UtilitasMathematica

ISSN 0315-3681 Volume 122, 2025

A STATE-OF-ART REVIEW ON EFFECT OF VARIOUS PILE ARRANGEMENT CONFIGURATION ON PILE RAFT FOUNDATION

Dr. Anuj K. Chandiwala[1,*], Dr. Mital J. Dholawala[2], Dr. Soumita D. Bid[3], Dr. Tandra Banerjee[4], Dr. Digant Pastagia[5]

[1,2,3,4] Assistant Professor, Civil Engineering Department, C.K. Pithawala College of Engg. & Technology, Surat 395007, Gujarat, India
[5]Associate Professor, Civil Engineering Dependent, Shree Swami Atmanand Saraswati Institute of Technology, Surat 395006, Gujarat, India
*Email Id: anuj.chandiwala@ckpcet.ac.in
ORCID Id: 0000-0003-0285-7377[1], 0000-0002-8370-9554[2]

Abstract
Pile raft foundations (PRFs) synergize the load-bearing capacities of piles and rafts, making them an optimal solution for supporting heavy structures on soft or weak soils. By distributing loads through both components, PRFs mitigate settlement and enhance foundation efficiency, offering a cost-effective alternative to conventional pile or raft-only systems. This research conducts a comprehensive review of the effects of different pile arrangement configurations on PRF performance. It integrates findings from experimental studies, numerical simulations, and field investigations to assess key aspects such as load-sharing mechanisms, settlement characteristics, and stress distribution. The study highlights the critical influence of pile spacing, arrangement patterns (e.g., uniform, clustered, or concentric), and pile-raft interaction on foundation behavior. Specifically, it identifies how these factors affect load distribution between the raft and piles, mitigate differential settlement, and optimize stress transfer to the subsoil. By synthesizing current knowledge, the review provides valuable insights into designing PRFs for diverse geotechnical conditions. It emphasizes site-specific optimization, leveraging advanced numerical modeling, and understanding soil-structure interaction to achieve efficient and reliable designs. This work aims to guide engineers and researchers in refining PRF configurations, balancing cost-effectiveness with safety and performance for complex foundation challenges.

Keywords
Pile raft foundation, pile arrangement, geotechnical engineering, foundation optimization, pile spacing, soil-structure interaction

1. INTRODUTION

Pile raft foundations (PRFs) provide a hybrid foundation system that amalgamates the load-bearing capabilities of piles and rafts, attaining an equilibrium between cost efficiency and enhanced structural performance [1]. The raft directly contacts the soil to provide primary support for structural loads, while piles are deliberately placed to mitigate excessive settlements, optimize load distribution, and improve overall stability. The dual mechanism renders PRFs especially appropriate for extensive constructions on soft or poor soils, where traditional foundation systems like rafts or piles frequently fall short of rigorous design standards. The configuration of piles within the PRF is a crucial factor affecting its efficiency, including settlement behavior, load distribution, and stress transfer. The parameters of pile spacing, arrangement patterns, and their interactions with the raft substantially influence the foundation's overall performance. Uniformly spaced piles are frequently utilized for balanced settlement management; nevertheless, non-uniform arrangements, such as clustering piles in areas of high load, can improve load-bearing capacity and structural stability under specific conditions. Soil-structure interaction and subsoil variations require customized pile layouts to tackle various geotechnical issues [1]. Research studies, encompassing experimental investigations, numerical simulations, and field applications, have elucidated the impact of pile configurations on PRF performance. Experimental findings highlight that pile spacing significantly influences load-sharing efficiency, recommending an optimal spacing-to-diameter ratio (S/D) of 2.5 to 5 for optimal performance. Numerical models corroborate these findings, emphasizing the efficacy of concentric and clustered pile configurations in alleviating settlement in important areas and enhancing raft bending performance [11]. Field investigations corroborate these theoretical and laboratory findings, demonstrating the practical advantages of site-specific pile configurations in regulating uneven load distributions and correcting subsoil discrepancies. Non-uniform pile layouts have shown effective in managing skewed load distributions and lateral variations in subsoil characteristics, hence improving PRF performance under intricate loading situations [7]. Notwithstanding these developments, issues remain in tackling time-dependent soil characteristics, such as consolidation and creep, which can profoundly affect the long-term performance of PRFs [5]. Moreover, the impacts of cyclic and dynamic loads, such as those caused by earthquakes or heavy machinery, are insufficiently investigated in existing research, resulting in a deficiency in comprehending the durability and resilience of PRFs under these circumstances [6]. The use of new materials in pile construction, such as high-performance concretes and sustainable alternatives, need additional research to improve the overall efficiency and environmental sustainability of PRFs. Future study ought to concentrate on the integration of emerging technologies, like machine learning and artificial intelligence, to enhance pile designs and create more precise predictive models. Furthermore, integrating sustainability principles through the use of recycled or energy-efficient materials corresponds with modern engineering aims and environmental issues [4]. The arrangement of piles in PRFs greatly influences their efficiency, highlighting the necessity for site-specific, data-informed design approaches that include soil-structure interaction, load fluctuation, and subsoil diversity. This study seeks to synthesize existing knowledge and identify research gaps to assist engineers and researchers in enhancing PRF technologies, addressing intricate geotechnical difficulties while maintaining dependability and cost-effectiveness in foundation designs for large-scale infrastructure projects.

2. SIGNIFICANCE OF PILE ARRANGEMENT IN PILE RAFT FOUNDATIONS

A pile raft foundation's load-sharing method, settling behavior, and foundation performance depend on pile configuration. Spaced and patterned piles improve raft-pile interaction, spreading loads and reducing differential settling. Clustered piles handle intense or unbalanced loads, while uniform arrangements balance stress distribution [2]. Optimal pile spacing balances load-sharing and minimizes pile interference, maximizing efficiency with a S/D of 2.5 to 5. Strategic pile layouts are essential for geotechnical issues because to their cost-effectiveness and stability. Soil conditions, load distribution, and structure affect pile layouts. The soil type impacts bearing capacity and stability, requiring varied pile shapes for optimal performance [8-10]. Whether piles should be evenly spaced or clustered depends on foundation load distribution. Because larger loads demand more dense heaps to handle concentrated stresses, structure type and size affect pile spacing. Water table levels and earthquake activity also affect pile arrangement pattern [3]. For efficient weight transfer, minimal settling, and optimal building costs, pile placement must consider these aspects.

2.1. LOAD-SHARING MECHANISM

The influence of pile arrangement patterns on the load-sharing mechanism is essential for attaining optimal configurations in pile raft foundations (PRFs). Well-designed configurations improve the interaction between the raft and piles, guaranteeing effective load distribution throughout the foundation system. Consistent pile spacing facilitates uniform load distribution, enhancing settlement management and minimizing localized strains on the raft [12-14]. In instances of non-uniform or concentrated structural loads, alternate layouts such clustered or concentric groupings are more effective. These patterns enable piles to support a larger share of the load in highly stressed regions, mitigating stress on the raft and decreasing differential settling. The interaction between the raft and piles is additionally affected by the spacing-to-diameter ratio (S/D), with ideal ratios generally between 2.5 and 5 for most geotechnical circumstances. In these situations, the piles effectively utilize their bearing capacity, allowing the raft to assist in load-carrying and optimizing the system's synergy. Numerical and practical investigations validate that strategic pile configurations enhance the bending performance of the raft and the stress distribution to the subsoil, hence rendering the foundation more robust and economical [17,18]. Thus, pile arrangement patterns are crucial for enhancing PRF performance, guaranteeing structural stability and economic efficiency across various geotechnical situations.

2.2. SETTLEMENT REDUCTION

The influence of pile arrangement patterns on settlement reduction is significant, as deliberate pile placement directly affects both differential and total settlements in a pile raft foundation (PRF). Uniformly distributed piles offer uniform support across the raft, facilitating balanced settling regulation. Conversely, non-uniform configurations, including clustering piles beneath strongly laden regions, are especially efficacious in mitigating localized settlements and addressing uneven load distributions [16]. Optimal pile spacing guarantees effective load distribution to the subsoil while reducing pile-soil interaction effects, which may otherwise result in significant settlements. By customizing pile configurations to site-specific variables, including diverse soil characteristics or structural load demands, designers can markedly improve the foundation's efficacy, ensuring stability and longevity while reducing settlement-related complications [15].

2.3. COST EFFICIENCY

The arrangement of piles substantially affects cost efficiency, since optimal placement can considerably decrease the quantity of piles required, resulting in lower construction expenses. Consistent pile spacing facilitates effective weight distribution, frequently diminishing the necessity for supplementary piles, hence improving material utilization and reducing overall foundation costs [24]. Non-uniform arrangements, such as clustering piles in heavily loaded zones, effectively concentrate support in essential regions, hence optimizing the required number of piles without sacrificing stability [30-33]. Furthermore, strategic pile configurations enhance the interaction between the raft and piles, resulting in more efficient load distribution and minimizing overall pile utilization. This reduces initial building expenses while also improving long-term economic efficiency through the provision of a robust and dependable foundation structure. Well-structured pile configurations enhance cost efficiency by optimizing load-bearing capacity and settlement management, rendering PRFs a more cost-effective and pragmatic option for extensive infrastructure endeavors [25].

2.4. PILE SPACING

The configuration of the pile arrangement substantially influences pile spacing, which subsequently regulates load transfer efficiency and soil-pile interaction [19-22]. Optimal pile spacing guarantees uniform load distribution across the foundation, mitigating localized stress concentrations and averting excessive differential settling. Appropriate spacing facilitates optimal soil-pile interaction, maximizing the soil's carrying capacity and enabling piles to effectively transfer loads to the next soil. Excessive spacing might result in ineffective load distribution, whilst insufficient spacing may lead to pile interference and diminished overall bearing capacity [23]. The optimal spacing-to-diameter ratio (S/D) generally varies from 2.5 to 5, contingent upon soil characteristics and structural specifications. Strategic pile spacing improves foundation performance by assuring stability, reducing settlements, and maximizing long-term durability.

2.5. PILE LENGTH AND DIAMETER

The configuration of the pile arrangement considerably affects the length and diameter of the piles, which in turn directly influences the load-bearing capacity and rigidity of the foundation. Longer and wider diameter piles can penetrate deeper into the soil, accessing more substantial load-bearing layers and enhancing the total capacity to support heavier loads [28-33]. Optimal pile configuration maximizes the synergistic impact of pile length and diameter, effectively distributing loads across the foundation and enhancing stiffness. If the piles are insufficiently short or narrow, they may fail to penetrate adequately into the bearing soil, resulting in diminished load transfer efficiency and a reduced total capacity. Conversely, excessive pile length or width may lead to superfluous material consumption and heightened expenses without substantially enhancing performance. By meticulously configuring the pile arrangement to correspond with soil conditions and structural requirements, engineers can attain an equilibrium among pile length, diameter, and spacing, so providing optimal load-bearing capacity and adequate rigidity for stability and longevity.

2.6. GEOMETRIC CONFIGURATION

When it comes to the overall performance of pile raft foundations (PRFs), the impact of pile arrangement patterns on geometric configuration—including grid, concentric, and asymmetric layouts—plays a significant role. Grid layouts offer a balanced and consistent distribution of piles, which guarantees even load transfer and minimizes differential settlement [28-30]. This makes grid configurations a suitable choice for locations that have reasonably uniform soil conditions. Concentric layouts, in which piles are positioned in circles around a central point, are particularly beneficial for concentrated loads. They improve the effectiveness of load-sharing and lead to a reduction in localized settling in regions that have strong structural demands. On the other hand, asymmetric layouts are designed to accommodate complicated and irregular loading patterns as well as different soil conditions. This type of layout makes it possible to position piles in a manner that optimizes load distribution and promotes stability in regions that have lateral soil variability. Each geometric design has an effect on the total load-carrying capacity, settlement behavior, and stiffness of the piles. This is because each configuration has an influence on how well the piles interact with the soil. It is vital to carefully assess the most effective arrangement in order to ensure stability, durability, and cost effectiveness in PRF design [22]. This is because the selection of the right geometric configuration is dependent on site-specific criteria such as the characteristics of the soil, the loads on the structure, and the spatial distribution of the loads.

3. REVIEW OF LITERATURE

3.1. EXPERIMENTAL STUDIES

Laboratory-scale models have been utilized extensively to examine the effects of pile configuration. Key findings include:

3.1.1. Effect of pile spacing

The impact of pile spacing on pile raft foundations (PRFs) has been a critical area of investigation in geotechnical research because of its effect on settlement behavior, load distribution mechanisms, and overall efficacy. Numerous experimental investigations indicate that pile spacing, commonly represented as the spacing-to-diameter ratio (S/D), regulates the interaction among piles, the raft, and the soil. Research indicates that S/D ratios between 2.5 and 5 offer an ideal equilibrium, facilitating efficient load transfer and reducing differential settlements [7-10]. Experimental studies utilizing scaled physical models have demonstrated that closely positioned piles enhance settlement reduction; yet, they may induce pile-to-pile interaction, so diminishing overall effectiveness. In contrast, widely spaced piles enhance the raft's load-bearing capacity but may lead to significant settlement [13, 17]. Numerical and analytical investigations corroborate these findings, illustrating the influence of pile separation on augmenting raft bending stiffness and mitigating stress concentrations. Field investigations further validate that site-specific parameters, including soil type, load distribution, and structural requirements, require customized pile spacing for maximum performance. Furthermore, studies indicate that pile spacing affects the stress distribution within the raft, hence influencing its bending characteristics and longevity [39, 40]. Certain studies highlight the necessity of considering time-dependent soil behavior, such as consolidation and creep, in the construction of pile configurations. Despite considerable advancements, deficiencies persist in comprehending the long-term impacts of pile spacing subjected to dynamic and cyclic stresses [17]. This literature highlights the significance of strategic pile spacing in attaining efficient and economical PRF designs, providing essential insights for enhancing foundation performance in intricate geotechnical situations.

3.1.2. *Geometric patterns*

The examination of geometric patterns in pile raft foundations (PRFs) has attracted considerable interest in geotechnical engineering because of its influence on settlement mitigation, load distribution mechanisms, and overall efficacy. Geometric configurations, including grid, concentric, and asymmetric arrangements, have been investigated through experimental and numerical investigations to enhance foundation performance under diverse load and soil conditions. Grid patterns are frequently utilized for their homogeneity and simplicity, providing balanced load distribution and less differential settlements, especially on homogeneous soils [39, 40]. Concentric arrangements, with piles organized in circular formations, effectively reduce settlements and improve stability in regions experiencing centralized or symmetrical loading. Asymmetric configurations are explicitly engineered for non-uniform loads or varied subsoil conditions, facilitating tailored support in areas of high load or weaker zones. Experimental studies utilizing scaled models reveal that each design affects the stress distribution inside the raft, settlement behavior, and the interaction between the raft and piles, offering site-specific advantages contingent upon soil type and load factors. Numerical studies further validate the influence of geometric patterns on enhancing raft bending performance and optimizing piling utilization, hence decreasing construction expenses while maintaining stability [12, 13, 39]. Field experiments corroborate these findings by demonstrating the practical advantages of customized pile configurations in intricate geotechnical scenarios. Nonetheless, obstacles persist, especially in comprehending the long-term behavior of these geometric arrangements under dynamic and cyclic stresses, along with their performance in layered or non-homogeneous soils. Certain research propose the incorporation of sophisticated materials and predictive modeling approaches, including machine learning, to optimize geometric designs and improve PRF efficiency. This research highlights the significant impact of pile arrangement geometry on PRF performance, stressing the necessity for site-specific, data-driven designs to effectively tackle various geotechnical issues.

3.2. NUMERICAL SIMULATIONS

Utilizing finite element or finite difference approaches, numerical models provide insights into the following areas:

3.2.1. Soil-structure interaction

The use of numerical simulations has become an essential component in the investigation of soil-structure interaction (SSI) in pile raft foundations (PRFs) [26]. These simulations provide useful insights into the behavior of settlement, load-sharing mechanisms, and stress distribution. For the purpose of modeling the intricate interactions that occur between the raft, piles, and the soil in the surrounding area under a variety of loads and geotechnical circumstances, the finite element (FE) and finite difference (FD) approaches are used extensively. The research that has been done on the subject reveals the substantial role that SSI plays in determining foundation performance, especially in soft soils, which provide considerable issues due to the presence of differential settlements and stress concentrations. When the pile spacing-to-diameter ratio (S/D) is optimum, which is normally between 2.5 and 5, numerical studies demonstrate that the raft makes a considerable contribution to load-bearing [34, 35]. This equilibrium provides efficient load transmission while also reducing interference between the pile and the soil. Additionally, simulations demonstrate that pile arrangement patterns, such as grid, concentric, and clustered configurations, have a significant impact on the bending behavior of the raft as well as the distribution of stress, with site-specific designs producing higher performance [38]. In addition, time-dependent behaviors like consolidation and creep have been integrated into more complex models, which has resulted in a more accurate forecast of the performance of the foundation over the long run [42]. Based on the findings of parametric studies that were carried out using numerical models, it has been shown that soil stratification, pile length, and material qualities have a major impact on SSI [34]. As a result, it is necessary to adjust designs to accommodate different site circumstances. The value of SSI in reducing seismic and cyclic loads is further shown by dynamic studies, which also highlight the need of robust pile raft systems in areas that are prone to earthquakes. Notwithstanding the fact that numerical simulations provide a comprehensive comprehension of SSI, there are still difficulties in incorporating large-scale field data for validation and taking into consideration the nonlinearities of circumstances that occur in the actual world. The emergence of machine learning and artificial intelligence has the potential to bring about significant breakthroughs in predictive modeling for PRFs, which will allow for designs that are both more accurate and more efficient. The purpose of this study is to highlight the significance of numerical simulations in advancing the knowledge of SSI in PRFs, which in turn drives advances in foundation engineering to handle difficult geotechnical issues.

3.2.2. Optimization studies

An method to foundation design that is both cost-effective and efficient has been developed via the use of numerical simulations [36, 37]. These simulations have been significant in examining the possibilities for lowering the number of piles in pile raft foundations (PRFs) without sacrificing performance. In order to study the interaction between the raft, piles, and soil, it is usual practice to utilize finite element (FE) and finite difference (FD) techniques. These approaches provide a comprehensive understanding of load-sharing mechanisms, settlement behavior, and stress distribution. The results of studies indicate that improving the spacing and arrangement of piles may make it possible for the raft to contribute to load-bearing in a more efficient manner, hence minimizing the dependence on piles. Through simulations, it has been shown that the ideal balance is achieved when the spacing-to-diameter ratio (S/D) ratio falls between 2.5 and 5 [7-10]. This allows piles to efficiently minimize settlement and boost stability while simultaneously eliminating redundancy. Furthermore, it has been shown that different pile layouts, such as clustered or concentric arrangements, are able to sustain severely laden regions with a reduced number of piles, hence enhancing the efficiency of the use of materials. When it comes to estimating the number of piles that are necessary, parametric studies show the significance of soil-structure interaction (SSI). Softer soils demand more strategic pile placement in order to sustain performance. In order to evaluate the behavior of a foundation over the long term in the context of decreased pile situations, time-dependent elements like soil consolidation and creep are increasingly being integrated into more complex models. Simulations of seismic and cyclic loads demonstrate that pile layouts that have been meticulously tuned are capable of preserving stability and resilience even when subjected to dynamic loading conditions [17, 24]. In spite of these developments, there are still difficulties in verifying simulation findings with large-scale field investigations and resolving the nonlinearities of situations that occur in the actual world. Machine learning and artificial intelligence are two examples of emerging technologies that show promise for improving numerical models in order to further minimize the number of piles that are necessary while maintaining safety and performance. The purpose of this study is to highlight the significance of numerical simulations in the advancement of PRF design [13, 28-33]. It emphasizes the role that these simulations play in creating cost-effective solutions by reducing the number of piles used while yet preserving structural integrity and geotechnical stability.

3.3. ANALYTICAL APPROACHES

Analytical methods offer simplified frameworks to predict the behavior of pile raft systems. These models often consider:

3.3.1. Load-sharing ratios

Analytical methods for analyzing load-sharing ratios in pile raft foundations (PRFs) concentrate on determining the amount of weight borne by piles as opposed to the raft. This provides essential insights for improving the performance of the foundation [41, 43, 45]. The complicated soil-structure interaction (SSI) is simplified via the use of mathematical models in these approaches, which also provide practical solutions for calculating the contributions of each component under a variety of loading circumstances. Equations have been constructed to predict the load-sharing behavior based on pile spacing, raft stiffness, and soil modulus. These equations have been obtained by pioneering investigations such as those that apply elasticity theory and Winkler-based assumptions. According to the findings of scientific research, piles are responsible for bearing a greater share of the load in soft soils, while the raft is responsible for contributing more substantially in harder soils. The relevance of the pile spacing-to-diameter ratio (S/D) is brought to light by analytical models. The best ranges for the S/D ratio provide efficient load transmission and minimize differential settling [7]. The bending rigidity of the raft and the relative stiffness of the pile-soil system are identified as additional factors that have an impact on load-sharing ratios, as shown by parametric tests. In order to increase the accuracy of analytical forecasts and bridge the gap between theoretical models and real-world situations, recent improvements have led to the integration of layered soil characteristics and nonlinear soil sorption indicators (SSI) [41]. For the purpose of evaluating long-term behavior, time-dependent phenomena like consolidation and creep have also been considered, which has also contributed to an increase in the dependability of analytical approaches. Despite the fact that these methods provide useful insights, there are still limits in their ability to capture complex phenomena such as the interaction between piles and soil when subjected to dynamic and cyclic stresses. The requirement of verifying analytical models for a wide variety of geotechnical circumstances is brought to light by comparisons with experimental and numerical findings [42]. In order to provide more accurate forecasts of load-sharing ratios, emerging trends recommend integrating analytical solutions with computational methods such as machine learning that are computational in nature [46]. This paper highlights the value of analytical techniques in enhancing PRF design by presenting methods that are both simple and successful in optimizing load distribution between the raft and piles. This helps to ensure that foundation systems are both cost-efficient and stable.

3.3.2. Settlement estimation

Analytical methods for estimating settlement in pile raft foundations (PRFs) have been investigated in great detail, and parametric studies have been conducted to investigate the implications of different designs on settlement behavior. The prediction of total and differential settlements is accomplished via the use of mathematical models and elasticity theory [44]. These approaches take into consideration a variety of elements, including pile spacing, pile length, raft stiffness, and soil qualities. Optimal values reduce both total and differential settlements while guaranteeing efficient load-sharing between the raft and piles, according to parametric calculations, which show that the spacing-to-diameter ratio (S/D) of piles has a substantial impact on the amount of settlement that occurs. Additionally, analytical solutions highlight the significance of soil modulus and its change with depth. This is because soil modulus is the factor that determines the stiffness of the soil-pile system as well as its capacity to efficiently transmit loads. Various simplified models, such as Winkler spring and continuum methods, have been extensively used for the purpose of estimating settlement under various configurations [45]. These models have provided valuable insights that may be utilized during the early design stages. Pile groups that are placed in concentric or non-uniform patterns have been shown to minimize settlements in severely loaded zones, hence enhancing overall performance, according to studies that include layered soil conditions. The incorporation of time-dependent processes like consolidation and creep into more complex models has made it possible to make predictions on the behavior of long-term settlements under sustained or cyclic pressures. In order to demonstrate the trustworthiness of analytical findings for calculating settlement in real-world circumstances, it is common practice to test analytical findings against experimental and numerical data for validation [40, 42, 44]. It is still difficult to handle complicated soil-structure interactions, such as those that result from heterogeneous or anisotropic soils. However, there are still limits in this area. For the purpose of refining settlement forecasts and accommodating site-specific constraints, recent improvements advise integrating analytical approaches with computational tools like as finite element analysis or machine learning. This body of research highlights the significance of analytical techniques in comprehending the behavior of settlements, highlighting the role that these approaches play in improving PRF design configurations in order to achieve stability, reduce settlements, and guarantee cost-effective solutions in geotechnical conditions that are difficult to work with [40-46].

4. PRACTICAL CONSIDERATIONS AND CHALLENGES

Field applications highlight the need for:

4.1. SITE-SPECIFIC DESIGNS

It is necessary for pile raft foundations (PRFs) to have site-specific designs that take into consideration soil heterogeneity. This is because different soil conditions have a substantial influence on the performance of the foundation. It is possible for unequal load distribution and differential settlements to occur over a site as a result of soil stratification, changes in soil strength, and variances in soil stiffness [5-7]. It has been shown via analytical models and numerical simulations that disregarding these fluctuations may lead to poor performance, which in turn can result in inappropriate load transmission between the piles and the raft on the raft [6-9]. These issues may be addressed with the use of tailored pile configurations, such as clustering piles in severely laden zones or altering pile spacing depending on the stiffness of the soil. When working in regions with heterogeneous soils, it is possible to maximize load distribution and decrease settlement by using pile designs that are either concentrated or non-uniform [30-33]. In addition, more sophisticated technologies like as machine learning and geostatistical approaches are being used more often in order to develop site-specific models that are more precise. These models include geotechnical data in order to improve the accuracy of soil behavior predictions and to refine pile layouts. The usefulness of tailored pile design in reducing settlement and enhancing stability for complicated geotechnical situations has been shown via field tests. This paper emphasizes the importance of site-specific designs in PRFs, where it is essential to have a solid knowledge of soil heterogeneity in order to maximize pile configurations, guarantee efficient load-sharing, and achieve long-term foundation stability in a variety of geotechnical settings [21].

4.2. CONSTRUCTION CONSTRAINTS

In pile raft foundations (PRFs), the limits of construction have a significant influence in determining whether or not the placement of piles is feasible and successful, which eventually has an effect on the design choices that are made. A number of factors, including accessibility of the site, characteristics of the soil, preexisting infrastructure, and environmental issues, might make it difficult to place piles in the most effective manner. For the purpose of ensuring that pile installation is carried out in an effective and precise manner, thorough planning is often required in the construction industry due to challenges like as limited space, uneven terrain, and the existence of subterranean utilities [25]. In addition, the ability to execute certain pile designs might be impacted by logistical issues such as the availability of equipment, the competency of the crew, and the limits of scheduling. It has been shown via both numerical simulations and field research that neglecting these construction limits might result in challenges in obtaining the appropriate load transfer and settling performance. In light of this, designs need to strike a compromise between the actual construction feasibility and the technical requirements. By improving weight distribution while addressing space and logistical limits, tailored pile patterns, such as staggered or concentric arrangements, can handle site-specific issues. These layouts may be used to overcome construction challenges. A number of recent developments in construction technology, including as prefabricated piles, guided drilling techniques, and automated installation procedures, provide potential answers for addressing these limitations. Field studies, on the other hand, have shown that site-specific evaluations are necessary in order to guarantee that the selected pile configuration is in accordance with both the design goals and the construction realities. The purpose of this literature review is to emphasize the need of including construction feasibility into PRF design. This will ensure that practical restrictions are addressed alongside geotechnical and structural issues in order to provide foundation solutions that are both efficient and long-lasting.

4.3. LONG-TERM PERFORMANCE

The performance of pile raft foundations (PRFs) over an extended period of time is essential for ensuring the stability, safety, and structural integrity of the foundation throughout time. As a result of the fact that settlement and load redistribution are important aspects that influence long-term behavior, ongoing monitoring is absolutely necessary [29-33]. It has been shown via research that early settling takes place during the installation process, which is then followed by long-term consolidation that may continue for a number of years. The interaction between the soil and the structure, the unequal distribution of loads, and differences in the strength of the soil may all lead to the development of differential settlements, according to both numerical models and field observations. Monitoring settlement patterns on a regular basis gives vital insights into the manner in which loads are transferred between the raft and piles, which assists in identifying any deviations from the assumptions that were designed for the structure. In addition, long-term settlement behavior is influenced by a number of elements, including soil consolidation, creep, and variations in groundwater levels. Various cutting-edge monitoring methods, including as global positioning systems (GPS), inclinometer systems, and settlement plates, have been used in order to monitor the progression of movement and load over a period of time. The results of field tests reveal that engineers are able to evaluate the performance of pile configurations and alter designs appropriately when they have the appropriate equipment and data gathering strategies. Long-term monitoring is particularly helpful in analyzing the efficacy of pile spacing, arrangement patterns, and the interaction between piles and soil. This helps to ensure that the piles continue to operate well under a variety of load circumstances over the monitoring period. This review of the relevant literature emphasizes the significance of conducting extensive long-term monitoring in order to evaluate settlement and load redistribution. This will allow for more informed judgments on the design and maintenance techniques for future PRF developments [32].

5. FUTURE RESEARCH DIRECTIONS

Future research on the effect of pile arrangement patterns in pile raft foundations could focus on understanding their influence on load-sharing mechanisms between the raft and piles in various soil conditions, including heterogeneous and layered soils. Investigating the impact of pile spacing, alignment, and grouping on differential settlement, lateral stability, and seismic performance is crucial. Numerical modeling can further enhance insights into pile-soil and pile-pile interactions, particularly for complex geometries and loading scenarios [40-46]. Additionally, research could explore the role of optimized pile arrangements in improving uplift resistance and reducing overall construction costs while ensuring long-term performance and sustainability of pile raft foundations.

5.1. 3D NUMERICAL MODELING

A great amount of research in the field of geotechnical engineering has been directed toward the creation of complete three-dimensional models that can take into account the complicated soil behaviors that occur in pile raft foundations. The previously conducted research highlights the need of using precise modeling tools in order to accurately depict the complex soil-structure interactions that are intrinsic to these foundations [26, 37]. A significant number of important aspects, including non-linear soil behavior, anisotropy, and dynamic loading conditions, were often overlooked by early models, which consisted mostly of simplistic two-dimensional or axisymmetric methods. A more complete depiction of soil heterogeneity and pile-soil interactions has been made possible as a result of recent developments in three-dimensional numerical modeling, which have been backed by strong computational tools. For the purpose of simulating realistic soil reactions under a variety of loading situations, studies have used advanced constitutive soil models, such as elasto-plastic and hypoplastic frameworks [38, 46]. Researchers have also researched the significance of soil-raft-pile coupling, and their findings have shown that the stiffness of the raft, the spacing between the piles, and the arrangement of the piles all have a substantial impact on the features of load distribution and settlement. In spite of the fact that finite element methods (FEM) and finite difference methods (FDM) continue to be the most often used, more recent approaches such as discrete element modeling (DEM) are being increasingly used for the purpose of capturing the behavior of granular soil at the micro-scale. In addition, the incorporation of dynamic and time-dependent factors, such as creep, consolidation, and cyclic loading, has resulted in the further refinement of these models. In spite of these developments, there are still difficulties in adequately modeling complicated boundary conditions, behavior over extended periods of time, and soil deterioration. In addition, recent efforts have been aimed toward the integration of machine learning algorithms with three-dimensional models in order to improve the accuracy of predictions and the efficiency of computation [26]. Given the continuing emphasis placed on validation via the use of field and laboratory data, it is essential to ensure that theoretical breakthroughs are aligned with practically applicable applications. Ultimately, the purpose of these research is to provide engineers with powerful and dependable tools that will allow them to optimize the design of pile raft foundations in a variety of geotechnical settings [30].

5.2. SUSTAINABILITY

Because of the increasing focus placed on sustainability in the building industry, research into environmentally friendly materials and methods for piling raft foundations has been prompted. Due to the fact that conventional building practices often depend on materials that have significant carbon footprints, such as concrete and steel, there is a pressing need to transition towards more environmentally friendly alternatives. Recent research has investigated the use of recycled aggregates, fly ash, ground granulated blast-furnace slag (GGBS), and geopolymer concrete as alternatives to traditional cementitious materials. These alternatives have been shown to have the ability to decrease environmental effects while preserving structural performance. In addition, bio-cementation methods, such as microbial-induced calcite precipitation (MICP), have been researched for the purpose of improving soil [30-32]. These approaches provide a novel and environmentally friendly way to increase bearing capacity and decrease settlement. In the realm of building, energy-efficient approaches, such as pre-fabrication and modular construction, have shown tremendous potential in reducing the amount of material waste and the amount of time required for construction. Additionally, research emphasizes the need of optimizing pile spacing and configurations in order to minimize the amount of material used without affecting the performance of the foundation structure. Despite the fact that life cycle assessments (LCA) of pile raft foundations that use environmentally friendly materials demonstrate considerable reductions in embodied carbon, there are still hurdles to be faced in scaling up these methods for broad implementation. As a further point of interest, developments in computational tools have made it easier to build and analyze sustainable pile raft systems. This has enabled engineers to examine the trade-offs between environmental advantages, cost-effectiveness, and long-term durability. The incorporation of renewable energy systems, such as energy piles, into pile raft foundations is the subject of ongoing research. The goal of these researches is to further improve the infrastructure's sustainability [4]. In spite of these developments, there are still gaps in our knowledge of the long-term performance of alternative materials under a variety of geotechnical and climatic situations. In the future, research should place an emphasis on field testing, the creation of policies, and the formation of design standards in order to encourage the use of environmentally friendly materials and construction processes in piling raft foundations [4]. This will contribute to the overarching objective of developing sustainable infrastructure.

5.3. DYNAMIC LOADING

Due to the significance of this aspect in guaranteeing the structural stability of a building under dynamic circumstances, the influence of seismic and cyclic loads on pile configurations in pile raft foundations has been a crucial field of inquiry. A number of studies have shown that the arrangement of the piles has a major impact on the load-sharing mechanisms that exist between the raft and the piles, especially in the case of seismic events or repeated loading cycles [18, 20, 22, 24]. In the beginning, researchers often relied on simplistic two-dimensional models, which did not sufficiently describe the intricate interactions between soil and structure and the dynamic reactions. New developments in three-dimensional finite element modeling (FEM) have made it possible to get a more in-depth understanding of the function that pile spacing, orientation, and group configurations play in the context of seismic and cyclic stresses. According to the findings of these research, piles that are closely placed may be subject to negative group effects such as shadowing and stress concentration. On the other hand, increasing the distance between heaps might increase overall performance by lowering the impact of interaction effects. A number of numerical conclusions have been confirmed by experimental studies that used shaking tables and cyclic loading equipment. These investigations have shown that optimized pile configurations have the ability to reduce differential settling and improve energy dissipation [22, 24]. In addition, the incorporation of nonlinear soil behavior, such as liquefaction and cyclic deterioration, has contributed to a more sophisticated knowledge of foundation reactions in soils that are soft and loose. As raft stiffness and flexibility may have a considerable impact on dynamic performance, research also highlights the need of taking into consideration the coupling between the soil, the raft, and the pile. The modeling of complicated processes, such as soil-pile gapping, hysteretic damping, and post-seismic residual displacements, continues to be fraught with difficulties. Research is being conducted to investigate novel methodologies, such as hybrid modeling and machine learning, with the goal of overcoming these constraints. In the future, research should concentrate on site-specific analysis, field investigations, and the establishment of design standards that take into consideration the impacts of seismic and cyclic loads on pile configurations [18, 20]. This would be helpful in improving pile raft foundations for greater resilience and performance in areas that are prone to earthquakes and cyclic loads, which would contribute to geotechnical designs that are safer and more efficient.

6. CONCLUSION

A crucial design parameter that has a considerable impact on the load-bearing capacity, settlement behavior, and overall performance of a pile raft foundation is the arrangement of the piles that make up the foundation. Not only do pile configurations that have been properly optimized improve the load-sharing mechanism between the raft and the piles, but they also minimize the amount of material that is used, which makes foundations more cost-effective and less environmentally harmful. Despite the fact that previous research has provided useful insights on the impacts of pile spacing, orientation, and grouping, there are still a great deal of obstacles that need to be investigated further [4, 7-10, 28-33]. It is necessary to do more research in order to have a better understanding of the impact that different soil characteristics, such as layered or anisotropic soils, have on the effectiveness of pile arrangement. In addition, the dynamic effects of seismic and cyclic loads on various pile designs have not been well explored, despite the fact that these effects are of crucial relevance in places that are prone to earthquakes [18]. Emerging computer techniques, such as sophisticated finite element modeling (FEM) and discrete element methods (DEM), provide the possibility of simulating complicated soil-structure interactions with a higher degree of precision. This enables a more in-depth comprehension of the dynamic relationship that exists between soil, raft, and piling. These computational techniques are supplemented by experimental procedures, which validate theoretical predictions. Examples of experimental approaches include large-scale physical modeling and in-situ observations. Furthermore, the incorporation of optimization algorithms and machine learning methods is altering the process of pile arrangement design [30]. This is making it possible to make judgments based on data and to produce new layouts that are adapted to the unique requirements of the site. Incorporating recycled materials and energy heaps are two examples of sustainability concerns that further emphasize the need of continuing research in order to connect foundation designs with global environmental objectives. The resolution of these issues will call for the cooperation of experts from many fields, as well as the modification of design standards to include cutting-edge approaches and environmentally responsible practices. The development of pile raft foundation systems that are safer, more efficient, and more robust in order to satisfy the requirements of current infrastructure development will be made possible by a more thorough knowledge of pile arrangements, which will be backed by cutting-edge technology and sustainable principles.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

we extend my heartfelt gratitude to Dr. Tandra Banerjee, head of Civil Engineering Department, CKPCET for her invaluable guidance and motivation during writing this paper.

REFERENCES

1. Akbari A, Eslami A (2010). Performance of raft, piled raft, and pile group foundations under earthquake loading. *In: Proceeding of the 1st international conference on urban construction in vicinity of active faults. Iran: Tabriz.*

2. Akinmusuru, J.O. (1980). Interaction of piles and cap in piled footings. *Journal of the Geotechnical Engineering Division*, 106(11), 1263-1268.
3. Anand V, Satish Kumar SR (2018). Seismic soil-structure interaction: a state-of-the-art review. *Structures*,16, 317–26. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.istruc.2018.10.009.
4. BSI (British Standards Institution). Foundations. BS 8004: 1986. London: BSI; 1986.
5. Chanda D, Saha R, Haldar S (2020). Behaviour of piled raft foundation in sand subjected to combined V-M-H loading, *Ocean Engineering*, 107596. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.oceaneng.2020.107596.
6. Chanda, D., Saha, R., Haldar, S., Choudhury, D. (2023). State-of-the-art review on responses of combined piled raft foundation subjected to seismic loads using static and dynamic approaches. Soil Dynamics and Earthquake Engineering. 169, 107869, 1-17. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soildyn.2023.107869.
7. Chandiwala A. and Vasanwala S. (2023). Experimental Study of Lateral Loading on Piled Raft Foundations on Sandy Soil. *International Journal of Engineering*, 36(1), 28-34. https://doi.org/10.5829/ije.2023.36.01a.04.
8. Chandiwala A. K., & Vasanwala S. A. (2017). Soil-Raft Foundation-Structure Interaction Effects on Seismic Performance of Multi-Story MRF Building with Vertical Irregularity. *In Indian Geotechnical Conference 2017 GeoNEst conference Proceedings (pp. 1-4). India: IIT Guwahati*.
9. Chandiwala A. K., & Vasanwala S. A. (2023). Experimental investigation of circular piled raft foundation under vertical load in cohesionless soil. *Asia-Pacific Journal of Science and Technology*, 28(06), APST–28. https://doi.org/10.14456/apst.2023.108.
10. Chandiwala A., & Vasanwala S. (2023). Experimental investigation of inclined compressive loading on a piled raft foundation. *Ocean Engineering*, 287, 115757. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.oceaneng.2023.115757.
11. Chaudhuri CH, Chanda D, Saha R, Haldar S (2020). Three-dimensional numerical analysis on seismic behaviour of soil-piled raft-structure system. *Structures*, 28,905–22. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.istruc.2020.09.024.
12. Clancy, P., and Randolph, M.F. (1996). Simple Design Tools for Piled Raft Foundations. *Géotechnique*, 46(2), 313-328.
13. El-Garhy, B., Galil, A. A., Youssef, A. F., Raia M. A. (2013). Behavior of raft on settlement reducing piles: Experimental model study. *Journal of Rock Mechanics and Geotechnical Engineering*, 5, 389-399.
14. Giretti, D. (2010). Modeling of piled raft foundation in sand. Ph.D. thesis, University of Ferrara, Italy.
15. Horikoshi K, Matsumoto T, Hashizume Y, Watanabe T, Fukuyama H. (2003). Performance of piled raft foundations subjected to static horizontal loads. *International Journal of Physical Modeling in Geotechnics*, 3(2), 37–50.
16. Horikoshi, K., & Randolph, M. F. (1998). A contribution to optimum design of piled rafts. *Geotechnique*, 48(3), 301-317.
17. Kaneda K, Hamada J, Tanikawa T (2014). Experiment and numerical simulation of pile stress on pile and piled raft foundations subjected to ground deformation during earthquakes. *In: Computer methods and recent advances in geomechanics: proceedings of the 14th international conference of international association for computer methods and recent advances in geomechanics*. Taylor & Francis Books Ltd, 907–10. IACMAG 2014.

18. Karabalis DL, Mohammadi M (1998). 3-D dynamic foundation-soil-foundation interaction on layered soil. *Soil Dynamics and Earthquake Engineering,*17, 139–52. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0267-7261(97)00047-X.
19. Katzenbach, R., et al. (2000). Combined pile-raft foundations: Innovative methods of analysis and design. *International Journal of Geotechnical Engineering*, 6(3), 1-28.
20. Kausel E. (2010). Early history of soil–structure interaction. *Soil Dynamics and Earthquake Engineering*, 30, 822–32. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soildyn.2009.11.001.
21. Lee, J., Park, D., Park, D., and Park, K. (2015). Estimation of load-sharing ratios for piled rafts in sand that includes interaction effects. *Computers and Geotechnics*, 63, 306-314.
22. Maheshwari BK (2014). Recent advances in seismic soil-structure interaction. *In: Proceedings of the Indian geotechnical conference held in Kakinada. Andhra Pradesh*; 2014. p. 2463–77.
23. Matsumoto T, Fukumura K, Kitiyodom P, Horikoshi K, Oki A (2004). Experimental and analytical study on behaviour of model piled rafts in sand subjected to horizontal and moment loading. International Journal of Physical Modelling in Geotechnics,4(3),1–19. https://doi.org/10.1680/IJPMG.2004.040301.
24. Menglin L, Huaifeng W, Xi C, Yongmei Z (2011). Structure–soil–structure interaction: literature review. *Soil Dynamics and Earthquake Engineering*,31, 1724–31. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soildyn.2011.07.008.
25. Mu L, Huang M, Lian K (2014). Analysis of pile-raft foundations under complex loads in layered soils. *International Journal for Numerical and Analytical Methods in Geomechanics*;38(3):256–80. https://doi.org/10.1002/nag.2205.
26. Padron LA, Aznarez JJ, Maeso O (2011). 3-D boundary element–finite element method for the dynamic analysis of piled buildings. *Engineering Analysis with Boundary Elements*, 35, 465–77. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enganabound.2010.09.006.
27. PLAXIS. Plaxis2D-reference manual, Connect edition V20.02. 2020.
28. Poulos HG (2001). Piled raft foundations: design and application. *Geotechnique*, 51 (2), 95–113.
29. Poulos, H. G. & Davis, E. H. (1980). Pile foundation analysis and design. New York: Wiley.
30. Poulos, H. G. (2001). Methods of analysis of piled raft foundations. *Soil Dynamics and Earthquake Engineering*, 21(2), 73-86.
31. Randolph, M. F. (1994). Design methods for pile groups and piled rafts. *Geotechnique*, 44(3), 427-468.
32. Randolph, M. F. (1994). Design methods for pile groups and piled rafts: state of-the-art report. *Proc. 13th International Conference Soil Mechanics Foundation Engineering, New Delhi*, 61-82.
33. Reu O, Randoph M (2004). Design strategies for pile rafts subjected to non-uniform vertical loading. *Journal of Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Engineering*, 130(1), 1-13
34. Roy, S. (2017). Pile-Raft-Soil Interaction Study by Finite Element Analysis. *International Journal of Engineering Research and Application*, 7(6), 01-05.
35. Russo (1998). Numerical analysis of pile rafts. *International Journal for Numerical and Analytical Methods in Geomechanics*, 22(6), 477 – 493. https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1096-9853(199806)22:6<477::AID-NAG931>3.0.CO;2-H.

36. Sawada K, Takemura J (2014). Centrifuge model tests on the piled raft foundation in sand subjected to lateral and moment loads. *Soils and Foundation*, 54(2), 126–40.
37. Shamsi M, Shabani MJ, Vakili AH (2022). Three-dimensional seismic nonlinear analysis of topography–structure–soil–structure interaction for buildings near slopes. International Journal of Geomechanics,22(3). https://doi.org/10.1061/(asce)gm.1943-5622.0002301.
38. Sinha, A. and Hanna, A.M. (2016). 3D numerical model for piled raft foundation. *International Journal of Geomechanics*, DOI: 10.1061/(ASCE)GM.1943-5622.0000674.
39. Small JC, Zhang HH (2002). Behaviour of piled raft foundations under lateral and vertical loading. *Intentional Journal of Geomechanics*, 2(1), 29–45.
40. Solanki CH, Vasanvala SA, Patil JD (2013). A study of piled raft foundation: state of art. International Journal of Engineering Research and Technology, 2(8), 1464-70.
41. Ta, L. D., & Small, J. C. (1996). Analysis of Piled Raft Systems in Layered Soil. *International Journal of Numerical and Analysis Methods*, *Geomechanics*, 20,57-72.
42. Unsever YS, Matsumoto T, Ozkan MY (2015). Numerical analyses of load tests on model foundations in dry sand. *Computers and Geotechnics*, 63:255–66. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compgeo.2014.10.005.
43. Wang S, Schmid G (1992). Dynamic structure-soil-structure interaction by FEM and BEM. *Computer Mechanics*,19, 347–57. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00370014.
44. Yamashita K (2016). Settlement of piled raft subjected to strong seismic motion. *Japanese Geotechnical Society Special Publication*, 2(34), 1233–7.
45. Zhang HH, Small JC (2000). Analysis of capped pile groups subjected to horizontal and vertical loads. *Computers and Geotechnics*, 26(l), l–2 l.
46. Zhang L (2014). In: Centrifuge and numerical modeling of the seismic response of pile groups in soft clays. Ph D. thesis. National University of Singapore.