

MODAL OPERATORS ON BIPOLAR FERMATEAN FUZZY MATRICES

K. Lalitha¹, S. Sathya², S. Sarguna³

¹Department of Mathematics, Annamalai University, Deputed to T.K.Government Arts College
Virudhachalam, Tamil Nadu, India-606001, sudhan_17@yahoo.com

² Department of Mathematics, Annamalai University, (² Department of Mathematics, Panimalar
Engineering College, Chennai)
Chidambaram, Tamil Nadu, India-608002., sathyasuresh10@gmail.com

³Department of Science and Humanities, Chennai Institute of Technology, Kundrathur, Chennai, Tamil
Nadu, India-600069., sargunaphd21@gmail.com

Abstract

In this section, necessity and possibility operators are formulated for Bipolar Fermatean Fuzzy matrices, and various algebraic operations are discussed. Additionally, the complement of the matrix is studied using modal operator combinations.

Keywords: Bipolar Fermatean Fuzzy Set, Bipolar Fermatean Fuzzy Matrix, Modal Operators.

1. INTRODUCTION

The concept of fuzzy sets, introduced by Zadeh [14], has proven to be an essential tool for handling uncertainty and fuzziness. The concept of Pythagorean fuzzy sets and corresponding aggregation operations were first explored by Yager [10]. Atanassov [1] explored the concept of intuitionistic fuzzy sets and examined operations, modal operators, and topological aspects. The introduction of the modal operator in intuitionistic fuzzy matrices was made by Murugadas et al.[7] along with the development of aggregation operations. A set of properties concerning the bounded sum and bounded product of fuzzy matrices was proposed by Zhang et al.[13]. Meenakshi [5,6] explored the fundamental concepts of fuzzy matrices. Lalitha et al. [3,4] conducted a study that explored transitive closure and power convergence in bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy matrices. Silambarasan [8,9] explored some algebraic structures and investigated the commutative, associative, and distributive properties in Fermatean fuzzy matrices. The different types operators on intuitionistic fuzzy matrices was clarified by Boobalan et al. [2] and relevant properties of IFMs have been studied. Muthuraji et al.[8] provided an explanation of how implication operators function on intuitionistic fuzzy matrices, and examined certain IFM properties. Their study addressed multiple aspects of bipolar fuzzy sets and supported the findings with numerical demonstrations. Senapati et al. [9] introduced Fermatean fuzzy sets.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, let us recall some basic definitions of Fermatean fuzzy set and Bipolar Fermatean Fuzzy Set.

2.1 Definition

A fermatean fuzzy Set ($\mathcal{F}e\mathcal{F}S$) over the universal set is defined as $\mathcal{T} = \{t_p, t_{1p}, t_{2p}/t_{sp} \in \mathcal{T}\}$ where $t_{1p}, t_{2p}: \mathcal{T} \rightarrow [0,1]$. Also $0 \leq (t_{1p})^3 + (t_{2p})^3 \leq 1$.

2.2 Definition

A fermatean fuzzy matrix ($\mathcal{F}e\mathcal{F}M$) is defined as $\mathcal{T} = \langle t_{1pr}, t_{2pr} \rangle$ where $t_{1pr}, t_{2pr}: \mathcal{T} \rightarrow [0,1]$. Also

$$0 \leq (t_{1pr})^3 + (t_{2pr})^3 \leq 1.$$

2.3 Definition

A bipolar valued fuzzy set(BFeS) of \mathcal{T} is an object of the form $\mathcal{T} = \langle t_p, -t_{1p}, t_{2p} \rangle$.

Also, $t_{1p}: \mathcal{T} \rightarrow [-1,0]$ and $t_{2p}: \mathcal{T} \rightarrow [0,1]$. Where $-t_{1p}, t_{2p}$ denotes negative membership and positive membership respectively.

2.4 Definition

A Bipolar Fermatean Fuzzy Set(BFeFS) is defined as $\mathcal{T} = \langle t_p, -t_{1p}, t_{2p}, -t_{3p}, t_{4p} \rangle$.

Where, $t_{1p}, t_{3p} \in [-1,0]$ and $t_{2p}, t_{4p} \in [0,1]$. Also $-1 \leq (t_{1p})^3 + (t_{3p})^3 \leq 0, 0 \leq (t_{2p})^3 + (t_{4p})^3 \leq 1$

2.5 Definition

A BipolarFermatean Fuzzy Matrix(BFeFM) is defined as $\mathcal{T} = \langle t_p, -t_{1pr}, t_{2pr}, -t_{3pr}, t_{4pr} \rangle$.

BFeFM consists of element of BFeFS.

2.6 Definition

Let $\mathcal{T} = \langle t_p, -t_{1pr}, t_{2pr}, -t_{3pr}, t_{4pr} \rangle, \mathcal{Q} = \langle q_p, -q_{1pr}, q_{2pr}, -q_{3pr}, q_{4pr} \rangle$ be two BFeFM's of same order. Then,

(a) If $\mathcal{T} \geq \mathcal{Q}$ then $t_{1pr} \geq q_{1pr}, t_{2pr} \leq q_{2pr}, t_{3pr} \leq q_{3pr}, t_{4pr} \geq q_{4pr}$.

(b) $\mathcal{T} \vee \mathcal{Q} = \left(\begin{matrix} -\max(t_{1pr}, q_{1pr}), \min(t_{2pr}, q_{2pr}), \\ -\min(t_{3pr}, q_{3pr}), \max(t_{4pr}, q_{4pr}) \end{matrix} \right)$

(c) $\mathcal{T} \wedge \mathcal{Q} = \left(\begin{matrix} -\min(t_{1pr}, q_{1pr}), \max(t_{2pr}, q_{2pr}), \\ -\max(t_{3pr}, q_{3pr}), \min(t_{4pr}, q_{4pr}) \end{matrix} \right)$

(d) $\mathcal{T} @ \mathcal{Q} = \left(\begin{matrix} -\sqrt[3]{\frac{(t_{1pr})^3 + (q_{1pr})^3}{2}}, \sqrt[3]{\frac{(t_{2pr})^3 + (q_{2pr})^3}{2}}, \\ -\sqrt[3]{\frac{(t_{3pr})^3 + (q_{3pr})^3}{2}}, \sqrt[3]{\frac{(t_{4pr})^3 + (q_{4pr})^3}{2}} \end{matrix} \right)$

(e) $\mathcal{T}^c = \langle -t_{3pr}, t_{4pr}, -t_{1pr}, t_{2pr} \rangle$

III. RESULTS OF BIPOLAR FERMEATEAN FUZZY MATRIX USING MODAL OPERATORS

3.1 Definition

For any two bipolar fermatean fuzzy matrices $\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{Q} \in \text{BFeFM}$ s of same order. Then,

(a) $\mathcal{T} \oplus \mathcal{Q} = \left(\begin{matrix} -\sqrt[3]{(t_{1pr})^3 + (q_{1pr})^3} - (t_{1pr})^3 (q_{1pr})^3, t_{2pr} q_{2pr}, \\ -t_{3pr} q_{3pr}, \sqrt[3]{(t_{4pr})^3 + (q_{4pr})^3} - (t_{4pr})^3 (q_{4pr})^3 \end{matrix} \right)$

(b) $\mathcal{T} \otimes \mathcal{Q} = \left(\begin{matrix} -t_{1pr} q_{1pr}, \sqrt[3]{(t_{2pr})^3 + (q_{2pr})^3} - (t_{2pr})^3 (q_{2pr})^3, \\ -\sqrt[3]{(t_{3pr})^3 + (q_{3pr})^3} - (t_{3pr})^3 (q_{3pr})^3, t_{4pr} q_{4pr} \end{matrix} \right)$

(c) $\mathcal{T} \rightarrow_{BF} \mathcal{Q} = \left(\begin{matrix} -\min(t_{3pr}, q_{1pr}), \max(t_{4pr}, q_{2pr}), \\ -\max(t_{1pr}, q_{3pr}), \min(t_{2pr}, q_{4pr}) \end{matrix} \right)$

$$(d) \mathcal{T}^f = \left(\langle -(\mathfrak{t}_{1pr})^f, (\mathfrak{t}_{2pr})^f \rangle, \langle -\sqrt[3]{1 - (1 - (\mathfrak{t}_{3pr})^3)^f}, \sqrt[3]{1 - (1 - (\mathfrak{t}_{4pr})^3)^f} \rangle \right)$$

$$(e) f\mathcal{T} = \left(-\sqrt[3]{1 - (1 - (\mathfrak{t}_{1pr})^3)^f}, \sqrt[3]{1 - (1 - (\mathfrak{t}_{2pr})^3)^f}, -(\mathfrak{t}_{3pr})^f, (\mathfrak{t}_{4pr})^f \right)$$

3.2 Definition

For any bipolar fermatean fuzzy matrices $\mathcal{T} \in \mathcal{BFFeFM}$ s of same order. Then the necessity (\square) and possibility (\boxtimes) operators are defined as follows,

$$(a) \square \mathcal{T} = \left(-\mathfrak{t}_{1pr}, \mathfrak{t}_{2pr}, -\sqrt[3]{1 - (\mathfrak{t}_{1pr})^3}, \sqrt[3]{1 - (\mathfrak{t}_{2pr})^3} \right)$$

$$(b) \boxtimes \mathcal{T} = \left(-\sqrt[3]{1 - (\mathfrak{t}_{3pr})^3}, \sqrt[3]{1 - (\mathfrak{t}_{4pr})^3}, -\mathfrak{t}_{3pr}, \mathfrak{t}_{4pr} \right)$$

Proposition 3.1

If \mathcal{T} and \mathcal{Q} are two \mathcal{BFFeFM} s of equal size, then the following equalities hold.

$$(a) \square (\mathcal{T} \vee \mathcal{Q}) = \square \mathcal{T} \vee \square \mathcal{Q}$$

$$(b) \square (\mathcal{T} \wedge \mathcal{Q}) = \square \mathcal{T} \wedge \square \mathcal{Q}$$

$$(c) \boxtimes (\mathcal{T} \vee \mathcal{Q}) = \boxtimes \mathcal{T} \vee \boxtimes \mathcal{Q}$$

$$(d) \boxtimes (\mathcal{T} \wedge \mathcal{Q}) = \boxtimes \mathcal{T} \wedge \boxtimes \mathcal{Q}$$

Proof:

$$(a) \text{ Let } \mathcal{T} = \langle -\mathfrak{t}_{1pr}, \mathfrak{t}_{2pr}, -\mathfrak{t}_{3pr}, \mathfrak{t}_{4pr} \rangle$$

$$\mathcal{Q} = \langle -\mathfrak{q}_{1pr}, \mathfrak{q}_{2pr}, -\mathfrak{q}_{3pr}, \mathfrak{q}_{4pr} \rangle \text{ be two } \mathcal{BFFeFM}\text{s of same order.}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T} \vee \mathcal{Q} &= \left(\begin{array}{l} -\max(\mathfrak{t}_{1pr}, \mathfrak{q}_{1pr}), \min(\mathfrak{t}_{2pr}, \mathfrak{q}_{2pr}), \\ -\min(\mathfrak{t}_{3pr}, \mathfrak{q}_{3pr}), \max(\mathfrak{t}_{4pr}, \mathfrak{q}_{4pr}) \end{array} \right) \\ &= \langle -\mathfrak{t}_{1pr}, \mathfrak{t}_{2pr}, -\mathfrak{t}_{3pr}, \mathfrak{t}_{4pr} \rangle \\ \square (\mathcal{T} \vee \mathcal{Q}) &= \left(-\mathfrak{t}_{1pr}, \mathfrak{t}_{2pr}, -\sqrt[3]{1 - (\mathfrak{t}_{1pr})^3}, \sqrt[3]{1 - (\mathfrak{t}_{2pr})^3} \right) \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \square \mathcal{T} \vee \square \mathcal{Q} &= \left(-\mathfrak{t}_{1pr}, \mathfrak{t}_{2pr}, -\sqrt[3]{1 - (\mathfrak{t}_{1pr})^3}, \sqrt[3]{1 - (\mathfrak{t}_{2pr})^3} \right) \\ &\vee \left(-\mathfrak{q}_{1pr}, \mathfrak{q}_{2pr}, -\sqrt[3]{1 - (\mathfrak{q}_{1pr})^3}, \sqrt[3]{1 - (\mathfrak{q}_{2pr})^3} \right) \\ &= \left(\begin{array}{l} -\max(\mathfrak{t}_{1pr}, \mathfrak{q}_{1pr}), \min(\mathfrak{t}_{2pr}, \mathfrak{q}_{2pr}), \\ -\min\left(\sqrt[3]{1 - (\mathfrak{t}_{1pr})^3}, \sqrt[3]{1 - (\mathfrak{q}_{1pr})^3}\right), \max\left(\sqrt[3]{1 - (\mathfrak{t}_{2pr})^3}, \sqrt[3]{1 - (\mathfrak{q}_{2pr})^3}\right) \end{array} \right) \\ &= \left(-\mathfrak{t}_{1pr}, \mathfrak{t}_{2pr}, -\sqrt[3]{1 - (\mathfrak{t}_{1pr})^3}, \sqrt[3]{1 - (\mathfrak{t}_{2pr})^3} \right) \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

From (3.1) and (3.2)

$$\square (\mathcal{T} \vee \mathcal{Q}) = \square \mathcal{T} \vee \square \mathcal{Q}$$

$$(c) \boxtimes (\mathcal{T} \vee \mathcal{Q}) = \left(-\sqrt[3]{1 - (\mathfrak{t}_{3pr})^3}, \sqrt[3]{1 - (\mathfrak{t}_{4pr})^3}, -\mathfrak{t}_{3pr}, \mathfrak{t}_{4pr} \right) \tag{3.3}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \boxtimes \mathcal{T} \vee \boxtimes \mathcal{Q} &= \left(-\sqrt[3]{1 - (t_{3pr})^3}, \sqrt[3]{1 - (t_{4pr})^3}, -t_{3pr}, t_{4pr} \right) \vee \\
 &\quad \left(-\sqrt[3]{1 - (q_{3pr})^3}, \sqrt[3]{1 - (q_{4pr})^3}, -q_{3pr}, q_{4pr} \right) \\
 &= \left(-\max \left(\sqrt[3]{1 - (t_{3pr})^3}, \sqrt[3]{1 - (q_{3pr})^3} \right), \min \left(\sqrt[3]{1 - (t_{4pr})^3}, \sqrt[3]{1 - (q_{4pr})^3} \right), \right. \\
 &\quad \left. -\min (t_{3pr}, q_{3pr}), \max (t_{4pr}, q_{4pr}) \right) \\
 &= \left(-\sqrt[3]{1 - (t_{3pr})^3}, \sqrt[3]{1 - (t_{4pr})^3}, -t_{3pr}, t_{4pr} \right) \tag{3.4}
 \end{aligned}$$

From (3.3) and (3.4)

$$\boxtimes (\mathcal{T} \vee \mathcal{Q}) = \boxtimes \mathcal{T} \vee \boxtimes \mathcal{Q}$$

Similarly, we can prove (b) and (d).

Proposition 3.2

For any $BFeFM_s\mathcal{T}$, the universal matrix J and the zero matrix \mathcal{O} . Then the equalities hold.

- (a) $\boxtimes \square (\mathcal{T}) = \square \mathcal{T}$
- (b) $\square \boxtimes (\mathcal{T}) = \boxtimes \mathcal{T}$
- (c) $\square (\boxtimes J) = J$
- (d) $\boxtimes (\square J) = J$
- (e) $\square (\boxtimes \mathcal{O}) = \mathcal{O}$
- (f) $\boxtimes (\square \mathcal{O}) = \mathcal{O}$
- (g) $\diamond \diamond \mathcal{T} = \diamond \mathcal{T}$
- (h) $\boxtimes \boxtimes \mathcal{T} = \boxtimes \mathcal{T}$
- (i) $\square \mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}$
- (j) $\boxtimes \mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}$
- (k) $\diamond J = J$
- (l) $\boxtimes J = J$

Proof:

(a) Let $\mathcal{T} = \langle -t_{1pr}, t_{2pr}, -t_{3pr}, t_{4pr} \rangle$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \square \mathcal{T} &= \left(-t_{1pr}, t_{2pr}, -\sqrt[3]{1 - (t_{1pr})^3}, \sqrt[3]{1 - (t_{2pr})^3} \right) \\
 \boxtimes \square \mathcal{T} &= \left(-\sqrt[3]{1 - \left(\sqrt[3]{1 - (t_{1pr})^3} \right)^3}, \sqrt[3]{1 - \left(\sqrt[3]{1 - (t_{2pr})^3} \right)^3}, -\sqrt[3]{1 - (t_{1pr})^3}, \sqrt[3]{1 - (t_{2pr})^3} \right) \\
 &= \left(-t_{1pr}, t_{2pr}, -\sqrt[3]{1 - (t_{1pr})^3}, \sqrt[3]{1 - (t_{2pr})^3} \right) = \square \mathcal{T}
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\boxtimes \square (\mathcal{T}) = \square \mathcal{T}$

Similarly, remaining can be prove analogically.

Proposition 3.3

For any $BFeFM_s\mathcal{T}$. If $f > 0$ be any integer, then the following equalities holds.

- (a) $\square \mathcal{T}^f = (\square \mathcal{T})^f$

- (b) $\boxtimes \mathcal{T}^f = (\boxtimes \mathcal{T})^f$
- (c) $\square f \mathcal{T} = f \square \mathcal{T}$
- (d) $\boxtimes f \mathcal{T} = f \boxtimes \mathcal{T}$

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{(a) } \mathcal{T}^f &= \left(- (t_{1pr})^f, (t_{2pr})^f, -\sqrt[3]{1 - (1 - (t_{3pr})^3)^f}, \sqrt[3]{1 - (1 - (t_{4pr})^3)^f} \right) \\
 \square \mathcal{T}^f &= \square \left(- (t_{1pr})^f, (t_{2pr})^f, -\sqrt[3]{1 - (1 - (t_{1pr})^3)^f}, \sqrt[3]{1 - (1 - (t_{2pr})^3)^f} \right) \\
 &= \left(- (t_{1pr})^f, (t_{2pr})^f, -\sqrt[3]{1 - ((t_{1pr})^f)^3}, \sqrt[3]{1 - ((t_{2pr})^f)^3} \right) \tag{3.5}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\square \mathcal{T})^f &= \left(- (t_{1pr}), (t_{2pr}), -\sqrt[3]{1 - (t_{1pr})^3}, \sqrt[3]{1 - (t_{2pr})^3} \right)^f \\
 &= \left(- (t_{1pr})^f, (t_{2pr})^f, -\sqrt[3]{1 - \left(1 - \left(\sqrt[3]{1 - (t_{1pr})^3}\right)^3\right)^f}, \sqrt[3]{1 - \left(1 - \left(\sqrt[3]{1 - (t_{2pr})^3}\right)^3\right)^f} \right) \\
 &= \left(- (t_{1pr})^f, (t_{2pr})^f, -\sqrt[3]{1 - ((t_{1pr})^f)^3}, \sqrt[3]{1 - ((t_{2pr})^f)^3} \right) \tag{3.6}
 \end{aligned}$$

From (3.5) and (3.6)

$$\begin{aligned}
 \square \mathcal{T}^f &= (\square \mathcal{T})^f \\
 \text{(c) } \square f \mathcal{T} &= \left(-\sqrt[3]{1 - (1 - (t_{1pr})^3)^f}, \sqrt[3]{1 - (1 - (t_{2pr})^3)^f}, - (t_{3pr})^f, (t_{4pr})^f \right) \\
 &= \left(\begin{array}{l} -\sqrt[3]{1 - (1 - (t_{1pr})^3)^f}, \sqrt[3]{1 - (1 - (t_{2pr})^3)^f}, \\ -\sqrt[3]{1 - ((t_{1pr})^3)^f}, \sqrt[3]{1 - ((t_{2pr})^3)^f} \end{array} \right) \tag{3.7} \\
 \square \mathcal{T} &= \left(-t_{1pr}, t_{2pr}, -\sqrt[3]{1 - (t_{1pr})^3}, \sqrt[3]{1 - (t_{2pr})^3} \right) \\
 f \square \mathcal{T} &= \left(\begin{array}{l} -\sqrt[3]{1 - (1 - (t_{1pr})^3)^f}, \sqrt[3]{1 - (1 - (t_{2pr})^3)^f}, \\ -\left(\sqrt[3]{1 - (t_{1pr})^3}\right)^f \left(-\sqrt[3]{1 - (t_{2pr})^3}\right)^f \end{array} \right)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$f \square \mathcal{T} = \left(\begin{array}{l} -\sqrt[3]{1 - \left(1 - (t_{1pr})^3\right)^f}, \sqrt[3]{1 - \left(1 - (t_{2pr})^3\right)^f}, \\ -\sqrt[3]{1 - \left((t_{1pr})^3\right)^f}, \sqrt[3]{1 - \left((t_{2pr})^3\right)^f} \end{array} \right) \tag{3.8}$$

Similarly, we can prove (b) and (d).

Proposition 3.4

For any two $\mathcal{BF}e\mathcal{FM}s$ \mathcal{T} and \mathcal{Q} . Then the following equalities holds.

- (a) $(\mathcal{T} \wedge \mathcal{Q})^c = \mathcal{T}^c \vee \mathcal{Q}^c$
- (b) $(\mathcal{T} \vee \mathcal{Q})^c = \mathcal{T}^c \wedge \mathcal{Q}^c$
- (c) $(\square \mathcal{T}^c)^c = \boxtimes \mathcal{T}$
- (d) $(\boxtimes \mathcal{T}^c)^c = \square \mathcal{T}$

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(a) } (\mathcal{T} \wedge \mathcal{Q})^c &= \left(\begin{array}{l} -\min(t_{1pr}, q_{1pr}), \max(t_{2pr}, q_{2pr}), \\ -\max(t_{3pr}, q_{3pr}), \min(t_{4pr}, q_{4pr}) \end{array} \right)^c = \langle -q_{1pr}, q_{2pr}, -q_{3pr}, q_{4pr} \rangle^c \\ &= \langle -q_{3pr}, q_{4pr}, -q_{1pr}, q_{2pr} \rangle \tag{3.9} \\ \mathcal{T}^c \vee \mathcal{Q}^c &= \langle -t_{1pr}, t_{2pr}, -t_{3pr}, t_{4pr} \rangle^c \vee \langle -q_{1pr}, q_{2pr}, -q_{3pr}, q_{4pr} \rangle^c \\ &= \langle -t_{3pr}, t_{4pr}, -t_{1pr}, t_{2pr} \rangle \vee \langle -q_{3pr}, q_{4pr}, -q_{1pr}, q_{2pr} \rangle \\ &= \left(\begin{array}{l} -\max(t_{3pr}, q_{3pr}), \min(t_{4pr}, q_{4pr}), \\ -\min(t_{1pr}, q_{1pr}), \max(t_{2pr}, q_{2pr}) \end{array} \right) \\ &= \langle -q_{3pr}, q_{4pr}, -q_{1pr}, q_{2pr} \rangle \tag{3.10} \end{aligned}$$

From (3.9) and (3.10)

$$(\mathcal{T} \wedge \mathcal{Q})^c = \mathcal{T}^c \vee \mathcal{Q}^c$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(c) } \square \mathcal{T}^c &= \square \langle -t_{1pr}, t_{2pr}, -t_{3pr}, t_{4pr} \rangle^c \\ &= \square \langle -t_{3pr}, t_{4pr}, -t_{1pr}, t_{2pr} \rangle \\ &= \left(-t_{3pr}, t_{4pr}, -\sqrt[3]{1 - (t_{3pr})^3}, \sqrt[3]{1 - (t_{4pr})^3} \right) \\ (\square \mathcal{T}^c)^c &= \left(-\sqrt[3]{1 - (t_{3pr})^3}, \sqrt[3]{1 - (t_{4pr})^3}, -t_{3pr}, t_{4pr} \right) \\ &= \boxtimes \mathcal{T} \end{aligned}$$

Proof of (b) and (d) are similar of (a) and (c).

Proposition 3.5

For any two $\mathcal{BF}e\mathcal{FM}s$ \mathcal{T} and \mathcal{Q} . Then the following equalities holds.

- (a) $\square \mathcal{T} \wedge \square \mathcal{Q} = \square (\mathcal{T}^c \vee \mathcal{Q}^c)^c$

- (b) $\square \mathcal{T} \vee \square Q = \square (\mathcal{T}^c \wedge Q^c)^c$
- (c) $\boxtimes \mathcal{T} \wedge \boxtimes Q = \boxtimes (\mathcal{T}^c \vee Q^c)^c$
- (d) $\boxtimes \mathcal{T} \vee \boxtimes Q = \boxtimes (\mathcal{T}^c \wedge Q^c)^c$

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{(a) } \square \mathcal{T} \vee \square Q &= \left(-t_{1pr}, t_{2pr}, -\sqrt[3]{1 - (t_{1pr})^3}, \sqrt[3]{1 - (t_{2pr})^3} \right) \wedge \\
 &\quad \left(-q_{1pr}, q_{2pr}, -\sqrt[3]{1 - (q_{1pr})^3}, \sqrt[3]{1 - (q_{2pr})^3} \right) \\
 &= \left(-\min(t_{1pr}, q_{1pr}), \max(t_{2pr}, q_{2pr}), \right. \\
 &\quad \left. -\max\left(\sqrt[3]{1 - (t_{1pr})^3}, \sqrt[3]{1 - (q_{1pr})^3}\right), \min\left(\sqrt[3]{1 - (t_{2pr})^3}, \sqrt[3]{1 - (q_{2pr})^3}\right) \right) \\
 &= \left(-q_{1pr}, q_{2pr}, -\sqrt[3]{1 - (q_{1pr})^3}, \sqrt[3]{1 - (q_{2pr})^3} \right) \tag{3.11}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$(\mathcal{T}^c \vee Q^c)^c = \langle -q_{3pr}, q_{4pr}, -q_{1pr}, q_{2pr} \rangle$$

$$\square (\mathcal{T}^c \vee Q^c)^c = \left(-q_{1pr}, q_{2pr}, -\sqrt[3]{1 - (q_{2pr})^3}, \sqrt[3]{1 - (q_{2pr})^3} \right) \tag{3.12}$$

From (3.11) and (3.12)

$$\square \mathcal{T} \wedge \square Q = \square (\mathcal{T}^c \vee Q^c)^c$$

Similarly, we can prove other results.

Proposition 3.6

For any two BFeFM s \mathcal{T}, Q and \mathcal{S} . Then the following equalities holds.

- (a) $\square ((\mathcal{T} \vee Q) \wedge \mathcal{S}) = ((\square \mathcal{T} \vee \square Q) \wedge \square \mathcal{S})$
- (b) $\boxtimes ((\mathcal{T} \vee Q) \wedge \mathcal{S}) = ((\boxtimes \mathcal{T} \vee \boxtimes Q) \wedge \boxtimes \mathcal{S})$
- (c) $\square ((\mathcal{T} \wedge Q) \vee \mathcal{S}) = ((\square \mathcal{T} \wedge \square Q) \vee \square \mathcal{S})$
- (d) $\boxtimes ((\mathcal{T} \wedge Q) \vee \mathcal{S}) = ((\boxtimes \mathcal{T} \wedge \boxtimes Q) \vee \boxtimes \mathcal{S})$

Proof:

$$\text{(a) } \mathcal{T} \vee Q = \left(-\max(t_{1pr}, q_{1pr}), \min(t_{2pr}, q_{2pr}), \right. \\
 \left. -\min(t_{3pr}, q_{3pr}), \max(t_{4pr}, q_{4pr}) \right)$$

$$(\mathcal{T} \vee Q) \wedge \mathcal{S} = \langle -t_{1pr}, t_{2pr}, -t_{3pr}, t_{4pr} \rangle \wedge \langle -s_{1pr}, s_{2pr}, -s_{3pr}, s_{4pr} \rangle$$

$$= \left(-\min(t_{1pr}, s_{1pr}), \max(t_{2pr}, s_{2pr}), \right. \\
 \left. -\max(t_{3pr}, s_{3pr}), \min(t_{4pr}, s_{4pr}) \right)$$

$$\square ((\mathcal{T} \vee Q) \wedge \mathcal{S}) = \left(-s_{1pr}, s_{2pr}, -\sqrt[3]{1 - (s_{1pr})^3}, \sqrt[3]{1 - (s_{2pr})^3} \right) \tag{3.13}$$

From Proposition (3.1)

$$\square \mathcal{T} \vee \square Q = \left(-t_{1pr}, t_{2pr}, -\sqrt[3]{1 - (t_{1pr})^3}, \sqrt[3]{1 - (t_{2pr})^3} \right)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & ((\square \mathcal{T} \vee \square \mathcal{Q}) \wedge \square \mathcal{S}) \\
 &= \left(-t_{1pr}, t_{2pr}, -\sqrt[3]{1 - (t_{1pr})^3}, \sqrt[3]{1 - (t_{2pr})^3} \right) \\
 & \quad \wedge \left(-s_{1pr}, s_{2pr}, -\sqrt[3]{1 - (s_{1pr})^3}, \sqrt[3]{1 - (s_{2pr})^3} \right) \\
 &= \left(\begin{array}{c} -\min(t_{1pr}, s_{1pr}), \max(t_{2pr}, s_{2pr}), \\ -\max\left(\sqrt[3]{1 - (t_{1pr})^3}, \sqrt[3]{1 - (s_{1pr})^3}\right), \min\left(\sqrt[3]{1 - (t_{2pr})^3}, \sqrt[3]{1 - (s_{2pr})^3}\right) \end{array} \right) \\
 ((\square \mathcal{T} \vee \square \mathcal{Q}) \wedge \square \mathcal{S}) &= \left(-s_{1pr}, s_{2pr}, -\sqrt[3]{1 - (s_{1pr})^3}, \sqrt[3]{1 - (s_{2pr})^3} \right) \tag{3.14}
 \end{aligned}$$

From (3.13) and (3.14)

$$\square ((\mathcal{T} \vee \mathcal{Q}) \wedge \mathcal{S}) = ((\square \mathcal{T} \vee \square \mathcal{Q}) \wedge \square \mathcal{S})$$

Similarly, we can prove other results.

Proposition 3.7

For any two BFeFM \mathcal{T} and \mathcal{Q} . Then the following equalities holds.

- (a) $\square (\mathcal{T} \oplus \mathcal{Q}) = \square \mathcal{T} \oplus \square \mathcal{Q}$
- (a) $\boxtimes (\mathcal{T} \oplus \mathcal{Q}) = \boxtimes \mathcal{T} \oplus \boxtimes \mathcal{Q}$
- (b) $\square (\mathcal{T} \otimes \mathcal{Q}) = \square \mathcal{T} \otimes \square \mathcal{Q}$
- (c) $\boxtimes (\mathcal{T} \otimes \mathcal{Q}) = \boxtimes \mathcal{T} \otimes \boxtimes \mathcal{Q}$
- (d) $\square (\mathcal{T} @ \mathcal{Q}) = \square \mathcal{T} @ \square \mathcal{Q}$
- (e) $\boxtimes (\mathcal{T} @ \mathcal{Q}) = \boxtimes \mathcal{T} @ \boxtimes \mathcal{Q}$

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{(a) } \square (\mathcal{T} \oplus \mathcal{Q}) &= \square \left(\begin{array}{c} -\sqrt[3]{(t_{1pr})^3 + (q_{1pr})^3 - (t_{1pr})^3 (q_{1pr})^3}, t_{2pr} q_{2pr}, \\ -t_{3pr} q_{3pr}, \sqrt[3]{(t_{4pr})^3 + (q_{4pr})^3 - (t_{4pr})^3 (q_{4pr})^3} \end{array} \right) \\
 &= \left(\begin{array}{c} -\sqrt[3]{(t_{1pr})^3 + (q_{1pr})^3 - (t_{1pr})^3 (q_{1pr})^3}, t_{2pr} q_{2pr}, \\ -\sqrt[3]{1 - \left(\sqrt[3]{(t_{1pr})^3 + (q_{1pr})^3 - (t_{1pr})^3 (q_{1pr})^3}\right)^3}, \sqrt[3]{1 - (t_{2pr} q_{2pr})^3} \end{array} \right) \\
 \square (\mathcal{T} \oplus \mathcal{Q}) &= \left(\begin{array}{c} -\sqrt[3]{(t_{1pr})^3 + (q_{1pr})^3 - (t_{1pr})^3 (q_{1pr})^3}, t_{2pr} q_{2pr}, \\ -\sqrt[3]{1 - (t_{1pr})^3 - (q_{1pr})^3 + (t_{1pr})^3 (q_{1pr})^3}, \sqrt[3]{1 - (t_{2pr} q_{2pr})^3} \end{array} \right) \tag{3.15} \\
 \square \mathcal{T} \oplus \square \mathcal{Q} &= \left(-t_{1pr}, t_{2pr}, -\sqrt[3]{1 - (t_{1pr})^3}, \sqrt[3]{1 - (t_{2pr})^3} \right) \oplus \left(-q_{1pr}, q_{2pr}, -\sqrt[3]{1 - (q_{1pr})^3}, \sqrt[3]{1 - (q_{2pr})^3} \right)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \left(\begin{array}{c} -\sqrt[3]{(t_{1pr})^3 + (q_{1pr})^3 - (t_{1pr})^3 (q_{1pr})^3}, t_{2pr}q_{2pr}, \\ -\sqrt[3]{1 - (t_{1pr})^3} \sqrt[3]{1 - (q_{1pr})^3}, \sqrt[3]{\left(\sqrt[3]{1 - (t_{2pr})^3}\right)^3 + \left(\sqrt[3]{1 - (q_{2pr})^3}\right)^3} - \left(\sqrt[3]{1 - (t_{2pr})^3}\right)^3 \left(\sqrt[3]{1 - (q_{2pr})^3}\right)^3 \end{array} \right) \\
 &= \left(\begin{array}{c} -\sqrt[3]{(t_{1pr})^3 + (q_{1pr})^3 - (t_{1pr})^3 (q_{1pr})^3}, t_{2pr}q_{2pr}, \\ -\sqrt[3]{1 - (t_{1pr})^3 - (q_{1pr})^3 + (t_{1pr})^3 (q_{1pr})^3}, \sqrt[3]{1 - (t_{2pr}q_{2pr})^3} \end{array} \right) \tag{3.16}
 \end{aligned}$$

From (3.15) and (3.16)

$$\square (\mathcal{J} \oplus \mathcal{Q}) = \square \mathcal{J} \oplus \square \mathcal{Q}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{(c) } \square (\mathcal{J} \otimes \mathcal{Q}) &= \square \left(\begin{array}{c} -t_{1pr}q_{1pr}, \sqrt[3]{(t_{2pr})^3 + (q_{2pr})^3 - (t_{2pr})^3 (q_{2pr})^3}, \\ -\sqrt[3]{(t_{3pr})^3 + (q_{3pr})^3 - (t_{3pr})^3 (q_{3pr})^3}, t_{4pr}q_{4pr} \end{array} \right) \\
 &= \left(\begin{array}{c} -t_{1pr}q_{1pr}, \sqrt[3]{(t_{2pr})^3 + (q_{2pr})^3 - (t_{2pr})^3 (q_{2pr})^3}, \\ -\sqrt[3]{1 - (t_{1pr}q_{1pr})^3}, \sqrt[3]{1 - \left(\sqrt[3]{(t_{2pr})^3 + (q_{2pr})^3 - (t_{2pr})^3 (q_{2pr})^3}\right)^3} \end{array} \right) \\
 &= \left(\begin{array}{c} -t_{1pr}q_{1pr}, \sqrt[3]{(t_{2pr})^3 + (q_{2pr})^3 - (t_{2pr})^3 (q_{2pr})^3}, \\ -\sqrt[3]{1 - (t_{1pr}q_{1pr})^3}, \sqrt[3]{1 - (t_{2pr})^3 - (q_{2pr})^3 + (t_{2pr})^3 (q_{2pr})^3} \end{array} \right) \tag{3.17}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\square \mathcal{J} \otimes \square \mathcal{Q}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \left(-t_{1pr}, t_{2pr}, -\sqrt[3]{1 - (t_{1pr})^3}, \sqrt[3]{1 - (t_{2pr})^3} \right) \otimes \left(-q_{1pr}, q_{2pr}, -\sqrt[3]{1 - (q_{1pr})^3}, \sqrt[3]{1 - (q_{2pr})^3} \right) \\
 &= \left(\begin{array}{c} -t_{1pr}q_{1pr}, \sqrt[3]{(t_{2pr})^3 + (q_{2pr})^3 - (t_{2pr})^3 (q_{2pr})^3}, \\ -\sqrt[3]{\left(\sqrt[3]{1 - (t_{1pr})^3}\right)^3 + \left(\sqrt[3]{1 - (q_{1pr})^3}\right)^3} - \left(\sqrt[3]{1 - (t_{1pr})^3}\right)^3 \left(\sqrt[3]{1 - (q_{1pr})^3}\right)^3}, \sqrt[3]{1 - (t_{2pr})^3} \sqrt[3]{1 - (q_{2pr})^3} \end{array} \right) \\
 &= \left(\begin{array}{c} -t_{1pr}q_{1pr}, \sqrt[3]{(t_{2pr})^3 + (q_{2pr})^3 - (t_{2pr})^3 (q_{2pr})^3}, \\ -\sqrt[3]{1 - (t_{1pr}q_{1pr})^3}, \sqrt[3]{1 - (t_{2pr})^3 - (q_{2pr})^3 + (t_{2pr})^3 (q_{2pr})^3} \end{array} \right) \tag{3.18}
 \end{aligned}$$

From (3.17) and (3.18)

$$\square (\mathcal{J} \otimes \mathcal{Q}) = \square \mathcal{J} \otimes \square \mathcal{Q}$$

Similarly, we can prove other results.

Proposition 3.8

For any two BFeFM \mathcal{J} and \mathcal{Q} . Then the following equalities holds.

- (a) $(\square (\mathcal{J}^c \oplus \mathcal{Q}^c))^c = \square \mathcal{J} \otimes \square \mathcal{Q}$
- (b) $(\square (\mathcal{J}^c \otimes \mathcal{Q}^c))^c = \square \mathcal{J} \oplus \square \mathcal{Q}$

- (c) $(\boxtimes(\mathcal{T}^c \oplus \mathcal{Q}^c))^c = \square \mathcal{T} \otimes \square \mathcal{Q}$
- (d) $(\boxtimes(\mathcal{T}^c \otimes \mathcal{Q}^c))^c = \square \mathcal{T} \oplus \square \mathcal{Q}$

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{(a) } \square(\mathcal{T}^c \oplus \mathcal{Q}^c) &= \square \left(\begin{array}{c} -\sqrt[3]{(t_{3pr})^3 + (q_{3pr})^3 - (t_{3pr})^3 (q_{3pr})^3}, t_{4pr} q_{4pr}' \\ -t_{1pr} q_{1pr}', \sqrt[3]{(t_{2pr})^3 + (q_{2pr})^3 - (t_{2pr})^3 (q_{2pr})^3} \end{array} \right) \\
 &= \left(\begin{array}{c} -\sqrt[3]{(t_{3pr})^3 + (q_{3pr})^3 - (t_{3pr})^3 (q_{3pr})^3}, t_{4pr} q_{4pr}' \\ -\sqrt[3]{1 - \left(\sqrt[3]{(t_{3pr})^3 + (q_{3pr})^3 - (t_{3pr})^3 (q_{3pr})^3}\right)^3}, \sqrt[3]{1 - (t_{4pr} q_{4pr}')^3} \end{array} \right) \\
 &= \left(\begin{array}{c} -\sqrt[3]{(t_{3pr})^3 + (q_{3pr})^3 - (t_{3pr})^3 (q_{3pr})^3}, t_{4pr} q_{4pr}' \\ -\sqrt[3]{1 - (t_{3pr})^3 - (q_{3pr})^3 + (t_{3pr})^3 (q_{3pr})^3}, \sqrt[3]{1 - (t_{4pr} q_{4pr}')^3} \end{array} \right) \\
 (\square(\mathcal{T}^c \oplus \mathcal{Q}^c))^c &= \left(\begin{array}{c} -\sqrt[3]{1 - (t_{3pr})^3 - (q_{3pr})^3 + (t_{3pr})^3 (q_{3pr})^3}, \sqrt[3]{1 - (t_{4pr} q_{4pr}')^3} \\ -\sqrt[3]{(t_{3pr})^3 + (q_{3pr})^3 - (t_{3pr})^3 (q_{3pr})^3}, t_{4pr} q_{4pr}' \end{array} \right) \tag{3.19}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \boxtimes \mathcal{T} \otimes \boxtimes \mathcal{Q} &= \left(-\sqrt[3]{1 - (t_{3pr})^3}, \sqrt[3]{1 - (t_{4pr})^3}, -t_{3pr}, t_{4pr} \right) \otimes \left(-\sqrt[3]{1 - (q_{3pr})^3}, \sqrt[3]{1 - (q_{4pr})^3}, -q_{3pr}, q_{4pr} \right) \\
 &= \left(\begin{array}{c} -\sqrt[3]{1 - (t_{3pr})^3} \sqrt[3]{1 - (q_{3pr})^3}, \\ \sqrt[3]{\left(\sqrt[3]{1 - (t_{3pr})^3}\right)^3 + \left(\sqrt[3]{1 - (q_{4pr})^3}\right)^3 - \left(\sqrt[3]{1 - (t_{4pr})^3}\right)^3 \left(\sqrt[3]{1 - (q_{4pr})^3}\right)^3}, \\ -\sqrt[3]{(t_{3pr})^3 + (q_{3pr})^3 - (t_{3pr})^3 (q_{3pr})^3}, t_{4pr} q_{4pr} \end{array} \right) \\
 \boxtimes \mathcal{T} \otimes \boxtimes \mathcal{Q} &= \left(\begin{array}{c} -\sqrt[3]{1 - (t_{3pr})^3 - (q_{3pr})^3 + (t_{3pr})^3 (q_{3pr})^3}, \sqrt[3]{1 - (t_{4pr} q_{4pr}')^3} \\ -\sqrt[3]{(t_{3pr})^3 + (q_{3pr})^3 - (t_{3pr})^3 (q_{3pr})^3}, t_{4pr} q_{4pr}' \end{array} \right) \tag{3.20}
 \end{aligned}$$

From (3.19) and (3.20)

$$(\square(\mathcal{T}^c \oplus \mathcal{Q}^c))^c = \boxtimes \mathcal{T} \otimes \boxtimes \mathcal{Q}$$

Similarly, we can prove other results.

Conclusion

In this study, we explored the necessity and possibility operators on Bipolar Fermatean Fuzzy matrices and established their commutative, associative, and distributive properties

References

1 .K. T. Atanassov, "Intuitionistic Fuzzy sets, Theory and Applications", Physicaverlag, 1999.

2. J. Boobalan and S.Sriram, "New Operations On Intuitionistic Fuzzy Matrices", International Journal of pure engineering Mathematics ,(IJPEM), volume 3, Number 1, April 2015, pp 177-186.
3. K.Lalitha and N.Bhuvaneswari, "Some new results on Fermatean fuzzy sets using implication", Advances and Application in Mathematical Sciences, Vol 21, Issue 5, March 2022, pp 2829-2841.
4. K. Lalitha and T. Dhivya, "Bipolar intuitionistic Fuzzy matrices", Indian Journal of Natural Sciences, 12(69), 2021, pp 36253-36262
5. A.R.Meenakshi, and Gandhimathi T, "Intuitionistic Fuzzy Relational Equations, advances in Fuzzy Mathematics", Vol. 5 (3) (2010), 239-244.
6. A.R.Meenakshi, "Fuzzy Matrix Theory and Applications", MJP Publishers, 2008, Chennai.
7. P. Murugadas, S.Sriram, T.Muthuraji, "Modal operators in intuitionistic fuzzy matrices", International Journal of Computer Application, 90(17) (2014) 1-4.
8. Muthuraji. T and Lalitha. K (2017), Some new operations and its properties on Intuitionistic fuzzy matrices, IJRAR December, 4(4).
9. T.Senapati and R.Yager, "Fermatean fuzzy set", J Ambient Intell Human comput, Volume II, pp 663-674.
10. Silambarasan.I, "Fermatean fuzzy matrices", Research gate Publication, June 2020.
11. Silambarasan, "Fermatean fuzzy matrices", TWMS Journal of Applied and Engineering Mathematics, Volume 12, Number 3, 2022, pp 1039-1050
12. Yager R.R. Pythagorean membership grades in multi-criteria decision making, IEEE Transactions on Fuzzy Systems, 2014; 22 : 958-965.
13. Y.Zhang and M. Zheng, New operators on fuzzy matrices, Proceedings of Fourth International Workshop on Advanced Computational Intelligence IEEE(2011) Wuhan, 295-299.
14. L.A. Zadeh, "Fuzzy sets", Journal of Information and control, 8, 1965, pp 338-353.