

Response of Fractional ordered Magneto-Micropolar Thermoelastostatic Half-Space Due to Hall Current and Rotation Under Ramp-Type Heating

Mamatha Kumari, Assistant Professor, St. Martin's Engineering College, Hyderabad

kumari4bu@gmail.com

E. Rama, Associate Professor, Osmania University, Hyderabad

ramamathsou@gmail.com

Rajneesh Kumar, Department of Mathematics, Kurukshetra University, Kurukshetra 136119, India

rajneesh_kuk@rediffmail.com

Abstract:

Purpose-Present work is concerned with the response of magneto- micropolar viscoelastic half space due to the combined effects of hall current and rotation whose surface exposed to a ramp-type heating in the context of fractional order theory of thermoelasticity.

Design/methodology/approach- The fractional generalization of Lord-Shulman theory has been used to investigate the problem. Analytical-numerical technique based upon Laplace and Fourier transform has been employed to solve the resulting non-dimensional coupled field equations.

Findings-Expressions for stresses, displacement and temperature are obtained in the physical domain using numerical inversion technique. Numerical computed results are depicted graphically to illustrate the effects of hall current, rotation, ramp parameter and fractional parameter and comparisons of physical quantities are shown in the presence and absence of viscous nature of the half space. Some particular cases of interest are also deduced from the present study

Originality/Value-

Keywords-Micropolar thermoelasticity, Fractional order derivative, Hall Current, Ramp-Type Heating, Integral transforms.

Paper Type Research Paper

1. Introduction

Classical theory of elasticity is inadequate to represent the behavior of materials possessing microstructure behavior, for example polycrystalline materials and materials with fibrous or coarse grain structure fall in this category. This theory successfully explains the behavior of material such as Aluminum and steel where stresses remains within elastic limits, however discrepancies in classical theory and experimental results pointed out that microstructure might be important in describing the mechanical behavior of a material. Voigt [1] tried to remove these short comings of the classical theory by introducing additional couple vector to describe the interaction between two particles in a body, which introduced the concept of couple stresses in elasticity. Cosserat theory of elasticity given by Cosserat and Cosserat [2] was the first to introduced the theory of asymmetric elasticity. Eringen [3] and Eringen and Suhubi [4] used Cosserat medium equations and gave well known Micropolar theory and intended to be applied to materials for which the ordinary classical theory of elasticity fails owing to the microstructure of the material. In this theory, a load across surface element is transmitted not only by a force stress vector but also by a couple stress vector and the motion is characterized by six degrees of freedom, three of translation and three of microrotation.

Mechanical and thermal interactions are of practical importance due to their vast applications in various fields like aeronautics, high energy particle accelerators and nuclear reactors. Eringen [5] and Nowacki [6] incorporated thermal effects in linear theory of micropolar. Tauchert, Claus Jr and Ariman [7] developed the linear theory of micropolar thermoelasticity and formulate the constitutive equations. Boschi and Ieşan [8] proposed a generalized theory of linear micropolar thermoelasticity that admits the possibility of second sound effect. Ciarletta [9] established the finite speed of thermal waves by using theory of micropolar thermoelasticity without energy dissipation. Sherief, Hamza and El-Sayed [10] derived the generalized equation for the linear theory of micropolar thermoelasticity.

The magnetic effects in the theory of elasticity were developed with the possibility of extensive practical applications in diverse fields such as geophysics, optics, acoustics, plasma physics etc. It was

assumed that both mechanical and electromagnetic fields interactions occur by means of Lorentz forces appearing in the equations of motions and a term appearing in Ohm's law describing the electric field generated by motion of a particle moving in the magnetic field. Kaliski and Nowacki [11] discussed about the wave type of equations in magneto micropolar thermoelasticity. Othman et al. [12] studied the effects of rotation and modified Ohm's law on magneto-thermoelastic micropolar material with micro temperatures.

There are many materials which exhibit viscous effects when subjected to dynamic loading. The mechanics of such materials can be described by linear viscoelasticity theory. The study of generation and propagation of waves in micropolar viscoelastic materials is well-known and have practical applications in the various fields of science and technology such as, seismology, acoustics, aerospace and submarine structures. Eringen [13] constructed linear viscoelasticity theory for micropolar solids and derived the constitutive equations for rate dependent stress, strain, micro rotation and couple stress. McCarthy and Eringen [14] derived the propagation conditions and growth equations which govern the wave propagation in micropolar viscoelastic solid. Cicco and Nappa [15] discussed Saint-Venant's principle for micropolar viscoelastic solid and established a spatial decay estimate of Toupin type in the dynamic linear theory of micropolar viscoelastic material. Kumar and Sharma [16] investigated the propagation of waves in micropolar viscoelastic generalized thermoelastic solid having interfacial imperfections Deswal et al. [17] recently studied the interaction in a fractional ordered micropolar thermo-viscoelastic half space with diffusion due to mechanical loading.

Fractional calculus has recently been used for modeling several physical processes particularly in the field of heat conduction, diffusion, viscoelasticity, control theory and mechanics of solids etc. Fractional derivatives gaining popularity due to its non-local properties and global dependency behavior. Abel [18] was the first to use fractional calculus in solving an integral equation that arises in the formulation of the tautochrone problem. The applications of fractional calculus to viscoelasticity was established by Caputo and Mainardi [19] and Bagley and Torvik [20]. The mathematical aspect of theory of fractional calculus and its applications may be found in the books by Oldham and Spanier [21], Miller and Ross [22] and Podlubny [23]. The review article of Rossikhin and Shitikova [24] discussed various applications of fractional calculus to problems connected with vibrations and waves in solid with hereditarily elastic properties. Thermoelasticity is another field where the fractional calculus has been applied to explain various cases of heat conduction. Povstenko [25] constructed the nonlocal generalization of the Fourier law and heat conduction by using time and space fractional derivatives. Sherief et al. [26] introduced a new model of thermoelasticity using fractional calculus and proved a uniqueness theorem where fractional parameter ranges between 0 and 1. Ezzat [27] constructed a new model of the magneto-thermoelasticity theory in the context of new consideration of heat conduction with fractional order derivative. Youssef [28] developed another model of the generalized theory of thermoelasticity using fractional calculus in which different cases of conductivity were defined corresponding to different values of the fractional parameter. Shaw and Mukhopadhyay [29] studied the generalized theory of micropolar thermoelasticity with two temperatures using fractional calculus. Kumar and Gupta [30] applied fractional order derivatives to study reflection and transmission of plane waves at the interface of an elastic half space and a micropolar thermoelastic half space. Kumar et al. [31] discussed the plane deformation due to thermal source in a fractional order thermoelastic media. Deswal et al. [32] studied the effect fractional parameter and diffusion in a micropolar thermoelastic half space under ramp type mechanical loading. Sharma and Khator [33,34] examined some problems of power generation due to renewable sources. M. Marin [40,41,42] explored some problems in bioheat thermoelastic, Cosserat thermoelastic media and non-local thermoelastic materials.

Hall effect is one of the important tools to understand the electronic properties of materials and it is the basis of devices such as position detectors and magnetic field measurements. This effect is due to the interaction of electric and magnetic fields acting on a conducting material. There is a development of additional potential difference between the opposite surfaces of a conductor due to the hall effect, which induced a current perpendicular to both electric and magnetic field. This current is termed as hall current. Cowling [35] established that if the strength of magnetic field is very strong then hall current cannot be neglected and Ohm's law need to be modified to include hall current. Zakaria [36] discussed the effect of hall current and rotation on magneto micropolar generalized thermoelasticity due to ramp type heating. Kumar et al. [37] discussed the combined effects of hall current and rotation in fractional order magneto micropolar thermoelastic half space under ramp type heating conditions. Othman and Abd-Elaziz [39] recently studied the effects of hall current and rotation on a magneto-thermoelastic half space with microtemperature and void. Kumar and Devi [38] analyzed interaction due to hall current and rotation in modified couple stress elastic half-space subjected to ramp-type loading. Kaushal et al. [55,56] investigated boundary value problem in frequency domain by considering modified Green-Lindsay thermoelastic medium.

Viscoelastic materials are shock absorber used for isolating vibrations, dampening noise and have many other applications. With special emphasis on viscous behavior, present research work studied the effects of hall current, rotation and fractional order parameter on a micropolar thermo-viscoelastic half space under a strong magnetic field. The transformed components of displacement, stress and temperature distribution are obtained by using Laplace and Fourier transforms. Viscous behavior significantly depends upon temperature so a ramp type heating application is employed to get the solution in the complete form. The resulting quantities are computed numerically and depicted graphically. Comparisons are made in the presence and absence of various effects.

2. Basic equations

The governing differential equations for homogeneous, isotropic micropolar thermoelastic viscoelastic solid, when the hall current and rotation effect are taken into account can be expressed as:

The equations of motion taking into account the Lorentz forces:

$$(\mu^* + k^*)\nabla^2 \vec{u} + (\lambda^* + \mu^*)\nabla(\nabla \cdot \vec{u}) + k^*(\nabla \times \vec{\phi}) - \nu^*\nabla T + \vec{F} = \rho \left(\frac{\partial^2 \vec{u}}{\partial t^2} + \vec{\Omega} \times (\vec{\Omega} \times \vec{u}) + 2 \left(\vec{\Omega} \times \frac{\partial \vec{u}}{\partial t} \right) \right) \quad (1)$$

$$(\alpha^* + \beta^* + \gamma^*) \nabla(\nabla \cdot \vec{\phi}) - \gamma^*\nabla \times (\nabla \times \vec{\phi}) + k^*(\nabla \times \vec{u}) - 2k^*\vec{\phi} = \rho j \left(\frac{\partial^2 \vec{\phi}}{\partial t^2} + \left(\vec{\Omega} \times \frac{\partial \vec{\phi}}{\partial t} \right) \right) \quad (2)$$

Following Sherief et al. [37], the heat conduction equation:

$$K^*\nabla^2 T = \rho C^* \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \tau_0 \frac{\partial^{\theta+1}}{\partial t^{\theta+1}} \right) T + \nu^* T_0 \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \tau_0 \frac{\partial^{\theta+1}}{\partial t^{\theta+1}} \right) \nabla \cdot \vec{u} \quad (3)$$

In the above heat conduction equation, we have taken into consideration the following definition of fractional order derivative

$$\frac{\partial^\theta}{\partial t^\theta} f(x, t) = \begin{cases} f(x, t) - f(x, 0) & \theta \rightarrow 0 \\ I^{1-\theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} f(x, t) & 0 < \theta < 1 \\ \frac{\partial f(x, t)}{\partial t} & \theta = 1 \end{cases}$$

where I^θ is the Riemann-Liouville fractional integral operator of order θ defined as

$$I^\theta f(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\theta)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\theta-1} f(s) ds$$

The constitutive relations:

$$\sigma_{ij} = \lambda^* u_{r,r} \delta_{ij} + \mu^* (u_{i,j} + u_{j,i}) + k^* (u_{j,i} - \epsilon_{ijr} \phi_r) - \nu^* T \delta_{ij} \quad (4)$$

$$m_{ij} = \alpha^* \phi_{r,r} \delta_{ij} + \beta^* \phi_{i,j} + \gamma^* \phi_{j,i} \quad (5)$$

where

$$\lambda^* = \lambda \left(1 + \alpha_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right), \mu^* = \mu \left(1 + \alpha_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right), k^* = k \left(1 + \alpha_3 \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right), \alpha^* = \alpha \left(1 + \alpha_4 \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right), \beta^* = \beta \left(1 + \alpha_5 \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right), \gamma^* = \gamma \left(1 + \alpha_6 \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right), \nu^* = \nu \left(1 + \beta_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right) \quad (6)$$

where σ_{ij} is the force stress tensor, u_i is the component of the displacement vector, ϕ_i is the component of the mirorotation vector, m_{ij} is the couple stress tensor, ρ is the density, j is the micro inertia, ϵ_{ijr} is the permutation symbol. $\lambda, \mu, k, \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \lambda^*, \mu^*, k^*, \alpha^*, \beta^*, \gamma^*, \nu^*$ are material constants. $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4, \alpha_5, \alpha_6, \beta_1$ are viscoelastic relaxation time. K^* is the coefficient of thermal conductivity, $\nu = (3\lambda + 2\mu + k)\alpha_t$, C^* is the specific heat at constant strain, α_t is the coefficient of thermal linear expansion, θ denotes the fractional order parameter, T is the change in temperature of the medium at any time, T_0 is the reference temperature of the body, τ_0 is the thermal relaxation times. For the Lord Shulman (L-S) theory $\theta = 1$ and $\theta = 0$ corresponds to coupled theory of thermoelasticity.

Following Zakaria (2012), the generalized Ohm's law including Hall current

$$\vec{J} = \frac{\sigma_0}{1 + m^2} \left(\vec{E} + \mu_0 \left(\frac{\partial \vec{u}}{\partial t} \times \vec{H} \right) - \frac{\mu_0}{e n_e} \vec{J} \times \vec{H} \right) \quad (6)$$

where $\vec{E}$ is the intensity vector of the electric field, $m = \omega_e t_e$ is the Hall parameter, t_e is the electron collision time, $\omega_e = \frac{e B_0}{m_e}$ is the electron frequency, e is the charge of an electron, B_0 is the magnetic induction, m_e mass of an electron, $\sigma_0 = \frac{e^2 n_e t_e}{m_e}$ is the electric conductivity and n_e is the electron number density, μ_0 is the magnetic permeability and $\vec{J}$ is the conduction current density vector.

3. Formulation of the problem

Consider a homogeneous, isotropic, magneto-micropolar thermoviscoelastic half space with fractional order derivative in an undisturbed state at uniform temperature T_0 . The origin of rectangular cartesian coordinate system (x_1, x_2, x_3) is taken at any point on the plane surface having the surface of half space as the plane $x_3 = 0$ and x_3 -axis points vertically downwards into the medium.

For the two-dimensional problem we assume the components of the displacement $\vec{u}$ and microrotation vector $\vec{\phi}$ of the form

$$\vec{u} = (u_1, 0, u_3), \quad \vec{\phi} = (0, \phi_2, 0) \text{ and } \vec{\Omega} = (0, \Omega_0, 0)$$

A magnetic field with constant intensity, namely $\vec{H} = (0, H_0, 0)$ acts in the direction of x_2 - axis. We also assume that $\vec{E} = \mathbf{0}$, the generalized Ohm's gives $J_2 = 0$ everywhere in the medium

where the current density vector components J_1 and J_3 are given by

$$J_1 = \frac{\sigma_0 \mu_0 H_0}{1 + m^2} \left(m \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial u_3}{\partial t} \right) \quad (7)$$

$$J_3 = \frac{\sigma_0 \mu_0 H_0}{1 + m^2} \left(\frac{\partial u_1}{\partial t} + m \frac{\partial u_3}{\partial t} \right)$$

To facilitate the solution, the following dimensionless quantities are introduced:

$$x'_i = \frac{\omega^*}{c_1} x_i, \quad u'_i = \frac{\rho \omega^* c_1}{\nu T_0} u_i, \quad \phi'_2 = \frac{\rho c_1^2}{\nu T_0} \phi_2, \quad (t', \tau'_0, \alpha'_1, \alpha'_2, \alpha'_3, \alpha'_4, \alpha'_5, \alpha'_6, \beta'_1) = \omega^* (t, \tau_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4, \alpha_5, \alpha_6, \beta_1), \quad m'_{ij} = \frac{\omega^*}{c_1 \nu T_0} m_{ij}, \quad T' = \frac{T}{T_0}$$

$$t'_{ij} = \frac{t_{ij}}{\nu T_0}, \quad \Omega' = \frac{\Omega}{\omega^*}, \quad M = \frac{\sigma_0 \mu_0^2 H_0^2}{\rho \omega^*}$$

where $\omega^* = \frac{\rho c^* c_1^2}{K^*}$, $c_1^2 = \frac{\lambda + 2\mu + k}{\rho}$ and M is the Hartmann number or magnetic parameter

The displacement components are related to the potential functions Φ and ψ as

$$u_1 = \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial x_1} - \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_3}, \quad u_3 = \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial x_3} + \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_1} \quad (9)$$

Making use of (9) in the equations (1)-(3), with the aid of (8) and after suppressing the primes, we obtain

$$\left((1 + \delta_0 \frac{\partial}{\partial t}) \nabla^2 + \Omega_0^2 - \frac{M}{1 + m^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} \right) \Phi - \left(2\Omega_0 + \frac{M}{1 + m^2} \right) \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} - (1 + \beta_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial t}) T = 0 \quad (10)$$

$$\left\{ \left[a_1 \left(1 + \alpha_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right) + a_2 \left(1 + \alpha_3 \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right) \right] \nabla^2 + \Omega_0^2 - \frac{M}{1 + m^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} \right\} \psi + \left(2\Omega_0 + \frac{M}{1 + m^2} \right) \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial t} + a_2 \left(1 + \alpha_3 \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right) \phi_2 = 0 \quad (11)$$

$$\left[\left(1 + \alpha_6 \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right) \nabla^2 - 2a_3 \left(1 + \alpha_3 \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right) - a_4 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} \right] \phi_2 - a_3 \left(1 + \alpha_3 \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right) \nabla^2 \psi = 0 \quad (12)$$

$$\left(\nabla^2 - \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \tau_0 (w^*)^{\theta-1} \frac{\partial^{\theta+1}}{\partial t^{\theta+1}} \right) T - a_5 \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \tau_0 (w^*)^{\theta-1} \frac{\partial^{\theta+1}}{\partial t^{\theta+1}} \right) \left(1 + \beta_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right) \nabla^2 \Phi = 0 \quad (13)$$

where $\delta_0 = \frac{\lambda \alpha_1 + 2\mu \alpha_2 + k \alpha_3}{\rho c_1^2}$, $\beta_1 = \frac{(3\lambda \alpha_1 + 2\mu \alpha_2 + k \alpha_3) \alpha_t}{\nu}$, $a_1 = \frac{\mu}{\rho c_1^2}$, $a_2 = \frac{k}{\rho c_1^2}$, $a_3 = \frac{k c_1^2}{\gamma w^{*2}}$, $a_4 = \frac{\rho j c_1^2}{\gamma}$,

$$a_5 = \frac{\nu^2 T_0}{\rho K^* w^*},$$

To solve the problem, we define the Laplace and Fourier transform as follows

$$\bar{f}(x_1, x_3, s) = \int_0^\infty f(x_1, x_3, t) e^{-st} dt \quad (14)$$

$$\tilde{f}(\xi, x_3, s) = \int_{-\infty}^\infty \bar{f}(x_1, x_3, s) e^{i\xi x_1} dx_1 \quad (15)$$

Applying the Laplace transform defined by (14) to both sides of equations (10)-(13), then applying Fourier transform defined by (15) to both sides of the resulting equations and after some simplification, we obtain

$$\{D^8 + AD^6 + BD^4 + CD^2 + F\}(\tilde{\phi}, \tilde{\psi}, \tilde{T}, \tilde{\phi}_2) = 0 \quad (16)$$

where

$$A = R_1 + R_3 - R_6 - R_7 - R_8 + a_3 a_5$$

$$B = (R_1 - R_7 - R_8)(R_3 - R_6 - a_3 a_5) + R_2 R_4 - R_3 R_6 - R_1 R_7 - a_3 R_5 \xi^2 + R_8 \xi^2$$

$$C = (R_8 \xi^2 - R_1 R_7)(R_3 - R_6 - a_3 a_5) - (R_1 - R_7 - R_8)(R_3 R_6 + a_3 R_5 \xi^2) - R_2 R_4 (R_6 + R_7)$$

$$D = \frac{d}{dx_3}, \quad F = -(R_8 \xi^2 - R_1 R_7)(R_3 - R_6 - a_3 a_5) + R_2 R_4 R_6 R_7$$

$$R_1 = \frac{\Omega_0^2}{1 + \delta_0 s} - \xi^2 - \frac{s^2}{1 + \delta_0 s} - \frac{Ms}{(1 + m^2)(1 + \delta_0 s)}, \quad R_2 = \frac{(2\Omega_0 + \frac{M}{1 + m^2})s}{1 + \delta_0 s}, \quad R_3 = \frac{1 + \beta_1 s}{1 + \delta_0 s}$$

$$R_4 = \frac{1}{a_1(1+\alpha_2s)+a_2(1+\alpha_3s)} \left(\Omega_0^2 - s^2 - \frac{Ms}{1+m^2} \right) - \xi^2, R_5 = \frac{(2\Omega_0 + \frac{M}{1+m^2})s}{a_1(1+\alpha_2s)+a_2(1+\alpha_3s)}$$

$$R_6 = \frac{a_2(1+\alpha_3s)}{a_1(1+\alpha_2s)+a_2(1+\alpha_3s)}, R_7 = \frac{2a_3(1+\alpha_3s)+a_4s^2}{1+\alpha_6} + \xi^2, R_8 = \frac{a_3(1+\alpha_3s)}{1+\alpha_6s}$$

$$R_9 = \xi^2 + s + \tau_0(\omega^*)^{\theta-1}s^{\theta+1}, R_{10} = a_5(s + \tau_0(\omega^*)^{\theta-1}s^{\theta+1})(1 + \beta_1s)$$

The solution of equation (16) satisfying the radiation conditions that $\tilde{\Phi}, \tilde{\psi}, \tilde{\phi}_2$ and $\tilde{T} \rightarrow 0$ as $x_3 \rightarrow \infty$ can be written as

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{\Phi} = A_1 e^{-m_1 x_3} + A_2 e^{-m_2 x_3} + A_3 e^{-m_3 x_3} + A_4 e^{-m_4 x_3} \\ \tilde{\psi} = r_1 A_1 e^{-m_1 x_3} + r_2 A_2 e^{-m_2 x_3} + r_3 A_3 e^{-m_3 x_3} + r_4 A_4 e^{-m_4 x_3} \\ \tilde{\phi}_2 = s_1 A_1 e^{-m_1 x_3} + s_2 A_2 e^{-m_2 x_3} + s_3 A_3 e^{-m_3 x_3} + s_4 A_4 e^{-m_4 x_3} \\ \tilde{T} = p_1 A_1 e^{-m_1 x_3} + p_2 A_2 e^{-m_2 x_3} + p_3 A_3 e^{-m_3 x_3} + p_4 A_4 e^{-m_4 x_3} \end{cases} \quad (17)$$

where,

$$r_i = \frac{m_i^4 + (R_1 - R_9 - R_3 R_{10})m_i^2 + R_3 R_{10} \xi^2 - R_1 R_9}{R_2 m_i^2 - R_2 R_9} \quad (18)$$

$$q_i = - \left(\frac{R_5}{R_6} + \frac{(m_i^2 + R_3)(m_i^4 + (R_1 - R_9 - R_3 R_{10})m_i^2 + R_3 R_{10} \xi^2 - R_1 R_9)}{R_2 m_i^2 - R_2 R_9} \right) \quad (19)$$

$$p_i = \frac{R_{10}(m_i^2 - \xi^2)}{m_i^2 - R_9} \quad (20)$$

$A_i (i = 1, 2, 3, 4)$ are unknown parameter depending s and ξ . These parameters are to be determined from the boundary conditions. $m_i (i = 1, 2, 3, 4)$ are the roots of the characteristic equation

$$n^8 + An^6 + Bn^4 + Cn^2 + F = 0 \quad (21)$$

With the help of equations (9) and (17) we obtained the displacement component $\tilde{u}_1$ and $\tilde{u}_3$

$$\tilde{u}_1 = (-i\xi + m_1 r_1)A_1 e^{-m_1 x_3} + (-i\xi + m_2 r_2)A_2 e^{-m_2 x_3} + (-i\xi + m_3 r_3)A_3 e^{-m_3 x_3} + (-i\xi + m_4 r_4)A_4 e^{-m_4 x_3} \quad (22)$$

$$\tilde{u}_3 = (-m_1 - i\xi r_1)A_1 e^{-m_1 x_3} + (-m_2 - i\xi r_2)A_2 e^{-m_2 x_3} + (-m_3 - i\xi r_3)A_3 e^{-m_3 x_3} + (-m_4 - i\xi r_4)A_4 e^{-m_4 x_3} \quad (23)$$

4.Applications

To determine the response of half space, following boundary conditions has been applied on free surface $x_3 = 0$

(i) Thermal boundary condition

The boundary of the half space is subjected to different types of thermal sources, which depends on the coordinate x_1 and the time t of the form

$$T(x_1, 0, t) = F(x_1)\delta(t) \quad (24)$$

Applying Laplace and Fourier transform on (24) we get

$$\tilde{T}(\xi, 0, s) = \tilde{F}(\xi) \quad (25)$$

(a) Concentrated thermal point source

In this case $F(x_1) = \delta(x_1)$ with $\tilde{F}(\xi)=1$

(b) Uniformly distributed thermal source

$$F(x_1) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } |x| \leq a \\ 0 & \text{if } |x| > a \end{cases}$$

with $\tilde{F}(\xi) = \frac{2 \sin(\xi a)}{\xi}$, $\xi \neq 0$

(b) Linearly distributed thermal source

$$F(x_1) = \begin{cases} 1 - \frac{|x|}{a} & \text{if } |x| \leq a \\ 0 & \text{if } |x| > a \end{cases}$$

with $\tilde{F}(\xi) = \frac{2[1 - \cos(\xi a)]}{\xi^2 a}$, $\xi \neq 0$

(ii) Mechanical boundary conditions.

Under the assumption that the surface of the half space is traction free, we have

$$\sigma_{33}(x_1, 0, t) = \sigma_{31}(x_1, 0, t) = m_{32} = 0 \quad (26)$$

Applying Laplace and Fourier transform on (26), we obtain

$$\tilde{\sigma}_{33}(\xi, 0, s) = 0, \tilde{\sigma}_{31}(\xi, 0, s) = 0, \tilde{m}_{32} = 0 \quad (27)$$

Using equations (4)-(5), equation (8) and equations (14)-(15), the nonvanishing stress components in transform domain can be written as

$$\tilde{\sigma}_{33} = -a_6 i \xi (1 + \alpha_1 s) \tilde{u}_1 + (1 + \delta_0 s) D \tilde{u}_3 - \tilde{T} \quad (28)$$

$$\tilde{\sigma}_{31} = -a_1 (1 + \alpha_2 s) i \xi \tilde{u}_3 + [a_1 (1 + \alpha_2 s) + a_2 (1 + \alpha_3 s)] D \tilde{u}_3 - a_2 (1 + \alpha_3 s) \tilde{\phi}_2 \quad (29)$$

$$\tilde{\tilde{m}}_{32} = a_7(1 + \alpha_6 s) D \tilde{\tilde{\phi}}_2 \quad (30)$$

$$\text{where } a_6 = \frac{\lambda}{\rho c_1^2}, \quad a_7 = \frac{\gamma w^{*2}}{\rho c_1^4}$$

Substitute the values of $\tilde{u}_1, \tilde{u}_3, \tilde{T}, \tilde{\phi}_2$ from (17) in the boundary condition (25), (27) and using (28)–(30), provide us a system of four non homogeneous equations in four unknown and after simplification we obtained the components of displacement, stresses and temperature field:

$$\tilde{u}_1 = \frac{1}{\Delta} [(-i\xi + m_1 r_1) \Delta_1 e^{-m_1 x_3} + (-i\xi + m_2 r_2) \Delta_2 e^{-m_2 x_3} + (-i\xi + m_3 r_3) \Delta_3 e^{-m_3 x_3} + (-i\xi + m_4 r_4) \Delta_4 e^{-m_4 x_3}] \quad (31)$$

$$\tilde{u}_3 = \frac{1}{\Delta} [(-m_1 - i\xi r_1) \Delta_1 e^{-m_1 x_3} + (-m_2 - i\xi r_2) \Delta_2 e^{-m_2 x_3} + (-m_3 - i\xi r_3) \Delta_3 e^{-m_3 x_3} + (-m_4 - i\xi r_4) \Delta_4 e^{-m_4 x_3}] \quad (32)$$

$$\tilde{t}_{33} = \frac{\tilde{\tilde{F}}(\xi)}{\Delta} (d_{11} \Delta_1 e^{-m_1 x_3} + d_{12} \Delta_2 e^{-m_2 x_3} + d_{13} \Delta_3 e^{-m_3 x_3} + d_{14} \Delta_4 e^{-m_4 x_3}) \quad (33)$$

$$\tilde{t}_{31} = \frac{\tilde{\tilde{F}}(\xi)}{\Delta} (d_{21} \Delta_1 e^{-m_1 x_3} + d_{22} \Delta_2 e^{-m_2 x_3} + d_{23} \Delta_3 e^{-m_3 x_3} + d_{24} \Delta_4 e^{-m_4 x_3}) \quad (34)$$

$$\tilde{\tilde{m}}_{32} = \frac{\tilde{\tilde{F}}(\xi)}{\Delta} (d_{31} \Delta_1 e^{-m_1 x_3} + d_{32} \Delta_2 e^{-m_2 x_3} + d_{33} \Delta_3 e^{-m_3 x_3} + d_{34} \Delta_4 e^{-m_4 x_3}) \quad (35)$$

$$\tilde{T} = \frac{\tilde{\tilde{F}}(\xi)}{\Delta} (d_{41} \Delta_1 e^{-m_1 x_3} + d_{42} \Delta_2 e^{-m_2 x_3} + d_{43} \Delta_3 e^{-m_3 x_3} + d_{44} \Delta_4 e^{-m_4 x_3}) \quad (36)$$

where

$$d_{1j} = -a_6 \xi^2 (1 + \alpha_1 s) - i\xi a_6 (1 + \alpha_1 s) m_j r_j + (1 + \delta_0 s) (m_j^2 + i\xi r_j m_j) - p_j$$

$$d_{2j} = a_1 (i\xi m_j - \xi^2 r_j) (1 + \alpha_2 s) - (i\xi m_j - m_j^2 r_j) [a_1 (1 + \alpha_2 s) + a_2 (1 + \alpha_3 s)] - a_2 (1 + \alpha_3 s) q_j$$

$$d_{3j} = -a_8 q_j m_j (1 + \alpha_6 s)$$

$$d_{4j} = p_j \text{ where, } (j = 1, 2, 3, 4)$$

and

$$\Delta = \begin{vmatrix} d_{11} & d_{12} & d_{13} & d_{14} \\ d_{21} & d_{22} & d_{23} & d_{24} \\ d_{31} & d_{32} & d_{33} & d_{34} \\ d_{41} & d_{42} & d_{43} & d_{44} \end{vmatrix}$$

and $\Delta_i, i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ is obtain from Δ by interchanging i^{th} column by the column $[0, 0, 0, 1]^T$

5. Particular cases

- (i) **Response without viscous effect:** Viscous effect can be removed by setting viscoelastic relaxation time, $\alpha_i = 0, (i = 1 - 6)$ in the equation (6), which implies that $\lambda^* = \lambda, \mu^* = \mu, k^* = k, \alpha^* = \alpha, \beta^* = \beta, \gamma^* = \gamma, \nu^* = \nu$. Considering these modifications, we can obtain the expressions for components of displacement, stresses and temperature field in a fractional order magneto-micropolar thermoelastic half space with hall current and rotation effects. The expressions obtained are good in agreement with the previous results obtained by Kumar et al. [35]
- (ii) **Response without fractional effect:** The substitution $\theta = 1$ in all the expressions involving fractional parameter θ will give the results for micropolar generalized thermoviscoelastic half space. The corresponding expressions for all physical fields can be procured from the equations (31)-(36)
- (iii) **Response without Hall Current effect:** To neglect the hall current effects substitute $m = 0$, we obtain the components of stresses, displacement and temperature distribution in a rotating fractional ordered magneto micropolar viscothermoelastic half space without Hall current effect from the equations (31)-(36)
- (iv) **Response without Rotation:** If $\Omega_0 = 0$ in equations (31)-(36), we obtain the components of stresses displacement and temperature distribution in a fractional order micropolar magneto thermoelastic with one relaxation time with following changed values of R_1, R_2, R_4 and R_5

$$R_1 = -\left(\xi^2 + \frac{s^2}{1 + \delta_0 s} + \frac{Ms}{(1 + m^2)(1 + \delta_0 s)}\right), R_2 = \frac{Ms}{(1 + \delta_0 s)(1 + m^2)}, R_4 = \frac{-(s^2 + \frac{Ms}{1 + m^2}) - \xi^2}{a_1(1 + \alpha_2 s) + a_2(1 + \alpha_3 s)}$$

$$R_5 = \frac{\left(\frac{M}{1 + m^2}\right)s}{a_1(1 + \alpha_2 s) + a_2(1 + \alpha_3 s)}$$

6. Inversion of the transforms

The transformed components of displacements, stresses and temperature distribution are the functions of x_3 and the parameter of Laplace and Fourier transform s and ξ respectively and hence of the form (ξ, x_3, s) . We invert the Laplace and Fourier transforms by using the method described by Kumar and Rani [39] to obtain the solution of the problem in the physical domain.

7. Numerical results and discussion

In order to illustrate the impact of various types thermal loads on fractional order magneto micropolar thermoviscoelastic half space under the combined effects hall current, rotation, a numerical analysis is carried out. We take the following values of relevant parameters for the case of a magnesium crystal like material as Eringen [40], Deswal and Kalkal [17]

Parameter	$\lambda (kgm^{-1}s^{-2})$	$\mu(kgm^{-1}s^{-2})$	$K(kgm^{-1}s^{-2})$	$j(m^2)$	$\gamma(kgms^{-2})$
Value	9.4×10^{11}	4.0×10^{11}	1.0×10^{11}	0.2×10^{-19}	0.779×10^{-9}
Parameter	$\rho(kgm^{-3})$	$\alpha_t(K^{-1})$	$C^*(Jkg^{-1}K^{-1})$	$K^*(Wm^{-1}K^{-1})$	$\tau_0(s)$
Value	1.74×10^3	2.36×10^{-5}	9.623×10^2	2.510	0.05
Parameter	$\sigma_0(Col^2/Cal.s)$	$H_0(Am^{-1})$	$\mu_0(Hm^{-1})$	$T_0(K)$	
Value	9.36×10^5	$(10^7/4\pi)$	$4\pi(10)^{-7}$	298	

For a particular model of micropolar viscothermoelastic solid the values of α_i ($i = 1 - 6$) are consider as

$\alpha_1 = 0.01, \alpha_2 = 0.02, \alpha_3 = 0.01, \alpha_4 = 0.03, \alpha_5 = 0.02, \alpha_6 = 0.01$

Considering the above data, computations are carried out to evaluate non-dimensional field variables and results are depicted graphically at different position of x_1 at $t = 0.1$, and $x_3 = 1$. The computations are carried under hall currents effects ($m=0.2$) and rotation ($\Omega_0 = 0.6$) for $\theta = 0.5$.

The solid line (—) in all the figures represents the effects of concentrated thermal loads, dashed lines (- -) represents the effect of uniformly distributed thermal load and dashed dotted lines (-. -. -) shows the physical quantity under the effect of linearly distributed thermal load. Figure 1 display the effects of three different types of thermal loads on normal stress. As shown in figure the normal stress increases in case of uniformly distributed thermal loads as compared to others. With increase in distance from the point of application of the source all the normal stress curves approaching the same value. Figure 2 shows the oscillatory behavior of tangential stress due to thermal loads of various types. Maximum amplitude is observed in case of concentrated thermal loads as compared to uniformly and linearly distributed thermal loads.




FIG 1 BEHAVIOUR AND VARIATION OF t_{33} FOR UNIFORMLY DISTRIBUTED FORCE AND LINEAR DISTRIBUTED SOURCE ARE SIMILAR AS x_1 ADVANCES. MAGNITUDE OF t_{33} INITIALLY INCREASES AS x_1 INCREASES AND AS $x_1 > 0.8$ DECREASES MONOTONICALLY




FIGURE 2 BEHAVIOUR AND VARIATION OF t_{31} FOR UNIFORMLY DISTRIBUTED FORCE AND LINEAR DISTRIBUTED SOURCE ARE OPPOSITE TO EACH OTHER FOR $0 < x_1 < 1.98$ AND THEN CONVERGES TO EACH OTHER AS x_1 ADVANCES. VALUE OF t_{31} REMAINS HIGHER AS THE POINT OF APPLICATION OF SOURCE AND THEN REMAIN OSCILLATORY AS x_1 ADVANCES.




FIGURE 3 MAGNITUDE OF T FOR CONCENTRATED SOURCE AND UNIFORMLY DISTRIBUTION SOURCE REMAINS OSCILLATORY FOR ALL VALUES OF x_1 WHERE AS MAGNITUDE OF T DECREASES MONOTONICALLY AS x_1 INCREASES




FIGURE 4 BEHAVIOR AND VARIATION OF m_{32} REMAIN SAME FOR ALL THE THREE SOURCE WITH DISTINCT MAGNITUDE




FIGURE 5 THE MAGNITUDES ARE DISTINCT FOR EACH SOURCE APPLIED AS x_1 INCREASES




FIGURE 6 THE MAGNITUDES ARE DISTINCT FOR EACH SOURCE APPLIED AS x_1 INCREASES

8. CONCLUSION

In the present paper the interactions in a magneto-micropolar thermo-viscoelastic half space under ramp type heating source in the context of fractional order theory of thermoelasticity has been analyzed. The behavior of non-dimensional temperature field, normal stress, tangential stress and couple stress are examined due to the presence of various effects such as viscosity, hall current, rotation, and fractional order parameter. From the theoretical and numerical analysis, we can conclude the following points.

- The presence of viscosity term has strongly influenced the stresses and temperature field in the half space. The temperature field falls significantly near the point of application of ramp type heating source in case viscous micropolar half space as compared to non-viscous micropolar half space while all the stresses initially are on higher side (in magnitude) in case of non-viscous micropolar half space.
- Hall current parameter has caused a little effect on temperature and normal stress field while tangential and couple stresses are affected significantly.
- Rotation has a salient effect on the all the fields
- Effects of fractional order parameter are quite pertinent on the fields near the point of application of the source. With increases in distance the results of fractional order theory of thermoelasticity are quite in agreements with generalized theory of thermoelasticity
- The Effects of all the considered parameters die out as we move away from the position of sources which is

It is concluded that the interaction in a rotating fractional ordered magneto-micropolar thermoelastic half space due to ramp type heating which induced hall currents is a significant problem of continuum mechanics. The results obtained in this theoretical model will be beneficial for the researcher working in the field of magneto micropolar fractional order thermoelasticity.

Declaration

The author declaration that there is no conflict of interest

No fund/grant/scholarship has been taken for research work

Mamatha Kumari

Literature view, solved the research problem, writing the discussion of the numerical results

Rama

Conceptualization, formulation, methodology, supervision, visualization.

Rajneesh

Problem formulation, methodology, editing, software, data evaluation, conclusion, editing.

All the authors read and agreed to submit for publication

Bibliography

- [1] W. Voigt, "Theoretische studien über die elastizitätsverhältnisse der krystalle abhandl," *d.Ges.d.Wiss.zu Göttingen*, vol. 34, pp. 3-51, 1887.
- [2] E. Cosserat and F. Cosserat, "Theorie des corps deformables," *Hermann et fils*, 1909.
- [3] A.C. Eringen, "Linear theory of Micropolar elasticity," *Journal of Mathematics and Mechanics*, vol. 15, pp. 909-923, 1966.
- [4] A.C. Eringen and E.S. Suhubi, "Nonlinear theory of simple micro-elastic solid-I," *International Journal of Engineering Science*, vol. 2, pp. 189-203, 1964.
- [5] A.C. Eringen, "Foundation of micropolar thermoelasticity, Courses and lectures," in *CISM Udine.*, vol. 23, Wien and New York, 1970.
- [6] W. Nowacki, "Couple stresses in the theory of thermoelasticity," in *Proceedings of IUTAM Symposia*, 1970, pp. 259-278.
- [7] T.R. Tauchert, W.D. Claus Jr, and T. Ariman, "The Linear theory of micropolarthermoelasticity," *International Journal of Engineering Science*, vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 37-47, 1968.
- [8] Enzo Boschi and Dorin Ieşan, "A generalized theory of linear micropolar thermoelasticity," *Meccanica*, vol. 8, no. 3, pp. 154-157, 1973.
- [9] Michele Ciarletta, "A theory of micropolar thermoelasticity without energy dissipation," *Journal of Thermal Stresses*, vol. 22, no. 6, pp. 581-594, 1999.
- [10] H.H. Sherief, F.A. Hamza, and A.M. El-Sayed, "Theory of generalized micropolar thermoelasticity and an axissymmetric half space problem," *Journal of Thermal stresses*, vol. 28, no. 4, pp. 409-437, 2005.
- [11] S. Kaliski and W. Nowacki, "Wave-type equations of thermo-magneto-techniques, microelasticity," *Bulletin De L' Academie Polonaise Des Sciences*, vol. 18, no. 4, pp. 155-158, 1970.
- [12] Mohamed I.A. Othmana, Ramadan S. Tantawi, and Mohamed I.M. Hilal, "Rotation and modified Ohm's law influence on magneto-thermoelastic micropolar material with microtemperatures," *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 276, pp. 468-480, 2016.
- [13] A. C. Eringen, "Linear theory of micropolar viscoelasticity," *International Journal of Engineering Science*, vol. 5, no. 2, pp. 191-204, 1967.
- [14] Matthew F McCarthy and A. C.l Eringen, "Micropolar viscoelastic waves," *International Journal of Engineering Science*, vol. 7, no. 5, pp. 447-458, 1969.
- [15] S. De Cicco and L. Nappa, "On Saint-Venant's principle for micropolar viscoelastic bodies," *International Journal of Engineering Science*, vol. 37, pp. 883-893, 1999.
- [16] Rajneesh Kumar and Nidhi Sharma, "Propagation of waves in micropolar viscoelastic generalized thermoelastic solids having interfacial imperfections," *Theoretical and Applied Fracture Mechanics*, vol. 50, pp. 226-234, 2008.
- [17] Sunita Deswal, Kapil Kumar Kalkal, and Renu Yadav, "Response of fractional ordered micropolar thermoviscoelastic half-space with diffusion due to ramp type mechanical load," *Applied Mathematical Modelling*, vol. 49, pp. 144-161, 2017.
- [18] N.H. Abel, "Solution de quelques problem a l' aide d' integrals define," *werke I*, vol. 10, 1823.

- [19] M. Caputo and F. Mainardi, "A new dissipation modal based memory mechanism," *Pure and Applied Geophysics*, vol. 91, pp. 134-147, 1971.
- [20] R.L. Bagley and P.J. Torvik, "A theoretical basis for the application of fractional calculus to viscoelasticity," *Journal of Rheology*, vol. 27, pp. 201-307, 1983.
- [21] K.B. Oldham and J. Spanier, *The fractional Calculus*. Academic Press: New York, 1974.
- [22] K.S. Miller and B. Ross, *An introduction to the Fractional Calculus and Fractional Differential equation*. John Wiley and Sons: New York, 1993.
- [23] I. Podlubny, *Fractional differential Equations*. New York: Academic Press, 1999.
- [24] Y.A. Rossikhin and M.V. Shitikova, "Applications of fractional calculus to dynamic problem of linear and nonlinear hereditary mechanics of solids," *Applied Mechanics Reviews*, vol. 50, pp. 15-67, 1997.
- [25] Y.Z. Povstenko, "Thermoelasticity that uses fractional heat conduction equation," *Journal of mathematical stresses*, vol. 162, pp. 293-305, 2009.
- [26] H.H. Sherief, A.M. EI-Sayed, and A.M. EI-Latief, "Fractional order theory of thermoelasticity," *Int.J.Solid Struct.*, vol. 47, pp. 269-275, 2010.
- [27] M.A. Ezzat, "Magneto-thermoelasticity with thermoelectric properties and fractional derivative heat transfer," *Physica B*, vol. 406, pp. 30-35, 2011a.
- [28] H.M. Youssef, "Theory of fractional order generalized thermoelasticity," *ASME J.Heat Transfer*, vol. 132, pp. 1-7, 2010.
- [29] S. Shaw and B. Mukhopadhyay, "Generalized theory of micropolar-fractional ordered thermoelasticity with two-temperature," *Int.J.Appl.Math.Mech.*, vol. 7, pp. 32-48, 2011.
- [30] R. Kumar and V. Gupta, "Reflection and transmission of plane waves at the interface of an elastic half space and a fractional order thermoelastic half space," *Archive of Applied Mechanics*, vol. 83, no. 8, pp. 1109-1128, 2013.
- [31] R. Kumar, V. Gupta, and Abbas Ibrahim A, "Plane deformation due to thermal source in fractional order thermoelastic media ," *Journal of computational and theoretical nanoscience*, vol. 10, pp. 2520-2525, 2013.
- [32] Eman M Hussein, "Fractional order thermoelastic problem for an infinitely long solid circular cylinder ," *Journal of thermal stresses* , vol. 38, pp. 133-145, 2015.
- [33] S.Sharma and S.Khator, Power generation planning with reserve dispatch and weather uncertainties including penetration of renewable sources, *International Journal of Smart Grid and Clean Energy*, 2021, doi:10.12720/sgce.10.4.292-303.
- [34] S.Sharma and S.Khator, Micro-Grid planning with aggregator's role in the renewable inclusive prosumer market. *Journal of Power and Energy Engineering*, 2022, doi:10.4236/jpee.2022.104004.
- [35] T.G. Cowling, *Magneto hydrodynamics*. New York: Wiley Interscience, 1957.
- [36] M Zakaria, "Effect of hall current and rotation on magneto- micropolar generalized thermoelasticity due to ramp type heating ," *International journal of electromagnetics and applications*, no. 2(3), pp. 24-32, 2012
- [37] Kumar and Devi, "Interaction due to Hall Current and Rotation in Modified Couple Stress Elastic Half-Space due to Ramp-type Loading, *CMST* 21(4), pp.229-240, 2015
- [38] Rajneesh Kumar, Kulwinder Singh, and Devinder Singh Pathania, "Interactions due to hall current and rotation in a magnetomicropolar thermoelastic half-space subjected to ramp-type heating," *Multidiscipline Modeling in Materials and Structures*, vol. 12, no. 1, pp. 133-150, 2016.
- [39] Mohamed I.A. Othman and Elsyed M. Abd-Elaziz, "Plane waves in a magneto-thermoelastic solids with voids and microtemperatures due to hall current and rotation," *Results in Physics*, vol. 7, pp. 4253–4263, 2017.
- [40] M.Marin, A Hobiny and I Abbas, Finite element analysis of nonlinear bioheat model in skin tissue due to external thermal sources, *Mathematics*, 9(13):Art.No.1459(2021).
- [41] M Marin, A Seadawy, S Vlase, A Chirila. On mixed problem in thermo-elasticity of type III for Cosserat media, *Journal of Taibah University for Science*, 16(1):1264:1274(2022).
- [42] A. Hobiny, I.Abbas, H.Alshehri and M.Marin, " Analytic solution of nonlocal thermoelastic interaction on semi-infinite mediums included by ramp-type heating, *Symmetry*, 14:864(2022). <https://doi.org/10.3390/sym1405086>
- [43] H.H. Sherief, A.M. EI-Sayed, and A.M. EI-Latief, "Fractional order theory of thermoelasticity,"

- International Journal of Solids and Structures*, vol. 47, pp. 269-275, 2010.
- [44] H.M. Youssef and E.A. Al-Lehaibi, "Fractional order generalized thermoelastic half-space subjected to ramp-type heating," *Mechanics research Communications*, vol. 37, pp. 448-452, 2010.
- [45] R. Kumar and L. Rani, "Elastodynamics response of mechanical and thermal source in generalized thermoelastic half space with voids," *Mechanics and Mechanical Engineering*, vol. 9, no. 2, pp. 29-45, 2005.
- [46] A.C. Eringen, "Plane waves in non-local micropolar elasticity," *International Journal of Engineering Science*, vol. 22, pp. 1113-1121, 1984.
- [47] M.A. Ezzat, "Theory of fractional order in generalized thermoelastic MHD," *Applied Mathematical Modelling*, vol. 35, pp. 4965-4978, 2011b.
- [48] M.A. Biot, "Thermoelasticity and irreversible thermodynamics," *Journal of Applied Physics*, vol. 27, pp. 240-253, 1956.
- [49] S. Shaw and B. Mukhopadhyay, "Generalized theory of micropolar-fractional ordered thermoelasticity with two-temperature," *Journal of Applied Mathematics and Mechanics*, vol. 7, pp. 32-48, 2011.
- [50] H.W. Lord and Y. Shulman, "Generalized dynamical theory of thermoelasticity," *J. Mech. Phys. solid*, vol. 15, pp. 299-309, 1967.
- [51] S. Kaliski, "Thermo-magneto-microelasticity," *Bull Acad Pol Sci Sr Sci Tech*, vol. 16, no. 1, pp. 7-12, 1968.
- [52] Sunita Deswal and Kapil Kumar Kalkal, "Fractional order heat conduction law in micropolar thermo-viscoelasticity with two temperature," *International journal of Heat and Mass Transfer*, vol. 66, pp. 451-460, 2013.
- [53] Rajneesh Kumar and Suman Choudhary, "Dynamical problem of micropolar viscoelasticity," *Proceedings of the Indian Academy of Sciences, Earth and Planetary Sciences*, vol. 110, no. 3, pp. 215-223, 2001.
- [54] Y.Q. Song, Y.C. Zhang, H.Y. Xu, and B.H. Lu, "Magneto-thermoviscoelastic wave propagation at the interface between two micropolar viscoelastic media," *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 176, pp. 785-802, 2006.
- [55] S. Kaushal, R. Kumar, Kh. Lofty and I. Bala, "Response of frequency domain in generalized thermoelastic half space under modified Green-Lindsay with non local and two temperature," *ZAMM-Journal of Applied Mathematics and Mechanics*, 2024, doi:10.1002/zamm.202301012
- [56] S. Sharma, S. Devi, R. Kumar and M. Marin, "Examining basic theorems and plane waves in the context of thermoelastic diffusion using a multi-phase-lag model with temperature dependence, 2024, <https://doi.org/10.1080/15376494.2024.2370523>