

Cross-Cultural Examination of Cognitive Flexibility in Arithmetic: Replicating Rathgeb-Schnierer and Green's Methodology among Asian Language-Speaking Students

Mayur Bhojar¹, Mr. Sumit R. Raut², Mr. Ashish B. Samarth³, Dr. Anoop Beri⁴

¹PhD Scholar, Department of Computer Science Engineering, Maharashtra, India

²Assistant Professor, Jagadambha College of Engineering & Technology, Yavatmal, India

³Assistant Professor, Jagadambha College of Engineering & Technology, Yavatmal, India

⁴Professor, School of Education, Lovely Professional University, Phagwara.

Email ID: ¹mayurbhojar2@gmail.com, ²sumitmraut22@gmail.com, ³samarth.ashish777@gmail.com, ⁴anoop.26469@lpu.co.in

Abstract:

This research is to investigate the role of cognitive flexibility as a fundamental mathematical competence that is essential for fostering a deep comprehension of arithmetic in kids. Drawing inspiration from the methodology employed by Rathgeb-Schnierer and Green, this study aims to duplicate their approach within a unique group of pupils who primarily speak Asian languages. The study included a total of 80 Asian students from second and fourth grades. These students were administered a translated version of a guided interview, which consisted of 12 two-digit addition and subtraction tasks. The analysis of the data, both qualitative and quantitative, produced results that were quite persuasive. The methodology shown resilience when applied across several cultural and language contexts, indicating its suitability for use in a wide range of educational environments. Furthermore, the study discovered three distinct flexibility profiles that resemble the ones previously described by Rathgeb-Schnierer and Green. This finding suggests that cognitive flexibility patterns among Asian students can be reliably reproduced. The findings of this study have implications that can be applied to the field of education. They imply that tactics that promote cognitive flexibility can be helpful and applicable in various cultural contexts. The capacity to demonstrate a range of flexible problem-solving strategies boosts pupils' mathematical skill and has the potential to facilitate a more profound understanding of arithmetic ideas.

Keywords: cognitive flexibility, mathematical competence, arithmetic understanding, cultural diversity, problem-solving approaches.

1. INTRODUCTION

Cognitive flexibility in mathematical education has gained global attention as researchers seek to understand its pivotal role in fostering a deep comprehension of arithmetic, particularly among children. The current study, drawing inspiration from the work of Rathgeb-Schnierer and Green, contributes to this discourse by replicating their methodology in a distinct cohort of Asian-speaking students.

Recent research has highlighted the importance of cognitive flexibility in shaping effective learning strategies and problem-solving skills (Smith et al., 2021). The study's cross-cultural application of Rathgeb-Schnierer and Green's methodology aligns with the growing recognition of the need for culturally sensitive educational approaches (Jones & Wang, 2020). It emphasizes the universality of cognitive flexibility as a fundamental mathematical

competence applicable across diverse linguistic and cultural backgrounds.

This study corroborates with contemporary research on cognitive development in children, indicating that flexibility profiles identified in Asian students align with broader cognitive flexibility patterns observed in various cultural contexts (Chen & Lee, 2022). This supports the argument that cognitive flexibility is a translatable skill crucial for students globally this study align with recent pedagogical trends emphasizing the importance of adaptable and contextually relevant teaching methods (Johnson, 2023). The identified flexibility profiles provide valuable insights for educators, highlighting the applicability of cognitive flexibility strategies in enhancing mathematical competence among students from different cultural backgrounds.

The examination of cognitive flexibility within a cohort of students who mostly speak Asian languages provides a distinctive perspective on the worldwide domain of educational psychology and cross-cultural research. Although the study does not directly address the specific continents, its emphasis on Asian students offers a valuable lens through which to examine cognitive processes within the context of educational institutions in Asia. Suzuki, K., & Tanaka, H. (2021)

Within the wider global framework, this study is in line with the growing acknowledgment of the necessity to comprehend cognitive development and educational approaches within various cultural contexts. The acknowledgment of this phenomenon has spurred researchers to investigate the extent to which cognitive processes and successful learning approaches are universally relevant across different cultural contexts.

An illustrative instance can be found in the research conducted by Chen and Lee (2022) on the examination of cognitive flexibility across different cultures. This study, which is cited in the present investigation, provides valuable insights into the comparative dimensions of cognitive development. This study is expected to provide valuable insights into the manifestation of cognitive flexibility across various cultural and linguistic contexts, highlighting the broader importance of the research beyond specific geographical regions.

The study conducted by Jones and Wang (2020) examines the concept of culturally responsive teaching and contributes to the existing literature by emphasizing the significance of adapting educational methods to accommodate individual cultural intricacies within a given setting. This viewpoint is consistent with the findings of the study, which suggest that strategies aimed at enhancing cognitive flexibility have the potential to yield positive outcomes and can be relevant across diverse cultural settings.

Collectively, these citations serve as prime examples of the worldwide fascination with comprehending the convergence of cognitive mechanisms, pedagogical approaches, and cultural heterogeneity. The present study on Asian-speaking students not only contributes to the broader discourse on cognitive flexibility but also provides valuable insights that have the potential to inform educational practices globally. This is achieved by drawing inspiration from and replicating the methodologies employed by Rathgeb-Schnierer and Green.

The study addresses the imperative need to delve into the role of cognitive flexibility as a foundational mathematical competence essential for nurturing a profound understanding of arithmetic, particularly in the context of young learners. While prior research by Rathgeb-Schnierer and Green laid a foundation in this area, the investigation seeks to replicate their methodology in a distinct cohort of students predominantly speaking Asian languages. The focus is on understanding how cognitive flexibility manifests in the problem-solving

strategies of these young learners, particularly in the realm of two-digit addition and subtraction tasks.

Educational Impact The research holds significance for educators and curriculum developers by providing insights into the transferability of methodologies aimed at enhancing cognitive flexibility. Understanding how such strategies can be applied across diverse linguistic and cultural backgrounds is crucial for developing effective teaching methods. **Cultural Diversity in Mathematics Education:** The inclusion of Asian-speaking students in the study contributes to the discourse on cultural diversity in mathematics education. By exploring cognitive flexibility within this specific demographic, the study addresses the need for more inclusive and culturally sensitive educational practices. **Validation and Reproducibility:** The identification of three distinct flexibility profiles among Asian students, mirroring those found in previous research, contributes to the validation and reproducibility of cognitive flexibility patterns. This finding enhances the reliability of the concept across different cultural and linguistic contexts. **Enhancing Mathematical Proficiency:** The study's focus on problem-solving approaches and flexible strategies underscores the potential impact on students' mathematical skills. The implications extend to the broader goal of fostering a deeper comprehension of arithmetic concepts among young learners. **Generalization of Methodology:** The resilience of the methodology across cultural and language boundaries highlights its adaptability, suggesting its potential for broader application in diverse educational environments. This generalization is critical for informing pedagogical practices on a global scale.

Theoretical Frameworks

This study's draws on a number of important theoretical viewpoints in order to conduct an in-depth investigation of the role that cognitive flexibility plays in creating a profound knowledge of mathematics, with a particular emphasis placed on pupils who speak an Asian language. The study of cognitive flexibility and how it manifests itself in the process of solving mathematical problems might benefit from the distinct insights provided by each theoretical approach.

This research is predicated on Piaget's Cognitive Development Theory, which acts as the study's foundational basis. Piaget's stages of cognitive development, in particular the concrete operational stage, are taken into consideration. (Piaget, 2018) The purpose of this study is to investigate how cognitive flexibility presents itself in the problem-solving strategies of kids in the second and fourth grades.

The integration of Vygotsky's sociocultural theory places an emphasis on the role that social and cultural influences have in the development of cognitive abilities. The study takes into account the individuals' varied cultural backgrounds and investigates how different cultural contexts influence the cognitive flexibility required for mathematics learning (Vygotsky, 2018).

In order to examine how pupils process information while attempting to solve mathematical problems, the Information Processing Theory is utilized, with a particular emphasis placed on cognitive flexibility. (Engle & Kane, 2019) This study studies the cognitive processes that underlie flexible methods in two-digit addition and subtraction tasks.

To understand how cognitive flexibility, which is a component of executive functions, leads to effective problem-solving in the arithmetic domain, the Executive Functioning Framework is applied (Diamond, 2018). In order to investigate the cultural aspects of cognitive flexibility, Cultural-Historical Activity Theory (CHAT) is utilized. This theory takes into

account the cultural backgrounds of students who speak Asian as an essential part of the educational process (Cole & Wertsch, 2019).

According to Halford and Andrews (2019), the Dynamic Skill Theory is used to understand the development of flexible problem-solving skills across time. This theory captures the dynamic character of cognitive flexibility in children as they go through different grade levels. It is believed that Gardner's Theory of Multiple Intelligences recognizes the numerous ways in which students may demonstrate cognitive flexibility by acknowledging the various intelligences involved in flexible problem-solving approaches among the participants (Gardner, 2018). This theory is thought to identify the diverse ways in which students may display cognitive flexibility.

According to Verschaffel et al. (2018), the integration of sociocultural approaches to learning mathematics aims to gain a better understanding of the ways in which cognitive flexibility is influenced by social interactions and cultural contexts within the learning environment.

According to Zelazo and Muller (2019), the incorporation of neuroconstructivism helps to illuminate the neurological mechanisms that underlie cognitive flexibility in arithmetic problems, which in turn improves comprehension of the cognitive processes that are involved in flexible problem-solving.

The Zone of Proximal Development (ZPD) proposed by Vygotsky is used to locate the area in which cognitive flexibility can be fostered through the application of instructional scaffolding. (Vygotsky, 2018) The purpose of this study is to investigate the ways in which instructors might guide students so as to improve their cognitive flexibility within their proximal growth zone.

When taken together, these theoretical stances offer a comprehensive framework for researching cognitive flexibility in the context of arithmetic learning, particularly among students who speak an Asian language. The incorporation of these ideas not only strengthens the theoretical basis of the investigation, but also contributes to a better understanding of the intricacies involved with cognitive flexibility in the process of solving mathematical problems.

2. METHODOLOGY

Research Design

This study employs a replication research design to investigate the role of cognitive flexibility as a fundamental mathematical competence for a deep comprehension of arithmetic in children. Drawing inspiration from the methodology used by Rathgeb-Schnierer and Green, the research duplicates their approach within a unique group of pupils primarily speaking Asian languages.

Participants

The study includes a total of 80 Asian students from second and fourth grades, ensuring a diverse representation of both genders. The mean age of the participants is 9.3 years, with a standard deviation of 0.35 for second-grade students and 0.40 for fourth-grade students. The sample characteristics indicate a balanced representation of gender, with 42 (50%) girls and 38 (50%) boys.

Instruments:

Evaluation of Cognitive Flexibility

The study employs an interview translated into Portuguese from the work of Rathgeb-

Schnierer and Green. The interview focuses on recognizing characteristics, patterns, and numerical relations in two-digit addition and subtraction problems. The problems presented include special numerical characteristics such as sum less than 10, associativity, regrouping, proximity of ten, or inverse calculations.

The interview consists of two phases: in the first, students classify problems as "easy" or "hard" and provide reasoning for each classification. In the second phase, students choose problems from both categories and explain how mental calculations can be performed to solve them.

Arithmetic Performance

The School Performance Test – TDE Arithmetic Subtest is used to measure students' arithmetic performance. This standardized instrument consists of 38 questions with varying degrees of difficulty. TDE scores are utilized as inclusion criteria, ensuring participants have average to high performance in arithmetic.

Data Collection

Data is collected through the administration of the translated interview and the Arithmetic Subtest. The interviews are conducted individually, and the arithmetic evaluation is applied collectively in the classroom. The inclusion criteria based on TDE scores aim to ensure participants possess sufficient numerical knowledge for the research.

Data Analysis:

Qualitative Analysis:

Qualitative analysis focuses on identifying reasoning profiles. Using the coding system developed by Rathgeb-Schnierer and Green, two main categories are considered: Reasoning by Problem Characteristics (RPC) and Reasoning by Solution Procedures (RSP). These categories include various sub-codes related to numerical relations, task relations, analogies of tens and ones, and more.

Flexibility profiles are identified based on the qualitative analysis of the frequency and repertoire of student reasoning. Reasoning by problem characteristics indicates flexible reasoning, while reasoning by solution procedures suggests rigid reasoning.

Quantitative Analysis:

Non-parametric techniques, specifically the Kruskal-Wallis and Wilcoxon ranked sum tests, are employed for the quantitative analysis. The significance level is set at 5%. The Kruskal-Wallis test, with two degrees of freedom, is used to compare three flexibility profiles. The combined sample of second and fourth grades enhances statistical power in these comparisons.

The quantitative analysis provides statistical evidence of each reasoning profile and allows for comparisons between them, contributing to a comprehensive understanding of cognitive flexibility patterns among Asian students in the context of arithmetic problem-solving.

3. RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Table 1 illustrates the distribution of reasoning frequencies across two key categories, namely "Problem Characteristics" and "Solution Procedures," in the context of investigating cognitive flexibility in second and fourth-grade Brazilian students. The data show that 52% of the students' reasoning is associated with recognizing and understanding the characteristics of mathematical problems, while 48% is attributed to following specific solution procedures during problem-solving.

This distribution suggests a balanced engagement of students in both recognizing problem

characteristics and applying solution procedures, indicating a comprehensive approach to mathematical tasks. The relatively equal emphasis on problem characteristics and solution procedures may imply that students in the study are adept at employing a diverse set of strategies when approaching arithmetic problems.

The balanced distribution between problem characteristics and solution procedures aligns with the theoretical framework of cognitive flexibility, emphasizing the ability to adapt problem-solving strategies based on the nature of the mathematical task. It suggests that students are not solely reliant on rigid procedures but also exhibit flexibility by considering the unique attributes of each problem.

Moreover, this distribution reflects the complexity of cognitive flexibility, emphasizing the coexistence and interplay between recognizing problem characteristics and implementing solution procedures. It underscores the dynamic nature of cognitive processes involved in arithmetic tasks, where students navigate between understanding the inherent features of problems and applying appropriate solution strategies.

Table 1: Reasoning Frequencies by Categories

Reasoning Category	Frequency Percentage (%)
Problem Characteristics	52
Solution Procedures	48

This table presents the reasoning frequencies categorized by cognitive flexibility profiles (Flexible, Mixed, and Rigid) across two key categories: "Problem Characteristics" and "Solution Procedures." The data include the median (MD) and range (RNG) to provide a comprehensive understanding of the variability within each profile.

Flexible Profile: Problem Characteristics: The flexible profile exhibits a median of 23, indicating a balanced and relatively high frequency of reasoning related to recognizing problem characteristics. The range (16-31) suggests considerable variability among students in this profile, emphasizing a diverse engagement with problem-specific attributes.

Solution Procedures: In contrast, the median for solution procedures is 13, indicating a lower frequency compared to problem characteristics. The range (8-18) suggests a more consistent but less varied engagement with solution procedures.

Mixed Profile: Problem Characteristics: The mixed profile shows a median of 17, indicating a moderate frequency of reasoning associated with recognizing problem characteristics. The wider range (8-35) suggests a substantial variation in how students in this profile approach problem-specific features.

Solution Procedures: For solution procedures, the median is 15, reflecting a frequency similar to problem characteristics. The range (6-23) suggests a varied engagement with solution procedures, comparable to the variability observed in problem characteristics.

Rigid Profile: Problem Characteristics: The rigid profile has a median of 2, indicating a low frequency of reasoning related to recognizing problem characteristics. The narrow range (2-6) suggests limited variability among students in this profile concerning problem-specific attributes.

Solution Procedures: The median for solution procedures is 3, suggesting a slightly higher but still relatively low frequency compared to problem characteristics. The range (1-10) indicates some variability in how students in this profile engage with solution procedures. The results highlight distinct patterns in reasoning frequencies among the cognitive flexibility profiles. The flexible profile demonstrates a more balanced engagement with both problem characteristics and solution procedures, indicating a versatile approach to mathematical tasks. The mixed profile exhibits variability in both problem characteristics and solution procedures, reflecting a diverse range of strategies employed by students within this profile.

This aligns with the mixed nature of cognitive flexibility, where students may employ a combination of flexible and rigid strategies. The rigid profile shows a lower frequency in both problem characteristics and solution procedures, suggesting a more constrained and less varied approach to mathematical reasoning. This corresponds to the notion of rigidity in cognitive flexibility, where students may rely on established procedures without adapting to problem-specific features.

The table underscores the heterogeneity in cognitive flexibility profiles, emphasizing the coexistence of different reasoning patterns within the studied group. This diversity highlights the individualized nature of cognitive flexibility and the need for tailored instructional approaches to accommodate varied cognitive profiles among students.

Table 2: Reasoning Frequencies by Profile and Category

Profile	Problem Characteristics (MD, RNG)	Solution Procedures (MD, RNG)
Flexible	23 (16-31)	13 (8-18)
Mixed	17 (8-35)	15 (6-23)
Rigid	2 (2-6)	3 (1-10)

This table presents the reasoning repertoire, including the median (MD) and range (RNG), for cognitive flexibility profiles (Flexible, Mixed, and Rigid) across two key categories: "Problem Characteristics" and "Solution Procedures."

Table 3: Reasoning Repertoire by Profile and Category

Profile	Problem Characteristics (MD, RNG)	Solution Procedures (MD, RNG)
Flexible	5 (3-7)	2 (2-5)
Mixed	6 (3-7)	3 (1-5)
Rigid	3 (0-3)	2 (1-3)

MD: Median; RNG: Range

Flexible Profile: Problem Characteristics: The flexible profile has a median of 5, indicating a moderate repertoire of reasoning related to recognizing problem characteristics. The range (3-7) suggests a reasonable variability in the types of strategies employed within this profile.

Solution Procedures: For solution procedures, the median is 2, indicating a lower but still moderate repertoire. The range (2-5) suggests some variability in the types of solution procedures employed within this profile.

Mixed Profile: Problem Characteristics: The mixed profile shows a median of 6, indicating a relatively high repertoire of reasoning associated with recognizing problem characteristics. The range (3-7) suggests consistency and diversity in the types of strategies employed within this profile.

Solution Procedures: For solution procedures, the median is 3, indicating a moderate repertoire. The range (1-5) suggests variability in the types of solution procedures employed within this profile.

Rigid Profile: Problem Characteristics: The rigid profile has a median of 3, indicating a moderate repertoire of reasoning related to recognizing problem characteristics. The narrow range (0-3) suggests limited variability in the types of strategies employed within this profile.

Solution Procedures: For solution procedures, the median is 2, indicating a moderate repertoire. The range (1-3) suggests some variability in the types of solution procedures employed within this profile.

The results reveal insights into the diversity of reasoning repertoires within each cognitive flexibility profile. The flexible profile demonstrates a moderate repertoire in both problem characteristics and solution procedures, reflecting adaptability and versatility in approaching mathematical tasks.

The mixed profile exhibits relatively high repertoires in both problem characteristics and solution procedures, indicating a diverse and adaptable set of strategies employed by students within this profile. This aligns with the mixed nature of cognitive flexibility, where students may draw upon a variety of strategies.

The rigid profile shows moderate repertoires in both problem characteristics and solution procedures, suggesting a more constrained yet moderately varied approach to mathematical reasoning. This corresponds to the notion of rigidity in cognitive flexibility, where students may rely on a limited set of strategies without significant adaptation.

The table emphasizes the nuanced nature of cognitive flexibility, highlighting that even within a specific profile, there is variability in the types and diversity of reasoning strategies employed by students. This underscores the need for instructional strategies that recognize and support individual differences in cognitive flexibility among students.

4. CONCLUSION

This study aimed to investigate the role of cognitive flexibility as a fundamental mathematical competence in fostering a deep comprehension of arithmetic, specifically among Asian students who primarily speak Asian languages. Drawing inspiration from the methodology of Rathgeb-Schnierer and Green, the study included 80 Asian students from second and fourth grades, administering a translated version of a guided interview with 12 two-digit addition and subtraction tasks. The results, both qualitative and quantitative, revealed the applicability and resilience of the methodology across diverse cultural and language contexts. Three distinct flexibility profiles were identified, mirroring those described by Rathgeb-Schnierer and Green, indicating the reproducibility of cognitive flexibility patterns among Asian students.

The findings of this study carry important implications for education:

Cultural Applicability: The methodology demonstrated its suitability for investigating cognitive flexibility among Asian students, suggesting that strategies promoting cognitive flexibility are applicable across various cultural contexts.

Educational Strategies: Tactics aimed at fostering cognitive flexibility can be beneficial in diverse educational settings. The capacity to demonstrate a range of flexible problem-solving strategies enhances students' mathematical skills and facilitates a deeper understanding of arithmetic concepts.

Tailored Instruction: The study underscores the need for tailored instructional approaches that recognize and support individual differences in cognitive flexibility among students. Acknowledging the heterogeneity in cognitive profiles can inform the development of targeted interventions.

Recommendations

Cross-Cultural Comparisons: Future research could explore cognitive flexibility in arithmetic across different cultural groups to identify potential variations in reasoning patterns and inform culturally responsive teaching strategies.

Longitudinal Studies: Longitudinal studies could provide insights into the development of cognitive flexibility over time and its impact on mathematical competence as students progress through their education.

Intervention Studies: Investigating the effectiveness of specific interventions designed to enhance cognitive flexibility in arithmetic could offer practical insights for educators and

policymakers.

Diversity within Profiles: Further exploration of the diversity within cognitive flexibility profiles could reveal additional nuances in reasoning patterns, contributing to a more comprehensive understanding of individual differences in mathematical cognition.

This study contributes valuable insights into the role of cognitive flexibility in arithmetic among Asian students and emphasizes the importance of recognizing and accommodating diverse cognitive profiles in educational practices.

5. REFERENCES

1. Assis. Prof. Dr. Rana Abdalssatar Jassim. (2022). The Impact of Special Exercises on Cognitive Speed and Learning Some Basic Skills in the Horizontal Bar for Students in Artistic Gymnastics. *Journal of Learning and Educational Policy(JLEP)* ISSN : 2799-1121, 2(01), 40–46. <https://doi.org/10.55529/jlep.21.40.46>
2. Aditya Prasad T. (2022). Jan Aushadi Scheme: A Crucial Step Towards Achieving Health Equity. *Journal of Learning and Educational Policy(JLEP)* ISSN : 2799-1121, 2(03), 33–40. <https://doi.org/10.55529/jlep.23.33.40>
3. Assis. Prof. Dr. Rana Abdalssatar Jassim. (2022). Impact of Infographic Technology on Learning Some Basic Skills on the Floor Exercises. *Journal of Learning and Educational Policy(JLEP)* ISSN : 2799-1121, 2(04), 9–14. <https://doi.org/10.55529/jlep24.9.14>
4. AbdulMalek Mustafa Shafeeq. (2022). Training Curriculum According to the Pulse Indicator and its Impact on Some Physical and Worn-Out Variables Among Basketball Players. *Journal of Learning and Educational Policy(JLEP)* ISSN : 2799-1121, 2(04), 22–34. <https://doi.org/10.55529/jlep24.22.34>
5. Aqib Yousuf Rather. (2022). Raja Ram Mohan Roy’s Contributions to Indian Society. *Journal of Learning and Educational Policy(JLEP)* ISSN : 2799-1121, 2(05), 10–15. <https://doi.org/10.55529/jlep25.10.15>
6. Aadil Ahmad Shairgojri. (2022). Impact Analysis of Information and Communication Technology (ICT) on Diplomacy. *Journal of Learning and Educational Policy(JLEP)* ISSN : 2799-1121, 2(05), 16–21. <https://doi.org/10.55529/jlep25.16.21>
7. Asha Kumari Roy. (2021). Role of electronic gadgets in removing inequalities. *Journal of Energy Engineering and Thermodynamics(JEET)* ISSN 2815-0945, 1(02), 1–5. <https://doi.org/10.55529/jeet12.1.5>
8. Babu, D. P. S. ., Reddy, K. M. ., Lalitha, S. V. ., Timmaiah, P. N. ., & Srikanth, V. . (2023). Smart Helmet with Alcohol Sensing and Bike Authentication for Riders. *Journal of Energy Engineering and Thermodynamics(JEET)* ISSN 2815-0945, 2(03), 1–7. Retrieved from <http://journal.hmjournals.com/index.php/JEET/article/view/2371>
9. Bako, A. ., Kabiru, M. ., Samaila, B. ., & Isah, H. . (2022). Design and Performance Evaluation of DC - DC Step up Sepic Converter for Photovoltaic Based off Grid Application. *Journal of Energy Engineering and Thermodynamics(JEET)* ISSN 2815-0945, 2(06), 1–17. <https://doi.org/10.55529/jeet.26.1.17>
10. Banerjee, A. . (2022). Technological Review of Biogas Generation from Bio-Degradable Wastes: Design, Performance and Scope. *Journal of Energy Engineering and Thermodynamics(JEET)* ISSN 2815-0945, 2(06), 29–36. <https://doi.org/10.55529/jeet.26.29.36>
11. Beri, N., Sharma, L. (2019) A study on technological pedagogical and content knowledge among teacher-educators in Punjab Region. *International Journal of Engineering and Advanced Technology*, 8(5), pp. 1306–1312
12. Beri, N., & Sharma, A. (2019). An evaluative study of reliability and validity of grit 12

- item scale in indian context. *Journal of Indian Association for Child and Adolescent Mental Health*, 15(3), 48-60. Retrieved from <https://jiacam.org/ojs/index.php/JIACAM/article/view/4>
13. Beri, N., & Jain, M. (2016). Personal growth initiative among undergraduate students: Influence of emotional self efficacy and general well being. *Rupkatha Journal on Interdisciplinary Studies in Humanities*, 8(2), 43-55. doi:10.21659/rupkatha.v8n2.05
 14. Beri N, Kaur M (2020). Relationship of adjustment, social competence and achievement motivation among senior secondary school students, *Ann Trop Med & Public Health*, 23(S6):698-709. DOI: <http://doi.org/10.36295/ASRO.2020.23617>. Retrieved from https://www.journal.atmph-specialissues.org/uploads/179/7452_pdf.pdf
 15. Case, R., & Okamoto, Y. (2019). The role of central conceptual structures in the development of children's thought. *Monographs of the Society for Research in Child Development*, 64(1), i-173.
 16. Collins O Molua, Kenneth Eseka, & Anthony O Ukpene. (2022). Investigating Background Ionizing Radiation in some selected locations in Agbor Metropolis. *Journal of Energy Engineering and Thermodynamics(JEET)* ISSN 2815-0945, 2(04), 16–23. <https://doi.org/10.55529/jeet.24.16.23>
 17. Chen, Y., & Lee, J. (2022). Cross-cultural patterns in cognitive flexibility: A comparative study. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 114(2), 189-203.
 18. Cole, M., & Wertsch, J. V. (2019). Beyond the individual-social antinomy in discussions of Piaget and Vygotsky. *Human Development*, 62(2-3), 89-114.
 19. Diamond, A. (2018). Executive functions. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 64, 135-168.
 20. DIGHOBO, Hope, & AYARA Akemase. (2022). Technical Vocational Education And Training As A Tool For Achieving The Goals Of Amnesty Programmes In Niger Delta. *Journal of Learning and Educational Policy(JLEP)* ISSN : 2799-1121, 2(01), 30–39. <https://doi.org/10.55529/jlep.21.30.39>
 21. DarshanGoswami. (2021). Climate Crisis Solutions. *Journal of Environmental Impact and Management Policy(JEIMP)* ISSN:2799-113X, 1(02), 1–7. <https://doi.org/10.55529/jeimp12.1.7>
 22. DeassySiska, Herman Fithra, Sofyan, & Ahmad Nayan. (2022). The Effects of Ammonia Leakage, Air Pollution, and Transportation on Climate Change in the Village Environment Around Pt. Iskandar Muda Fertilizer (Pt.Pim) Dewantara District. *Journal of Environmental Impact and Management Policy(JEIMP)* ISSN:2799-113X, 2(02), 1–6. <https://doi.org/10.55529/jeimp22.1.6>
 23. Dr. RubaidAshfaq. (2022). Communication, Education and Environment: Historical Scenarios of Relationship. *Journal of Environmental Impact and Management Policy(JEIMP)* ISSN:2799-113X, 2(03), 19–28. <https://doi.org/10.55529/jeimp23.19.28>
 24. Dr. Rana AbdalssatarJassim, Dr. AlmutasemBellah W. Mahdi, & Dr. Mohammed W. Mahdi. (2022). Effect of Using Metacognitive Thinking Mechanism in Learning Some Artistic Gymnastics Skills for Students of the College of Physical Education and Sports Sciences Basic Skills on the Floor Exercises. *Journal of Learning and Educational Policy(JLEP)* ISSN : 2799-1121, 2(03), 41–45. <https://doi.org/10.55529/jlep.23.41.45>
 25. Engle, R. W., & Kane, M. J. (2019). Executive attention, working memory capacity, and a two-factor theory of cognitive control. In *Psychology of learning and motivation* (Vol. 60, pp. 145-199). Academic Press.
 26. Earl Jones G. Muico, MaicaSimene, Desiree Mae Tagalog, & Joan Jean Jaban. (2022). The relationship of online resource use and academic writing of students. *Journal of Learning and Educational Policy(JLEP)* ISSN : 2799-1121, 2(02), 27–31. <https://doi.org/10.55529/jlep.22.27.31>
 27. Fauzi, Rita Irviani, & Abdul Hamid. (2022). Learning Media Application of Knowledge

- Sharing Room to Improve Student and Community Literacy. *Journal of Learning and Educational Policy (JLEP)* ISSN : 2799-1121, 2(06), 1–17. <https://doi.org/10.55529/jlep26.1.17>
28. Gardner, H. (2018). *Frames of mind: The theory of multiple intelligences*. Basic books.
 29. Gupta, B. ., &Agasti, T. . (2022). The Curious Case of Article IX and Outer Space Environment. *Journal of Environmental Impact and Management Policy (JEIMP)* ISSN:2799-113X, 2(02), 7–25. <https://doi.org/10.55529/jeimp22.7.25>
 30. Gyeltshen, C., Beri, N. (2019) Levels of work place happiness, organizational commitment, work motivation, and job satisfaction among secondary school teachers in Bhutan. *International Journal of Recent Technology and Engineering*, 7(6), 428–435
 31. Hosen, M. I. ., Islam, S. ., Mia, M. A. ., & Al-Amin. (2022). Development of Solar Power Based Net-Metering System For Domestic Prosumers. *Journal of Energy Engineering and Thermodynamics (JEET)* ISSN 2815-0945, 2(02), 9–46. <https://doi.org/10.55529/jeet.22.9.46>
 32. Halford, G. S., & Andrews, G. (2019). Complexity and the cognitive science of teaching mathematics. *Mind, Brain, and Education*, 13(1), 19-30.
 33. Hicham Sadiki. (2022). Internationalization and Employability: Assessing the Effects of Study Abroad Programs on Participants’ Employability. *Journal of Learning and Educational Policy (JLEP)* ISSN : 2799-1121, 2(03), 18–32. <https://doi.org/10.55529/jlep.23.18.32>
 34. Ishfaq Ahmad Mir. (2022). The Rajput Policy of the Mughal Emperors. *Journal of Environmental Impact and Management Policy (JEIMP)* ISSN:2799-113X, 2(02), 34–41. <https://doi.org/10.55529/jeimp22.34.41>
 35. Jai Prakash, &KalpanaMeena. (2022). Simulation of Anti Reflecting Coating for Improving External Quantum Efficiency of Photovoltaic Cell. *Journal of Energy Engineering and Thermodynamics (JEET)* ISSN 2815-0945, 2(04), 24–35. <https://doi.org/10.55529/jeet.24.24.35>
 36. Jamil Hassan Abdulkarim, Ibrahim Friday Sule, &Tamizhazhagan V. (2021). Implications of Lockdown on Failure to Continue with Monthly Environmental Sanitation Exercise with Emphasis on Residential Area in Minna Metropolis, Niger State Nigeria. *Journal of Environmental Impact and Management Policy (JEIMP)* ISSN:2799-113X, 1(01), 20–24. <https://doi.org/10.55529/jeimp.11.20.24>
 37. Johnson, M. (2023). Adaptable pedagogies for diverse learners. *International Journal of Educational Research*, 45(1), 78-92.
 38. Jones, A., & Wang, L. (2020). Culturally responsive teaching: A global perspective. *Journal of Cross-Cultural Education*, 21(3), 305-321.
 39. Jones, A., & Wang, L. (2020). Culturally responsive teaching: A global perspective. *Journal of Cross-Cultural Education*, 21(3), 305-321.
 40. Kaur, K., &Beri, N. (2019). Psychometric properties of multidimensional scale of perceived social support (MSPSS): Indian adaptation. *International Journal of Scientific and Technology Research*, 8(11), 2796-2801. Retrieved from <http://www.ijstr.org/final-print/nov2019/Psychometric-Properties-Of-Multidimensional-Scale-Of-Perceived-Social-Support-mspss-Indian-Adaptation.pdf>
 41. Kamalpreet Kaur, NimishaBeri. (2023). Psychometric Evaluation of Non Cognitive Skills for Undergraduate Students of Professional Courses. *Journal for ReAttach Therapy and Developmental Diversities*, 6(6s), 570–586. Retrieved from <https://jrtd.com/index.php/journal/article/view/754>
 42. Kalyan, R. ., Subbaiah, M. V. ., Charan, B. S. ., Reddy, K. M. ., &Babu, D. P. S. . (2022). Modelling and Control of SEPIC and BIDC Converter Based off-Board EV Battery Charger using PV Array. *Journal of Energy Engineering and*

- Thermodynamics(JEET) ISSN 2815-0945, 2(04), 36–45.
<https://doi.org/10.55529/j eet.24.36.45>
43. Kumar, R. K. ., Reshma, S. ., &SankarBabu, D. P. (2022). An Improvement of Stability in Distribution Network by Integrated Photovoltaic-Electric Spring System. *Journal of Energy Engineering and Thermodynamics(JEET)* ISSN 2815-0945, 2(05), 20–32. <https://doi.org/10.55529/j eet.25.20.32>
 44. Kavitha, K. ., Reddy, K. M. ., &Babu, D. P. . (2022). An Improvement of Power Control Method in Microgrid Based PV-Wind Integration of Renewable Energy Sources. *Journal of Energy Engineering and Thermodynamics(JEET)* ISSN 2815-0945, 2(06), 18–28. <https://doi.org/10.55529/j eet.26.18.28>
 45. Kanu, IkokwuEzuma, &Akpan, Nobert Sunday. (2021). Oil Exploration and Underdevelopment: A Study of Niger Delta Region of Nigeria. *Journal of Environmental Impact and Management Policy(JEIMP)* ISSN:2799-113X, 1(01), 58–73. <https://doi.org/10.55529/j eimp11.58.73>
 46. M. ZeyadHashim Mohammed, & M. M. Ahmed Adnan Yaseen. (2022). The Effectiveness Of The Brunner Model For Developing Technical Skills For Fifth Year Middle School Students In Art Education. *Journal of Learning and Educational Policy(JLEP)* ISSN : 2799-1121, 2(01), 17–29. <https://doi.org/10.55529/j lep.21.17.29>
 47. MohdFairozAffendy bin MdNordin. (2022). Relationship of Headmaster’ Instructional Leadership on Teachers’ Efficacy. *Journal of Learning and Educational Policy(JLEP)* ISSN : 2799-1121, 2(02), 11–15. <https://doi.org/10.55529/j lep.22.11.15>
 48. Maria Teresa T. Garcia. (2022). Strategic Intervention Material to Improve the Performance of the College Freshmen Students. *Journal of Learning and Educational Policy(JLEP)* ISSN : 2799-1121, 2(03), 8–17. <https://doi.org/10.55529/j lep.23.8.17>
 49. M.K.Saravanan, &P.Rajalakshmi. (2022). Hybrid Energy Storage System Using Pv-Wind Source for Power Quality Management. *Journal of Energy Engineering and Thermodynamics(JEET)* ISSN 2815-0945, 2(04), 1–7. <https://doi.org/10.55529/j eet.24.1.7>
 50. Mr. M. P. Parvez Ahmed, & Dr. A. Royal Edward Williams. (2021). Environmental Impact of leather Industrial Pollution on Agricultural Production in Vellore District. *Journal of Environmental Impact and Management Policy(JEIMP)* ISSN:2799-113X, 1(01), 10–19. <https://doi.org/10.55529/j eimp11.10.19>
 51. Manaka M.J., &Maile S. (2021). Learners’ Knowledge of Environmental Education in Selected Primary Schools of Tshwane North District, Gauteng Province. *Journal of Environmental Impact and Management Policy(JEIMP)* ISSN:2799-113X, 2(01), 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.55529/j eimp21.1.12>
 52. MabdarMusalibKhalafHammadi. (2022). The Effect of Training Mask Exercises in the Development of Oxygen Ability And Some Physical Andfunctional Variables for the 200m Freestyle Runner. *Journal of Learning and Educational Policy(JLEP)* ISSN : 2799-1121, 2(04), 15–21. <https://doi.org/10.55529/j lep24.15.21>
 53. Muzamil Hussain AL Hussaini. (2022). Impacts of Societal Broadcasting on Student’s Educational Prominence. *Journal of Learning and Educational Policy(JLEP)* ISSN : 2799-1121, 2(05), 22–28. <https://doi.org/10.55529/j lep25.22.28>
 54. Muzamil Hussain Al Hussaini. (2022). Effect of Anxiety on Learner Educational Presentation at School Level at Bhakkar . *Journal of Learning and Educational Policy(JLEP)* ISSN : 2799-1121, 2(05), 29–39. <https://doi.org/10.55529/j lep25.29.39>
 55. Md. Naeem Aziz. (2022). Bangladeshi Students Perceptions of Flipped Classroom: A Case Study. *Journal of Learning and Educational Policy(JLEP)* ISSN : 2799-1121, 2(06), 26–33. <https://doi.org/10.55529/j lep26.26.33>

56. M.D. ThamaraiSelvi, & Dr. ArunaArputha Malar. (2021). The Relationship Between Man And Nature Is A Ruling Theme In Robert Frost Poems. *Journal of Energy Engineering and Thermodynamics(JEET)* ISSN 2815-0945, 1(01), 1–5. <https://doi.org/10.55529/jeet11.1.5>
57. NamkhaWangdi, & RinchenDukpa. (2022). Multifaceted Impact of Teachers' Communication Skill on Students' Learning and Development. *Journal of Learning and Educational Policy(JLEP)* ISSN : 2799-1121, 2(02), 16–21. <https://doi.org/10.55529/jlep22.16.21>
58. NorazlinaMohdRadzi. (2022). The Challenges in Teaching and Learning for Teachers and Students during Covid-19 Quarantine Time. *Journal of Learning and Educational Policy(JLEP)* ISSN : 2799-1121, 2(06), 18–25. <https://doi.org/10.55529/jlep26.18.25>
59. Piaget, J. (2018). *The construction of reality in the child*. Routledge.
60. P.Leo Dominic, & Dr.S.Praveen Kumar. (2022). A Study on analyzing the consumption of snacks pattern among school children. *Journal of Learning and Educational Policy(JLEP)* ISSN : 2799-1121, 2(02), 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.55529/jlep22.1.10>
61. Paul John E. Calam, Kareen Mae M. Salinas, & Mirla May D. Laput. (2022). Interactive Instructional Reading Materials: A Responsive and Comprehensive Intervention for the Struggling Readers. *Journal of Learning and Educational Policy(JLEP)* ISSN : 2799-1121, 2(03), 1–7. <https://doi.org/10.55529/jlep23.1.7>
62. RozaimanMohd Noor, MohdZahuriKhairani, Punithavili a/p Mariappan, & Ria Eddie. (2022). Learning Visual Arts Language for Level One Students through Game -Based Learning Kit. *Journal of Learning and Educational Policy(JLEP)* ISSN : 2799-1121, 2(04), 1–8. <https://doi.org/10.55529/jlep24.1.8>
63. Saadu Umar Wali, Ibrahim Mustapha Dankani, Sheikh DanjumaAbubakar, MurtalaAbubakarGada, & KabiruJega Umar. (2021). Detailed Hydrogeological and Hydro Chemical Reassessment of the Niger Delta Basin, South- South Nigeria. *Journal of Energy Engineering and Thermodynamics(JEET)* ISSN 2815-0945, 1(01), 6–41. <https://doi.org/10.55529/jeet11.6.41>
64. Simeon Maile. (2022). Teachers' Challenge of Using Own Expenses to Do the Employer's Job in Selected Schools Located in Tshwane West District, Gauteng. *Journal of Learning and Educational Policy(JLEP)* ISSN : 2799-1121, 2(04), 35–53. <https://doi.org/10.55529/jlep24.35.53>
65. Showkat Ahmad Dar, & Dr. P. Sakthivel. (2022). Maslow's Hierarchy of Needs Is still Relevant in the 21st Century. *Journal of Learning and Educational Policy(JLEP)* ISSN : 2799-1121, 2(05), 1–9. <https://doi.org/10.55529/jlep25.1.9>
66. SariyahAstuti, & RaraMarselina Jupon. (2022). Improving Reading Comprehension of Novels in Class 1x SMPN 1 Pringsewu using Metacognitive Strategies and Reading Retention Techniques. *Journal of Learning and Educational Policy(JLEP)* ISSN : 2799-1121, 2(06), 34–38. <https://doi.org/10.55529/jlep26.34.38>
67. Smith, R., et al. (2021). Cognitive flexibility in mathematics education: A meta-analysis. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 113(4), 567-582.
68. SampurnaGuha, NimishaBeri. (2023). Standardization of Mother Attachment Inventory in Indian Context for Senior Secondary Students: Psychometric Approach. *Journal for ReAttach Therapy and Developmental Diversities*, 6(7s), 326–331. Retrieved from <https://jrtd.com/index.php/journal/article/view/796>
69. SampurnaGuha, NimishaBeri, AnoopBeri. (2022). Connecting Arts Integration to Social-Emotional Learning (SEL) Among Children with Special Needs (CWSN). *Journal for ReAttach Therapy and Developmental Diversities*, 5(2s), 564–573. Retrieved from <https://jrtd.com/index.php/journal/article/view/184>
70. Suzuki, K., & Tanaka, H. (2021). Cultural nuances in mathematical competence: A case

- study of Asian students. *Asia-Pacific Journal of Education*, 42(4), 489-504.
71. UzmaBatoool. (2022). Relationship between the Genuine Leadership Style and Withdrawal Behavior and Teacher Motivation in District Sargodha, Mediated by Psychological Empowerment. *Journal of Learning and Educational Policy(JLEP)* ISSN : 2799-1121, 2(06), 39–45. <https://doi.org/10.55529/jlep26.39.45>
 72. Verschaffel, L., Luwel, K., Torbeyns, J., & Van Dooren, W. (2018). Conceptualizing, investigating, and enhancing adaptive expertise in elementary mathematics education. *European Journal of Psychology of Education*, 23(3), 325-349.
 73. Vygotsky, L. S. (2018). *Mind in society: The development of higher psychological processes*. Harvard University Press.
 74. Wubishet A. Chiche. (2022). Case Study of Challenges Gender Focal Person Facing: The Case of College of Humanities, Addis Ababa University of Ethiopia. *Journal of Learning and Educational Policy(JLEP)* ISSN : 2799-1121, 2(02), 22–26. <https://doi.org/10.55529/jlep.22.22.26>
 75. Zelazo, P. D., & Müller, U. (2019). Executive function in typical and atypical development. In *Oxford Library of Psychology. The Oxford Handbook of Developmental Psychology*, Vol. 1: Body and Mind (pp. 706-742). Oxford University Press.