h(\xi^m) = |m| h(\xi).$$

To prove Theorems 1 and 2, we use lower bounds for linear forms in logarithms to bound the index  $n$  appearing in equations (1.1) and (2). We need the following general lower bound for linear forms in logarithms due to Matveev [11].

LEMMA 3. (Matveev). Assume that  $\eta_1, \dots, \eta_j$  are positive algebraic numbers in a real algebraic field  $k$  of degree  $D$ ,  $b_1, \dots, b_j$  are rational integers, and

$$\Lambda := \eta_1^{b_1}, \dots, \eta_j^{b_j} - 1$$

is not zero. Then

$$|\Lambda| \geq \exp(-1.4 \cdot 30^{j+3} \cdot j^{4.5} \cdot D^2 \cdot A_1 \dots A_j (1 + \log D) (1 + \log B)),$$

where

$$B \geq \max(|b_1|, \dots, |b_j|)$$

and

$$A_i \geq \max(Dh(\eta_i), \log |b_i|, 0.16).$$

The next step is to reduce the bound of  $n$ , which is generally too large. To this end, we present a variant of the reduction method of Baker and Davenport, which was introduced by de Weger [13].

Let

$$(2.5) \quad \Gamma = \vartheta_1 x_1 + \vartheta_2 x_2 + \beta$$

where  $\vartheta_1, \vartheta_2, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$  are given and  $x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$  are unknowns. Set  $X = \max\{|x_1|, |x_2|\}$  and  $X_0, Y$  be positive. Assume that

$$(2.6) \quad Y < X \leq X_0$$

and

$$(2.7) \quad |T| < c \exp(-\delta \cdot Y),$$

Where  $c, \delta$  be positive constants. When  $\beta \neq 0$  in (2:5), put  $\vartheta = -\vartheta_1/\vartheta_2$  and  $\psi = \beta/\vartheta_2$ . Then we have

$$\frac{\Gamma}{\vartheta_2} = \psi - \vartheta x_1 + x_2.$$

Let  $p/q$  be a convergent of  $\theta$  with  $q > X_0$ . For a real number  $x$ , we let  $\|x\| = \min \{|x - n|, n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  for the distance from  $x$  to the nearest integer. We may use the following Davenport Lemma.

LEMMA 4. Suppose that

$$\|q\psi\| > \frac{2X_0}{q}.$$

Then the solution of (2:6) and (2:7) satisfy

$$Y < \frac{1}{\delta} \log \left( \frac{q^2 c}{|\vartheta_2| \cdot X_0} \right).$$

### 3. Main theorem

Our main result can be stated in the following theorem.

Theorem 1. The only solutions  $(n; m; s)$  of the exponential Diophantine equation

$$C_n + C_m = 2^s,$$

in nonnegative integers  $n \geq m$  and  $s \geq 2$  are given by

$$(n, m, s) \in \{(3, 3, 2), (4, 0, 2), (4, 1, 2), (4, 1, 2), (6, 3, 3), (5, 5, 3), (8, 4, 4), (9, 8, 5), (10, 5, 5), (12, 5, 6)\}.$$

First, we study the case  $n = m$ , next we assume  $n > m$  and study the case  $n \leq 100$  with Mathematica in the range  $0 \leq m < n \leq 100$  and finally we study the case  $n > 100$ . Assume throughout that equation (1.1) holds.

In the case when  $s = 1$ , we get the solutions  $(n, m)$  with  $n, m \in (0, 1, 2)$ . Then we assume from now that  $s \geq 2$ .

Step 1: First of all, we assume that  $n = m$ , then the original equation (1.1) becomes

$$(3.1) \quad C_n = 2^{s-1},$$

we check in the range  $n \leq 100$ , we get the solutions  $(n, s) \in \{(3, 2), (5, 3)\}$ . Now we assume that  $n > 100$ , let explore a relationship between  $n$  and  $s$ . For all  $n \geq 2$ , we have

$$(3.2) \quad \alpha^{n-2} < C_n = 2^{s-1} < \alpha^{n-1} < 2^{n-1},$$

Now takin Logarithm on both sides of the inequality (3.2), we obtain

$$(n-2) \frac{\log \alpha}{\log 2} + 1 < s < n.$$

Next, we rewrite equation (3.1) taking the Binet's formula (2.1) and taking the absolute values as

$$|2^{s-1} - c_\alpha \alpha^n| < \frac{1}{\alpha^{n/2}},$$

Dividing both sides of the last inequality by  $c_\alpha \alpha^n$ , we get

$$(3.3) \quad |2^{s-1} c_\alpha^{-1} \alpha^{-n} - 1| < \frac{1}{c_\alpha \alpha^{3n/2}} < \frac{2}{\alpha^{3n/2}}$$

Let put us

$$\Gamma_1 := 2^{s-1} c_\alpha^{-1} \alpha^{-n} - 1$$

One can see that

$$(3.4) \quad \log |\Gamma_1| \leq -3n \log \alpha$$

Now, we need to check  $\Gamma_1 \neq 0$ : If  $\Gamma_1 = 0$ ; then

$$|c_\beta \beta^n| = 2^{s-1},$$

but  $|c_\beta \beta^n| < |c_\beta| \approx 0407506... < 1$ , whereas  $2^{s-1} \geq 1$ . Which is false, then  $\Gamma_1 \neq 0$ . We take

$$\eta_1 = \log 2, \quad \eta_2 = \log \alpha, \quad \eta_3 = \log(1/c_\alpha), \quad b_1 = s-1, \quad b_2 = -n, \quad b_3 = -1.$$

where  $\eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3 \in \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$  and so we take  $D = 3$ . Also,  $B = \max(s-1, n, 1) = n$ . Furthermore,

$$h(\eta_1) = \log 2, \quad h(\eta_2) = \frac{\log \alpha}{3},$$

The minimal polinomial of  $c_\alpha$  is  $31X^3 - 31X^2 + 9X - 1$ . Therefore  $h(\eta_3) = h(c_\alpha) = \frac{\log 31}{3}$ . Thus, we can take

$$A_1 = 2.08, A_2 = 0.39, A_3 = 3.44.$$

Applying Lemma (3), we get

$$\log |\Gamma_1| \leq -1.4 \cdot 30^6 \cdot 3^{4.5} \cdot 3^2 (1 + \log 3)(1 + \log n)(2.08)(0.39)(3.44).$$

Combining the above inequality with (3.4), it follows that

$$\frac{n}{1 + \log n} < 1.3 \cdot 10^{13}.$$

We calculate, we get

$$n < 4.5 \cdot 10^{14}.$$

In order to reduce the bound in  $n$ , we use Lemma (4). Recall that

$$\Gamma_1 := 2^{s-1} c_\alpha^{-1} \alpha^{-n} - 1 = e^{\Lambda_1} - 1$$

where

$$\Lambda_1 = (s - 1) \log 2 - n \log \alpha - \log(c_\alpha).$$

The inequality (3:3) can be expressed as

$$(3.5) \quad |e^{\Lambda_1} - 1| = |\Gamma_1| \leq \frac{1}{\alpha^{3n/2}}.$$

One can see that  $\Lambda_1 \neq 0$ : Since  $n > 100$ ; the right side of (3:5) is smaller than  $1/2$ : Thus, we get

$$|\Lambda_1| \leq \frac{2}{\alpha^{3n/2}}$$

wich implies that

$$|(s - 1) \log 2 - n \log \alpha - \log(c_\alpha)| < 2 \exp(-1.5n \log \alpha),$$

now, we are in a situation to apply Lemma (4), for this, we take

$$X_0 = 4.5 \cdot 10^{14}.$$

we also have

$$c = 2, \delta = \log \alpha, \beta = -\log(c_\alpha),$$

and

$$(\vartheta_1, \vartheta_2) = (-\log \alpha, \log 2), \quad \vartheta = \frac{\log \alpha}{\log 2}, \quad \psi = \frac{-\log(c_\alpha)}{\log 2}.$$

We find  $\frac{p_{38}}{q_{38}} = \frac{453285617800432}{821969096806723}$  is the 38th convergent of  $\vartheta$  satisfies  $q_{38} > X_0$  and  $q_{39} = 3140144568890233$  satisfies  $\|q\psi\| > \frac{2X_0}{q}$ . Furthermore, Lemma (4) implies that

$$Y < \frac{1}{0.385\ 262\ 400\ 8} \log \left( \frac{3140144568890233^2 \cdot 2}{0.555\ 816\ 155\ 1 \cdot 4.5 \cdot 10^{14}} \right) \leq 100.$$

which contradicts our assumption that  $n > 100$ :

Step 2: If  $n \leq 100$ , the search with Mathematica in the range  $0 < m < n \leq 100$  gives the solutions cited in theorem fi. Now, we assume that  $n > 100$ , let first get a relation between  $s$  and  $n$  which is important for our purpose. Combining (1.1) and the right inequality of (2.3), we get:

$$2^{\frac{m}{2}} < 2 \left(\sqrt{2}\right)^{m-1} < \alpha^{n-1} + \alpha^{m-1} < 2^s = C_n + C_m < \alpha^{n-1} + \alpha^{m-1} < 2\alpha^{n-1} < 2^n.$$

Taking Logarithm on both sides of the above inequality, we get

$$\frac{m}{2} < s < n.$$

Rewriting equation (1:1) as

$$(3.6) \quad c'_\alpha \alpha^{n+2} - 2^s = -C_m - c'_\beta \beta^{n+2} - c'_\gamma \gamma^{n+2},$$

but, we have for all  $n \geq 1$ ;

$$\left| c'_\alpha \alpha^{n+2} - 2^s \right| \leq C_m + \frac{1}{2}$$

so the inequality (3:6) becomes

$$\left| c'_\alpha \alpha^{n+2} - 2^s \right| \leq C_m + \frac{1}{2}$$

Dividing both sides of above inequality, we have



$$\begin{aligned} \left| 1 - \frac{2^s}{c'_\alpha \alpha^{n+2}} \right| &\leq \frac{C_m}{c'_\alpha \alpha^{n+2}} + \frac{1}{2c'_\alpha \alpha^{n+2}} < \frac{\alpha^{m-1}}{c'_\alpha \alpha^{n+2}} + \frac{1}{2c'_\alpha \alpha^{n+2}} \\ &< \frac{5.1479\alpha^{m-1}}{\alpha^{n+2}} + \frac{2.5739}{\alpha^{n+2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\alpha > 1.45$ , then  $5.1479\alpha^{m-1} + 2.5739 < 7\alpha^{m-1}$  for all  $m > 0$ , and so

$$(3.7) \quad \left| 2^s \cdot \left( \frac{1}{c'_\alpha} \right) \cdot \alpha^{-(n+2)} - 1 \right| < \frac{9}{\alpha^{n-m}}.$$

We put

$$\Gamma_2 = 2^s \cdot \left( \frac{1}{c'_\alpha} \right) \cdot \alpha^{-(n+2)} - 1$$

Similarly as in the case of T1 above, we show that  $T2 \neq 0$ : Now, we apply Matveev's result Lemma 4, we take  $j = 3$ ; and

$$\eta_1 = \log 2, \quad \eta_2 = \log \alpha, \quad \eta_3 = \log (1/c'_\alpha), \quad b_1 = s, \quad b_2 = -(n+2), \quad b_3 = 1.$$

where  $\eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3 \in \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$  and so we take  $D = 3$ . Also,  $B = \max(s, n+2, 1) = n+2$ . Furthermore,

$$h(\eta_1) = \log 2, \quad h(\eta_2) = \frac{\log \alpha}{3},$$

The minimal polynomial of  $c'_\alpha$  is  $31X^3 - 31X^2 + 9X - 1$ . Therefore,  $h(\eta_3) = h(c'_\alpha) = \frac{\log 31}{3}$ . Thus, we can take

$$A_1 = 2.08, \quad A_2 = 0.39, \quad A_3 = 3.44.$$

Applying Lemma (3), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Log } |T1| &\geq -7.55 \cdot 10^{12} (1 + \log(n+2)) \\ &> -7.55 \cdot 10^{12} (1 + \log n) \end{aligned}$$

Combining the above inequality with (3.7), it follows that

$$(3.8) \quad \frac{n-m}{1 + \log n} < 2.2 \cdot 10^{12}.$$

Let us find a second linear form in Logarithm. For this, we rewrite equation (1.1) as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
 2^s &= |C_m + C_n| = |C_m - c_\alpha \alpha^m + C_n - c_\alpha \alpha^n + c_\alpha \alpha^m + c_\alpha \alpha^n| \\
 &\leq |C_m - c_\alpha \alpha^m| + |C_n - c_\alpha \alpha^n| + c_\alpha (\alpha^m + \alpha^n) \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{\alpha^{m/2}} + \frac{1}{\alpha^{n/2}} + c_\alpha (\alpha^m + \alpha^n) \\
 &\leq \frac{2}{\alpha^{m/2}} + 2c_\alpha \alpha^n
 \end{aligned}$$

Taking the absolute value in above inequality, we get

$$(3.9) \quad |2^{s-1} \cdot (1/c_\alpha) \cdot \alpha^{-n} - 1| \leq \frac{1}{\alpha^{n+m/2}} \text{ i.e } |\Gamma_3| \leq \frac{1}{\alpha^{n+m/2}},$$

where

$$\Gamma_3 = 2^{s-1} \cdot (1/c_\alpha) \cdot \alpha^{-n} - 1.$$

All the conditions are now met to apply a Matveev's theorem (Lemma 4), where

$$\eta_1 = \log 2, \eta_2 = \log \alpha, \eta_3 = \log (1/c_\alpha), b_1 = s - 1, b_2 = -n, b_3 = 1.$$

where  $\eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3 \in \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$  and so we take  $D = 3$ . Also,  $B = \max(s, n, 1) = n$ . Furthermore,

$$h(\eta_1) = \log 2, h(\eta_2) = \frac{\log \alpha}{3}, h(c_\alpha) = \frac{\log 31}{3}$$

Thus, we can take

$$A1 = 2:08; A2 = 0:39; A3 = 3:44:$$

Now, Lemma 3 gives us the following estimate:

$$\log |\Gamma_3| \geq -1.4 \cdot 30^6 \cdot 3^{4.5} \cdot 3^2 (1 + \log 3) (1 + \log n) (2.08) (0.39) (3.44)|$$

it follows

$$\log |\Gamma_3| \geq -7.546790878 \times 10^{12} \cdot (1 + \log n)$$

Combining the above inequality with (3.9), we get

$$(3.10) \quad \frac{2n + m}{1 + \log(n + 2)} < 3.94 \cdot 10^{13}$$

By (3.8) and (3.10), we have

$$\frac{3n}{1 + \log n} < 4.16 \times 10^{13}.$$

and so

$$n < 4.83 \cdot 10^{14}.$$

Now, we can apply the Lemma 4, similarly as in step 1. For this, we take

$$X_0 = 4.83 \cdot 10^{14},$$

we also have

$$c = 2, \delta = \log \alpha, \beta = -\log(c_\alpha),$$

and

$$(\vartheta_1, \vartheta_2) = (-\log \alpha, \log 2), \vartheta = \frac{\log \alpha}{\log 2}, \psi = \frac{-\log(c_\alpha)}{\log 2}.$$

We find  $\frac{p_{38}}{q_{38}} = \frac{453285617800432}{821969096806723}$  is the 38th convergent of  $\vartheta$  satisfies  $q_{38} > X_0$  and  $q_{39} = 3140144568890233$  satisfies  $\|q\psi\| > \frac{2X_0}{q}$ . Furthermore, Lemma (4) implies that

$$Y < \frac{1}{0.3852624008} \log \left( \frac{3140144568890233^2 \cdot 2}{0.5558161551 \cdot 4.83 \cdot 10^{14}} \right) \leq 100.$$

which contradicts our assumption that  $n > 100$ : This proves our theorem.

## References

- [1] J. P. Allouche and T. Johnson, Narayana's cows and delayed morphisms, in Articles of 3rd Computer Music conference JIM 96, France, 1996.
- [2] A. Baker and H. Davenport, The equations  $3x^2 - 2 = y^2$  and  $8x^2 - 7 = z^2$ , Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. 20 (1969), 129-137.7
- [3] K. Bhoi, P. Kumar Ray, Fermat numbers in Narayana cow's numbers, integers, 22 (2022)
- [4] J.J. Bravo, P. Das, S. Guzmán, Repdigits in Narayana's cows sequence and their consequences, J. Integer Seq. 23 (2020), Article 20.8.7.
- [5] J. J. Bravo, C. A. Gómez, and F. Luca, Powers of two as sums of two k-Fibonacci numbers, Miskolc Math. Notes 17 (2016), 85-100.
- [6] J. J. Bravo and F. Lucas, Powers of Two as Sums of Two Lucas Numbers, Journal of Integers sequences, Vol.17(2014) Article 14.8.3

- [7] J. J. Bravo, Luca, F. On the Diophantine Equation  $F_n + F_m = 2a$ , *Quaestiones Mathematicae*, (2016) 39 (3), 391-400.
- [8] Y. Bugeaud, M. Mignotte, and S. Siksek, Classical and modular approaches to exponential Diophantine equations I. Fibonacci and Lucas perfect powers, *Ann. of Math.* 163 (2006), 969-1018.
- [9] A. Dujella and A. Pethó, A generalization of a theorem of Baker and Davenport, *Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser.* 49 (1998), 291-306.
- [10] S. Guzmán, F. Luca, Linear combinations of factorials and S-units in a binary recurrence sequence, *Ann. Math. Québec* 38 (2014), 169-188.
- [11] E. M. Matveev, An explicit lower bound for a homogeneous rational linear form in the logarithms of algebraic numbers II, *Izv. Ross. Akad. Nauk Ser. Mat.* 64 (2000), 125– 180; translation in *Izv. Math.* 64 (2000), 1217-1269.
- [12] Sloane, N. J. A. The Online Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences, published electronically at <https://oeis.org/>.
- [13] De Weger, B. M. M. (1987). Algorithms for Diophantine Equations, PhD thesis, University of Leiden.